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Abstract

Hibernation is a winter survival strategy for many small mammals. Animals sink into deep torpor, body temperature falls to near 0°C and physiological functions are strongly suppressed. Enzymes are the catalysts of metabolic pathways in cells and their appropriate control is critical to hibernation success. This thesis explores the properties and regulation of two key enzymes of carbohydrate metabolism (lactate dehydrogenase, LDH) and lipid metabolism (glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, G3PDH) purified from liver and skeletal muscle of ground squirrels (*Urocitellus richardsonii*). The studies showed that changes in pH, temperature, and inhibitors play roles in differentially regulating these enzymes between euthermic and torpid states. Furthermore, reversible protein phosphorylation proved to be a significant regulatory mechanism, producing a reduced activity state of skeletal muscle LDH and increased activity state of G3PDH in both skeletal muscle and liver during torpor. Overall, these studies showed that multiple mechanisms of enzyme regulation, particularly protein phosphorylation, contribute to reorganizing fuel metabolism during hibernation.
Sleep fast, carpe diem, no regrets. Jones out.
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Chapter 1

General Introduction
Mammalian Hibernation

The ability of mammals to produce internal heat for the maintenance of an ideal and constant body temperature (T_b) has allowed them to survive in some of the most extreme environments. Surely, the independence that these endotherms have from restrictions imposed by the thermal environment is quite advantageous. However, the maintenance of a metabolically favourable temperature comes with a high energetic cost and, during times of low food availability, such energy demands may not be met, particularly when cold environmental temperatures create high demands for thermogenesis. A coping strategy that various species of mammals have developed over evolutionary time is hibernation, a hypometabolic state where physiological and biochemical activities are suppressed and energy expenditure is minimized.

Hypometabolism

Although a wide range of invertebrates and vertebrates are capable of entering a hypometabolic state of suspended animation when environmental conditions are too harsh for normal function and behaviour (Storey & Storey, 2004, 2007), perhaps the most complex form of natural hypometabolism is mammalian hibernation. This remarkable feat is marked not only by entrance into a torpid state but also by the inhibition of thermogenesis, allowing T_b to fall to near ambient temperature. Whether it be seasonal torpor (hibernation) where a species undergoes multiple bouts of multi-day torpor over the winter, or daily torpor—induced at any time of the year by adverse conditions, there is a degree of phenotypic and metabolic plasticity that hibernating species endure. For clarity
purposes, the information that follows is in reference to the seasonal form of torpor, hibernation.

Some common mammals that exhibit hibernation include genera such as marmots and woodchucks (Marmota), hedgehogs (Erinaceous), bats (Eptesicus and Myotis), and ground squirrels (Ictidomys and Urocitellus). As mentioned previously, seasonal torpor is comprised of multiple torpor bouts lasting several days or weeks while being interrupted by short, periodic arousals (French, 1988) (Fig.1.1). Current theories as to why hibernators awake from dormancy for short periods of time throughout the hibernating season are described below in section iv. Interbout arousal.

Phenotypic plasticity associated with hibernation

i. Key Physiological Changes

Entry into torpor is marked a decrease in heart rate, a decrease in respiratory rate, and an increase in vasoconstriction to maintain proper blood pressure, all while the hypothalamic temperature set point is reset to allow $T_b$ fall at a progressive, controlled rate (Carey et al., 2003). Once in torpor, $T_b$ is maintained near ambient temperature (Carey et al., 2003), while physiological functions such as heart rate and breathing rate may be reduced to as little as 3% (Zatzman, 1984) and 1% (McArthur and Milsom, 1991), respectively, relative to euthermic values. Along with these physiological adaptations during torpor, there is a reduction in immune function (Prendergast et al., 2002) and a reduction in metabolic rate to as low as just 1-5% of the corresponding euthermic rate (Geiser, 2004). Torpor arousal—whether it be interbout or exit out of hibernation at the end of the season—is dynamic (much quicker than torpor entry) and is usually
accomplished within 20-30 minutes in small rodents. During this time, $T_b$ rises, initially driven via non-shivering thermogenesis (NST) in brown adipose tissue (BAT), and then skeletal muscle shivering is also initiated after $T_b$ reaches $\sim 20^\circ C$; high rates of thermogenesis continue until the animal reaches normothermy, substrates are mobilized for energy production, and the cardiovascular system is stimulated (Carey et al., 2003).

\textit{ii. Pre-hibernation adjustments}

Despite the fact that basal metabolic rates are reduced significantly during hibernation, species must build up sufficient fuel reserves to last them through the hibernating season which is often more than half the year. Some species opt to store food in their burrows and eat during interbout arousals, however many do not. For the latter individuals, hibernation is effectively a state of long term starvation and therefore metabolic adjustments must be made to meet essential energy demands. Hibernators, such as marmots and ground squirrels, go through a period of intense eating (hyperphagia) in the late summer and early fall months to prepare themselves for the hibernation season (Davis, 1976). During this period, body mass is increased by approximately 50% largely due to the deposition of triglycerides in white adipose tissue (WAT). Elevated activities of lipogenic enzymes support this preparatory phase. For example, fatty acid synthase (FAS) activity in hibernator lipogenic tissue is highest in the prehibernating phase, suggesting high rates of fatty acid (FA) synthesis (Anderson \textit{et al.}, 1989; Turner \textit{et al.}, 1989; Mostafa \textit{et al.}, 1993).

During the prehibernating fattening period, hormonal controls on lipid storage and satiety are modified. An important hormone that is associated with the buildup of adipose
tissue is leptin, the satiety hormone. This hormone serves as a feedback controller by signaling the hypothalamus with information about body fat levels and energy balance (Ahima et al., 1996). Produced and secreted by adipose cells, its levels are normally positively correlated with body adiposity (Considine et al., 1996; Maffei et al., 1995). Because adiposity is expected to increase before hibernation, an increase in leptin would serve as a problem for hibernators since this hormone generally decreases food intake, increases energy expenditure, and decreases body mass. However, hibernating species are capable of building adipose tissue while circumventing the effects of leptin. Opposite of the normal response to increasing adiposity in nonhibernating species, research by Kronfeld-Schor et al. (2000) revealed that leptin secretion and sensitivity decreased during the prehibernating phase in little brown bats (Myotis lucifugus). These findings suggest that during the prehibernatory phase these animals somehow overcome the satiety and metabolic signals associated with leptin to deposit large fat stores.

Another key hormone that aids in the prehibernating fat storage period is insulin. As hyperphagic mammals ingest food, the pancreas secretes insulin—the key metabolic hormone that stimulates circulating blood glucose uptake, promotes glycogen anabolism, and stimulates the storage of excess fuel as fat. Previous research has shown that prior to hibernation, insulin levels are elevated and then fall during hibernation (<1 ng/ml) (Tokuyama et al. 1991; Buck et al., 2002). The increased levels of insulin serve to play an important role in triglyceride synthesis within WAT. The binding of insulin to adipocyte membrane receptors kicks off a cascade of events which includes both cyclic AMP (cAMP)-dependent and cAMP-independent pathways that decrease hormone-sensitive
lipase (HSL) activity and thereby facilitate the accumulation of triglycerides (Stralsfor and Honner, 1989).

Along with modifications that promote fat storage, hibernators must be able to mobilize and utilize lipids at low T\textsubscript{b}. Since lipid depots in most mammals have melting points (MPs) around 25°C, these lipids would be solid and therefore be difficult to utilize at hibernating T\textsubscript{b} (Wang, 1979). With this in mind, lipid fluidity—which is largely dependent on the lipid degree of unsaturation (unsaturation is proportional to fluidity)—is an important factor in determining hibernation success. By eating a diet rich in mono unsaturated (ie. oleic acid [18:1]) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) (ie. linoleic acid [18:2] and \(\alpha\)-linolenic acid [18:3]) which have MPs in the range of 5 and -6.5°C, hibernating species are capable of incorporating these lipids into their triglycerides to create adipose fuel depots that can be mobilized over the wide range of T\textsubscript{b} values that they endure during their torpor-arousal periods (Harlow and Frank, 2001, Frank \textit{et al.}, 2008). Lipids are particularly important as fuels for the intense periods of thermogenesis by BAT and skeletal muscle that are necessary to rewarm the hibernator body to euthermia. Many questions still remain unexplored regarding lipid fluidity. For instance, the natural sensing mechanism for fat depot PUFA content remains unknown as well as how the fluidity of phospholipids in membranes is adjusted to maintain functionality at the low T\textsubscript{b} values endured during hibernation.

During the brief interbout arousal phases of hibernators, carbohydrate oxidation reasserts itself as a main source of fuel for energy production in most tissues as indicated by a respiratory quotient (RQ; the ratio of the volume of carbon dioxide eliminated to the volume of oxygen consumed by an animal) that increases to a value near 1. As reported by
Carey et al. (2003), RQ values during hibernation fall to a value closer to 0.7, indicating a switch to lipid oxidation to meet energy demands. Given the fact that during arousal RQ values are around 1 and, that protein catabolism is unfavourable for energy production, it is expected that proteolysis and amino acid catabolism is generally limited throughout hibernation.

### iii. Hibernation

Successful hibernation is sensitive not only to the quantity of stored fat but also the quality of stored fat. Various studies have shown that torpor-arousal cycles either do not occur or are disturbed if fat stores are insufficient or if the amounts of necessary fatty acids are too low (Cranford, 1978; Geiser et al., 1994; Frank, 1992; Florant, 1992; Florant et al., 1993). Other than tissues that are highly specialized to use carbohydrates as fuels (e.g. brain, red blood cells), most carbohydrate metabolism is spared in hibernator organs during torpor. The switch from carbohydrate to lipid metabolism has been confirmed via studies measuring glycolytic, lipogenic, and lipolytic enzyme activities. First, research by Brooks and Storey (1992) suggested that the covalent modification of liver glycogen phosphorylase in the golden-mantled ground squirrels (*Callospermophilus lateralis*) during hibernation decreased enzymatic activity and thereby limited the amount of available glucose in blood. Furthermore, this research found that pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) activity in heart and kidney was dramatically decreased during hibernation, implicating a strong inhibition of carbohydrate oxidation during torpor. Secondly, research by Frank et al. (1998) showed that liver FAS activity in the black-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*) is reduced during hibernation, limiting the overall rate of fatty acid synthesis. Last, the restoration of HSL activity, along with the activity of pancreatic
triacylglycerol lipase (PTL) in WAT during hibernation further supports the importance of lipids for energy production while torpid (Bauer et al., 2001). Together, these findings suggest that glycolysis is suppressed and there is a greater dependence on fuel metabolism based primarily on lipid oxidation during hibernation.

During hibernation, blood glucose and insulin levels are reduced and are lowest during mid-winter due to halted food intake during dormancy (Bauman et al., 1987). Previous research has shown that the phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt pathway is mediated by insulin binding to insulin receptors and during hibernation, as insulin levels decrease, PI3K mediated activation of Akt is suppressed (Cai et al, 2004, Abnous et al., 2008). The resulting downstream events of suppressed Akt signaling include decreases in both glycogen synthesis and lipogenesis (Porstmann et al. 2008; Laplante and Sabatini, 2010). The drop in insulin levels and subsequent decrease in glucose uptake by tissues, combined with the down regulation of several glycolytic enzymes, serve as important factors in the reduced glycolytic output during hibernation.

One might expect that to make up for the decrease in plasma glucose levels during hibernation that glucagon activity would be stimulated. However, previous research in ground squirrels suggests that glucagon levels remain constant in hibernators (Bauman et al., 1987). Furthermore, this finding suggests that the plasma glucagon to insulin ratio is increased during hibernation which may in turn have an influence in the shift of fuel usage during this period. Most notably, glucagon activates protein kinase A (PKA) that in turn phosphorylates many metabolic enzymes. For example, glucagon acts on WAT to active PKA mediated phosphorylation of HSL (Moreau-Hamsany et al., 1988). The activation of PKA by glucagon also leads to the phosphorylation and subsequent inhibition of pyruvate
kinase (PK) (Pilkis and Claus, 1991). Furthermore, glucagon inhibits PK mRNA transcription as well as increases degradation of existing PK mRNA (Pilkis and Claus, 1991). The combination of glycolytic suppression and lipolysis activation during hibernation leads to an increase in circulating plasma FAs and facilitates the reorganization of fuel metabolism to favour a heavy reliance on lipid catabolism.

iv. *Interbout Arousal*

Much of the stored fuel used by hibernators is consumed for thermogenesis during the periodic arousals. It is estimated that the re-warming process and the time spent in short periods of arousal consume approximately 40-70% of the energy budget for the whole hibernation season (Wang, 1979). As stated previously, lipid oxidation is thought to mediate the initial steps of the rewarming process, with increased reliance on carbohydrates usage as $T_b$ rises. A shift in RQ from 0.7 to >0.85 during the short interbout arousal periods supports the idea of increased carbohydrate usage (Buck and Barnes, 2000; Karpovich *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, interbout arousal periods occur in all hibernating species and are essential for survival; however, their function is still debated. Current theories as to why periodic arousals occur include: the necessity to restore metabolic imbalances accruing at low $T_b$, to restore sleep patterns, and to reactivate the immune system to fight any pathogens that have accumulated during hibernation (French, 1985; Daan *et al.*, 1991; Prendergast *et al.*, 2002).

**Reversible Post-translational Modifications**

Many cellular proteins are altered by covalent modifications after they are translated. The covalent addition of different functional groups to a protein alters its structural properties and may influence its functionality also. With more than 30 post-
translational modifications (PTMs) known to date, the combinatory effects of various
PTMs make the proteome one of the most dynamic biological systems.

Probably the most studied post-translational modification in mammals is reversible
protein phosphorylation (RPP). RPP is a major mechanism used for regulatory control
proteins involved in virtually every cellular process (Storey and Storey, 2004a). Protein
kinases and protein phosphatases mediate the addition and removal of a phosphate group,
respectively, to specific serine, threonine or tyrosine residues of a target protein. In this
way, fine tuning by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation serves as quick, energetically
cheap (relative to the cost of gene expression) method that can have profound effects on a
protein’s biological activity, interaction with other proteins, stability, movement between
subcellular compartments, or cellular fate (Cohen, 2002). The dynamic nature of this
process helps explain why almost all eukaryotic cells utilize reversible phosphorylation as
a general regulatory mechanism. Studies in our lab have demonstrated that reversible
phosphorylation is a crucial regulatory mechanism in animal responses to several types of
environmental stress (Storey and Storey, 2004a), including mammalian hibernation
(MacDonald and Storey, 1999; Bell and Storey, 2010; Bell et al., 2014). For example, RPP
alters the activity of Na+/K+ATPase to help reduce energy expenditure during global
metabolic rate depression (MacDonald and Storey, 1999), controls PDH activity to regulate
carbohydrate oxidation (Tessier et al., 2015), regulates amino acid metabolism at glutamate
dehydrogenase (Thatcher and Storey, 2001; Bell and Storey, 2010), regulates multiple cell
signaling cascades and transcription factors (Luu et al., 2014; Cowen and Storey, 2003;
and reviewed in Tessier and Storey, 2014), contributes to cell cycle suppression (Wu and
Storey, 2012), etc.
Model Animal

Richardson’s ground squirrel (*Urocitellus richardsonii*) is the hibernator model used in this thesis. Also known as prairie gophers, this species can be found in portions of Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, and Minnesota. The diet of Richardson’s ground squirrels consists of seeds, insects, flowers, and leaves. The weight of these ground-dwelling, burrowing mammals varies between 0.2 and 0.4 kilograms when they are euthermic and as high as 0.75 kilograms when they enter hibernation. Their meandering burrows are approximately 3.5 inches in diameter, 4-5 feet below the surface, and span 15-20 meters in length. Richardson’s ground squirrels hibernate alone in a chamber called a hibernaculum. The hibernation season of these species varies between males and females since the males generally come out of torpor 8-16 days earlier than female squirrels (Michener, 1983); however, on average, this species hibernates for 7-9 months, beginning in September and ending as late as May. My research in this thesis is focused on enzyme regulation in liver and skeletal muscle tissue of Richardson’s ground squirrels comparing euthermic and torpid animals to assess changes the enzyme properties as a function of hibernation.

Target tissues

*Skeletal Muscle*

Both long periods of inactivity and limited fuel availability are two problems that skeletal muscle must manage when a species enters a hibernating state. In non-hibernating species (including man), long periods of inactivity such as occur during torpor would lead to significant atrophy of skeletal muscle. However, hibernators experience much less
muscle atrophy during torpor than would be expected from such prolonged periods of inactivity. Research by Wicker et al. (1991), measured muscle mass and levels of an enzyme whose activity reflects aerobic capacity, citrate synthase (CS), in hibernating golden-mantled ground squirrels. Their results indicated that mass-specific activity of this enzyme increased in the hibernating state (Wicker et al., 1991). Although CS may not contribute to strength of skeletal muscle directly, it serves to help preserve mechanisms associated with heat production required during the arousal rewarming process (Wicker et al., 1991). As shivering thermogenesis commences during the rewarming process in hibernators, heart rate drastically increases. With that in mind, muscles that are well supplied with blood oxygen and both white and red fibers contribute to heat production (Ambid and Agid, 1975; Feist, 1970; Lyman, 2013). Research by Postnikova et al. (1999) revealed that a very high myoglobin content in muscle of hibernators relative to their non-hibernator counterparts can contribute to the explosive rewarming process during arousal. Furthermore, to support muscle contractility and shivering thermogenesis during hibernation, both of which involve ATP hydrolysis by myosin ATPase, Fahlman et al. (2000) reported hibernation-responsive up-regulation of the transcript levels of myosin light chain 1 (MLC1β) in skeletal muscle of hibernating golden-mantled ground squirrels. Up-regulation of the expression of gene products coding for metabolic proteins also occurs in skeletal muscle during hibernation. The up-regulation of FA binding protein (FABP) and PDH kinase isoenzyme 4 (PDK4) suggest that hibernator skeletal muscle relies on enhanced lipid metabolism during torpor (Hittel and Storey, 2001; Buck et al., 2002). These data indicate that adaptive changes to selected cellular functions in skeletal muscle are required for successful hibernation.
ii. Liver

The liver is a vital organ that plays a major role in coordinating and regulating a wide range of functions that affect the whole body—these include detoxification reactions, protein synthesis, glycogen storage, and hormone production. It should be expected that during hibernation, important metabolic changes occur in liver tissue to meet new cellular demands. Many of the lipolytic enzymes and proteins involved in FA transport are synthesized in the liver. Regulation of these proteins is essential as hibernators rely largely on the triacylglycerol fuels stored in adipose tissue during winter dormancy. Like in hibernator skeletal muscle, FABP activity and expression increases during torpor in hibernator liver (Stewart et al., 1998; Epperson et al., 2004).

Hypotheses

Given the well-documented changes in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism between various stages of hibernation, I propose that the metabolism of these fuels is regulated at the enzyme level in Richardson’s ground squirrel skeletal muscle and liver. Furthermore, I propose that reversible post-translational modification which serves as a rapid, energetically feasible mechanism of enzyme control plays an important role in optimizing the structural and functional properties of metabolic enzymes as they switch between euthermic and hibernating states. Two main hypotheses guide the research in this thesis:

1. In a depressed metabolic state, Richardson’s ground squirrels will modify the key glycolytic enzyme, LDH, in a way that decreases its enzymatic activity.
2. In a depressed metabolic state, glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH), a key enzyme connecting lipid metabolism and carbohydrate metabolism, will be activated as indicated by an increase in enzymatic activity.

**Objectives**

The torpor bouts that occur during the hibernation season are highly regulated events that not only include physiological changes but also metabolic plasticity to support long term survival at low $T_b$ in the absence of food intake. One aspect of metabolic regulation in a mammalian hibernator that has not been studied is the role that post-translational modifications can play in the differential function of central dehydrogenase enzymes between euthermic and hibernating states. Research in this thesis explores this by investigating the structural, functional, and regulatory properties of skeletal muscle LDH and liver and muscle G3PDH. LDH converts pyruvate and (reduced $\beta$-Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide) NADH, two products of glycolysis, to lactate and NAD$^+$ when oxygen is absent or in short supply. Chapter 2 presents an analysis of this important enzyme associated with carbohydrate metabolism to provide us with a more clear understanding of the state of glycolysis during ground squirrel hibernation.

G3PDH is an important enzyme that connects lipid metabolism to carbohydrate metabolism—by catalyzing the reversible conversion of glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P) and NAD$^+$ to dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) and NADH. With increase importance of lipid catabolism during hibernation, examination of this enzyme is of interest to determine its potential differential regulation during ground squirrel torpor. Studies of this enzyme from liver and muscle of euthermic and hibernating ground squirrels are present in Chapters 3 and 4, respectively.
Overall, the studies in this thesis are aimed to elucidate the structural and functional properties of key metabolic enzymes in skeletal muscle and liver during hibernation. A greater understanding of how these enzymes are regulated can add to previous research conducted on the metabolic adaptations that hibernating species undergo as part of this unique mammalian survival strategy.
Figure 1.1. Body temperature as a function of time over one year starting in June for a golden-mantled ground squirrel (*Callospermophilus lateralis*). Inset depicts the entrance into torpor (EN), early torpor (ET), late torpor (LT), arousal (AR), and interbout arousal (IBA) (Taken from Carey et al., 2003).
Chapter 2

Purification and Properties of Lactate Dehydrogenase from the Skeletal Muscle of the Hibernating Ground Squirrel, *Urocitellus richardsonii*
Introduction

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH; E.C. 1.1.1.27), the terminal enzyme of anaerobic glycolysis, catalyzes the following reversible reaction:

\[
\text{L-lactate} + \text{NAD}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{pyruvate} + \text{NADH} + \text{H}^+
\]

LDH plays a critical role in energy metabolism as it facilitates the production of ATP via glycolysis during low oxygen conditions by recycling the essential coenzyme NAD\(^+\) (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide). Skeletal muscle (particularly white muscle fibers) is a tissue that relies heavily on carbohydrate catabolism to produce ATP and power intense muscle work. Here, the LDH reaction favours lactate formation using the pyruvate and NADH output of glycolysis (Hochachka and Somero, 2014).

During mammalian hibernation, greater emphasis is placed on lipid oxidation to meet energy demands, and ATP generation via carbohydrate catabolism is thought to be suppressed (Buck and Barnes, 2000; Tashima et al., 1970). Mitochondria instead rely on acetyl-CoA generated from lipid breakdown as the main source of carbon to supply the Krebs cycle. Previous research has shown that pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) is regulated during hibernation showing heavy suppression to strongly limit entry into the Krebs cycle by carbohydrate coming from glycolysis (Brooks and Storey, 1992). With this in mind, it is proposed that other fates for glycolytic carbon could also be suppressed during hibernation which could include regulation of LDH to help minimize/coordinate the glycolytic rate and limit lactate buildup in hibernating mammals.

The LDH reaction has long been known to play a major role in cellular redox balance, being responsible for regenerating the NAD\(^+\) needed to allow anaerobic glycolysis to run. More recently, intracellular NAD\(^+\) has been shown to play a major role as a
metabolite capable of regulating the transcription of genes associated with metabolism and circadian rhythms (Cantó et al., 2009; and reviewed in Takahashi et al., 2008; Chaudhary and Pfluger, 2009). Specifically, new research on NAD-dependent deacetylase sirtuin-1 (SIRT1), a protein that regulates circadian genes (Asher et al., 2008), shows that the activity of this enzyme is activated by NAD$^+$ (Imai et al., 2000). These discoveries could tie together cellular nutrient status, metabolic substrate use, and metabolic plasticity during hibernation.

Richardson’s ground squirrels, *Urocitellus richardsonii*, have an amazing ability to survive winter conditions—marked by harsh environmental temperatures and low food availability—by entering into hibernation. While in this hypometabolic state, core body temperature ($T_b$) falls (often to as low as 0–5 °C), and there is a strong suppression of all physiological processes (e.g. heart rate and breathing rate), suppression of aerobic cellular respiration, a reprioritization of energy expensive processes (e.g. transcription, translation, and ion pumping), and reorganization of fuel metabolism (McArthur and Milsom, 1991; Zatman, 1984; and reviewed in Carey et al., 2003, Staples and Brown, 2008, Storey and Storey, 2004b; Geiser, 2004).

Reversible phosphorylation of functional proteins and enzymes is a major regulatory mechanism that mediates the plasticity of metabolic reactions when animals enter hypometabolic states. This process has been studied extensively in hibernating species, with ion motive ATPases (MacDonald and Storey, 1999), glycolytic enzymes (Brooks and Storey, 1992), ribosomal proteins (van Breukelen et al., 2004), and transcription factors (reviewed in Carey et al., 2003; Storey, 1997) all showing differential regulation via reversible phosphorylation.
In this chapter, I reasoned that the transition from active euthermia to a low $T_b$, hibernating state should lead to control of LDH in a manner that promotes NAD$^+$ production. Previous research has shown that during entrance into torpor, NAD$^+$ levels increase (Serkova et al. 2011). Furthermore, this signaling molecule has been shown to upregulate proteins that aid in torpor survival (reviewed in Melvin and Andrews, 2009). Despite an overall decrease in carbohydrate catabolism, maintenance of NAD$^+$ levels could have profound effects that allow ground squirrels to survive throughout the hibernating season. Furthermore, such control could contribute to the reorganization of fuel metabolism to favor lipid oxidation, thereby allowing fat catabolism to contribute to energy needs during torpor.

In a previous study, Dawson et al. (2013) documented significant differences in the kinetic and physical properties of purified white muscle LDH from of normoxic versus anoxic red-eared sliders, Trachemys scripta elegans, due to reversible protein phosphorylation. The present study demonstrates that ground squirrel skeletal muscle LDH is also regulated by reversible protein phosphorylation. Furthermore, the changes in the phosphorylation state of LDH occurring during hibernation make significant changes to the enzyme’s structural and functional properties.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

The protocols used for care and handling of Richardson’sground squirrels, *U. richardsonii*, were as reported previously (MacDonald and Storey, 1998; Thatcher and Storey, 2001). Briefly, animals were captured in late summer near Calgary, Alberta. All
animals were individually housed in rat cages with free access to food and water at 22°C and on an autumn photoperiod (10 h light, 14 h dark). After 8 weeks under this regime, half of the animals were maintained under these control (euthermic) conditions. The others were moved into a 4°C cold room that was maintained in darkness; free access to water was maintained but food was removed. Squirrels were allowed to enter torpor and animals were sampled after 2 d continuous torpor (rectal temperature 5-8°C). Euthermic animals were sampled on the same day. Both hibernating and euthermic animals were killed by decapitation and tissues were immediately excised, frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored in -80°C.

**Preparation of tissue extracts**

Frozen hind leg skeletal muscle samples were homogenized 1:5 w:v in buffer A (20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 15 mM β-glycerophosphate (β-GP), 15 mM β-mercaptoethanol (β-MeSH), 10% v:v glycerol) and a few crystals of phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF) (added just prior to homogenization) using a Polytron homogenizer (Brinkmann Instruments, Rexdale, ON, Canada). Homogenates were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 minutes at 5°C, after which supernatants were decanted and held on ice until use.

**Purification of LDH**

A 1.5 mL sample of muscle extract containing ~28 mg of total protein was applied to a DEAE⁺ column (1 cm [Diameter] x 5 cm [Height]) previously equilibrated in buffer A. The column was then washed with 30 mL of buffer A and 4 mL fractions were collected. From each fraction, a small aliquot was diluted 1:10 v:v in buffer A and then 2 μL from each
diluted fraction was assayed to detect LDH activity. The active fractions were pooled and applied to a Cibacron Blue 3GA (Sigma-Aldrich) column (1 x 5 cm) equilibrated with buffer A. The Cibacron Blue 3GA column was washed with 30 mL of buffer A to remove unbound proteins and then a linear gradient of 0–6 mM sodium pyruvate and NADH was applied to elute bound proteins. Fractions of 1.20 mL were collected and 5 µL from each fraction was assayed to detect LDH activity. After fractions containing maximal LDH activity were pooled, low molecular weight metabolites were removed from the sample via centrifugation (2 min @ 2000 rpm) through small columns of Sephadex G-25 (Sigma-Aldrich) equilibrated in buffer A. The sample was then loaded on to a second Cibacron Blue 3GA column (1 x 5 cm) in a similar fashion, although in this case proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of 0–2 M KCl in buffer A. The fractions were assayed for LDH activity, and the active fractions were pooled and desalted using an Amicon® Ultra Filter (Millipore). The purity of the LDH sample was checked by denaturing and reducing gel electrophoresis followed by silver staining as described below. LDH from the muscle tissue of euthermic and hibernating ground squirrels were purified in the same manner.

Detection of LDH via Silver Staining Technique

Silver staining procedure was adapted from Blum et al. (1987). In short, after electrophoresis, the SDS-polyacrylamide gel was incubated for 3 hours in fixing solution containing 50% (v/v) methanol, 12% (v/v) acetic acid, and 0.05% (v/v) formalin (35% formaldehyde). Next, the fixative solution was discarded and the gel was washed in 20% (v/v) methanol (3 x 6 min) before incubating with the sensitizing solution [0.02% (w/v) sodium thiosulphate (Na₂S₂O₃)] for 2 minutes. The sensitizing solution was discarded and the gel was washed (2 x 1 min) with ddH₂O. Next, the gel was incubated in cold silver stain
[0.6% (w/v) silver nitrate, 0.076% (v/v) formalin] with gentle shaking for 20 minutes. The silver stain was then discarded and the gel was washed (2 x 30sec) with ddH2O followed by addition of developing solution [6% (w/v) sodium carbonate (Na2CO3), 0.04% (w/v) sodium thiosulphate (Na2S2O3), 0.05% (v/v) formalin] to the gel. Once desired band intensity on the gel was reached, developing was stopped by adding a terminating solution [12% (v/v) acetic acid] to the gel. Lastly, bands on the gel were visualized under light and an image was captured using a ChemiGenius BioImaging system with GeneSnap software (Syngene, Frederick, MD, USA).

**LDH assay**

LDH activity was measured as the rate of production or consumption of NADH using a microplate based assay by measuring absorbance at 340 nm using a Thermo Labsystems Multiskan spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Optimal assay conditions for LDH in the lactate-oxidizing direction were 30 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5 / 8.0), 60 mM L-lactate, and 2 mM NAD+ in a total volume of 200 µL with 5 µL of purified enzyme added to start the assay. The optimal conditions for LDH in the pyruvate-reducing direction were 30 mM potassium phosphate, 1 mM pyruvate, and 0.2 mM NADH in a total volume of 200 µL with 2 µL of purified LDH. The \( K_m \) and \( IC_{50} \) values for lactate, NAD+ or pyruvate were determined by holding the co-substrate constant at 2 mM NAD+, 60 mM lactate, or 0.2 mM NADH. All kinetic analyses were conducted at 5°C, 22°C, and 37°C in phosphate buffer at pH 7.5 and 8.0.
SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting

After concentrating 5x using a Amicon® Ultra Filter (Millipore), purified euthermic and hibernating LDH samples were mixed 1:1 (v:v) with SDS loading buffer (100 mM Tris buffer, pH 6.8, 4% w:v SDS, 20% v:v glycerol, 0.2% w:v bromophenol blue, and 10% v:v β-MeSH) and boiled for 5 minutes, cooled on ice and frozen at -20°C until use. SDS resolving gels (10% v/v acrylamide, 400 mM Tris, pH 8.8, 0.1% w/v SDS, 0.2% w/v ammonium persulfate [APS], 0.04% v/v TEMED) were prepared with a 5% stacking gel (5% acrylamide, 190 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 0.1% w/v SDS, 0.15% w/v APS, 0.1% v/v TEMED). Purified samples were loaded onto these gels and separated electrophoretically in SDS-PAGE running buffer (25 mM Tris-base, pH 8.5, 190 mM glycine, and 0.1% w/v SDS) at 180 V for 45 min. A 2 µL aliquot of protein ladder (FroggaBio) was added to one lane of every gel to provide molecular weight markers. Commercially purified rabbit muscle LDH (Boehringer Mannheim) was also loaded onto the gel to confirm the correct location of LDH subunits. Following electrophoresis, proteins were electroblotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore) by wet transfer and used for immunoblotting.

PVDF membranes were equilibrated in methanol before the wet transfer of proteins from 10% SDS gels. Electroblotting was performed in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.5, 192 mM glycine, and 20% v/v methanol) at room temperature for 1.5 h at 160 mA. Following protein transfer, PVDF membranes were blocked with 5.0% skim milk for 10 minutes. Membranes were washed three times with Tris-buffered saline (100 mM Tris-base, 140 mM NaCl, pH 7.6) containing 0.05% Tween-20 (TBST) for 5 min each before one of the following primary antibodies (all from Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were
applied: (1) rabbit anti-phosphoserine (Cat. #618100); (2) rabbit anti-phosphothreonine (Cat. #718200); (3) rabbit anti-phosphotyrosine (Cat. #615800). All primary antibodies were diluted 1:1000 v:v in TBST with a small amount of sodium azide added. Primary antibodies were applied to membranes and allowed to incubate overnight at 4°C with gentle rocking. Membranes were washed with TBST (3 x 5min), and incubated with a 1:2000 v:v dilution of goat anti-rabbit IgG-peroxidase secondary antibody for 60 min at room temperature. Blots were washed with TBST (3 x 5 min) prior to chemiluminescence visualization on the ChemiGenius Bioimaging System (Syngene, Frederick, MD, USA). The band intensities were quantified using GeneTools software (Syngene, Frederick, MD, USA). To confirm equal protein loading of samples, Coomassie blue staining was subsequently performed on the membranes and used to standardize immunoblot band intensities. Protein-standardized band intensities for hibernator LDH were then expressed relative to the standardized signal intensities for control LDH samples.

**In vitro Incubations that Stimulate Protein Kinases or Protein Phosphatases**

Crude muscle extracts were prepared as described above, and then subsequently filtered through a small G-50 Sephadex column that had been pre-equilibrated in buffer B (25 mM potassium phosphate, 10% v:v glycerol, 15 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.0). Aliquots of the filtered supernatants were incubated overnight at 4°C with specific inhibitors and stimulators of protein kinases or protein phosphatases, as described in MacDonald and Storey (1999). Aliquot from extracts of both control and hibernator muscle were mixed 1:2 v:v with the following additions:
a. STOP condition (inhibits all protein kinases and protein phosphatases): 2.5 mM EGTA, 2.5 mM EDTA and 30 mM β-glycerophosphate.

b. Stimulation of total endogenous kinases (TKin): 30 mM β-glycerophosphate, 2 mM AMP, 2 mM cAMP, 10 mM ATP, 2 mM cGMP, 2.6 mM CaCl₂, 14 µg/mL phorbol myristate acetate, 20 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM Na₃VO₄.

c. Stimulation of total endogenous phosphatases (TPPase): 10 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM CaCl₂.

d. Stimulation of endogenous AMP-activated kinase (AMPK): 1 mM AMP, 5 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM Na₃VO₄.

e. Stimulation of endogenous calcium-calmodulin dependent kinase (CaMK): 1 U of calf intestine calmodulin, 1.3 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM Na₃VO₄.

f. Stimulation of endogenous cyclic-AMP dependent protein kinase (PKA): 1 mM cAMP, 5 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM Na₃VO₄.

g. Stimulation of endogenous cyclic-GMP dependent protein kinase G (PKG): 1 mM cGMP, 5 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM Na₃VO₄.

h. Stimulation of endogenous protein phosphatases 1 + 2A (PP1+PP2A): 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, 30 mM Na₃VO₄.

i. Stimulation of endogenous protein phosphatase 2B (PP2B): 2 mM EDTA, 1 µM okadaic acid, 5 mM Na₃VO₄.
j. Stimulation of endogenous protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C): 2 mM EGTA, 1 nM cypermethrin, 1 µM okadaic acid, 5 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$.

After incubation, LDH activities were analyzed in the lactate-oxidizing direction as described above (30 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, 2 mM NAD$^+$, and varying lactate) and $K_m$ lactate values for LDH were determined and compared to the STOP condition.

**Data Analyses**

Maximal LDH activity was determined at 5°C, 22°C and 37°C. The reaction temperature was altered by placing the Thermo Labsystems Multiskan spectrophotometer into a VWR International BOD 2020 Incubator (Sheldon Manufacturing Inc., Oregon USA) set to the desired temperature. Microplates filled with assay mixture (excluding the enzyme) were equilibrated in the same incubator for several minutes until the desired temperature was reached (as measured by a telethermometer). Plates were then placed into the spectrophotometer and reactions were initiated by the addition of enzyme.

Protein concentrations of all samples and fractions were measured using the Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) with serial dilutions of bovine serum albumin as the standard according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Enzyme activities were analyzed with a Microplate Analysis program (Brooks, 1994) and kinetic parameters were determined using a nonlinear least squares regression computer program (Kinetics v. 3.51) fully described in (Brooks, 1992). All points were fitted to a curve determined by the Hill equation ($h > 0$) within the Kinetics program. Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. from multiple independent determinations of kinetic parameters on separate preparations of enzyme. Data for euthermic versus hibernating
comparisons, pH comparisons, and western blots were analyzed using the Student’s t-test (two-tailed). Data for kinetic parameters in response to temperature changes were analyzed using one-way analysis of variances (ANOVAs) followed by a Tukey post hoc test. A probability value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Purification of LDH from the muscle of euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii*

Purification of LDH from the skeletal muscle of euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii* was accomplished by three chromatography steps with a DEAE$^+$ column (enzyme eluted in the unbound fraction), a Cibacron blue affinity column (elution with a 0–6 mM NADH and sodium pyruvate gradient), and lastly, a second Cibacron blue affinity column (elution with 0–2 M KCl gradient) (Table 2.1). Collection of the flow through fractions from the DEAE$^+$ column resulted in a 0.70- and 1.71- fold purification of recovered activity for the LDH from the muscle of euthermic and hibernating squirrels, respectively. Elution of euthermic and hibernator LDH from the Cibacron blue column using a metabolite gradient gave a 3.8- and 21.48- fold purification, respectively (Fig. 2.1a). Furthermore, the elution of euthermic and hibernator LDH from the second Cibacron blue column using a KCl gradient resulted in a 28.9- and 11.20- fold purification, respectively (Table 2.1; Fig. 2.1b). The overall purification resulted in final specific activities of 11,832 mU mg$^{-1}$ protein for euthermic LDH, and 9,313 mU mg$^{-1}$ for hibernator LDH (Table 2.1). As a result of this three-step purification scheme, LDH was purified to >95% homogeneity, as determined by gel electrophoresis and staining with silver nitrate.
The purified LDH had an apparent subunit molecular weight of 38 kDa (Fig. 2.2).

**Reversible phosphorylation of muscle LDH**

It was hypothesized that reversible protein phosphorylation might be the mechanism underlying the kinetic differences between LDH from euthermic and hibernating muscle reported in Table 2.2. To test this hypothesis, crude muscle extracts were incubated under conditions that stimulated the actions of endogenous protein kinases (Fig. 2.3a) or protein phosphatases (Fig. 2.3b) and the resulting effects on an LDH kinetic parameter ($K_m$ lactate) were measured. Incubation of euthermic extracts under conditions that stimulated the activities of endogenous protein kinases PKG and PKC did not change LDH $K_m$ lactate (Fig. 2.3a). However, stimulation of AMPK, CaMK, or PKA significantly increased euthermic $K_m$ lactate (ie. decreased affinity for lactate). Relative to the STOP condition ($K_m$ lactate = $1.66 \pm 0.05$ mM), AMPK, CAMK or PKA stimulation resulted in 2.0-, 1.7-, and 2.1-fold increases in the $K_m$ for crude euthermic LDH, respectively (P<0.05) (Fig. 2.3a).

The effect of incubating LDH under conditions that stimulated the actions of various protein phosphatases was also tested. Incubation of extracts under conditions that stimulated protein phosphatases decreased the $K_m$ lactate of LDH in extracts from euthermic animals under conditions that stimulated PP1 or PP2B; values decreased to about 60 and 70% of the unstimulated $K_m$ value, relative to the STOP condition (Fig. 2.3b).

Immunoblotting was used to assess possible differences in phosphorylation of purified muscle LDH from euthermic and hibernating conditions. Phosphorylation at serine
residues of hibernating LDH was 2.2 ± 0.30 fold higher compared to euthermic LDH (1 ± 0.07, P<0.05, Fig. 2.4). In addition to an increase in phosphorylation at serine residues, there was a 1.29 ± 0.05 fold increase in threonine phosphorylation of hibernating LDH relative to euthermic LDH (1 ± 0.07, P<0.05, Fig. 2.4). Comparable analysis of phospho-tyrosine residues found no significant difference in tyrosine phosphorylation between euthermic and hibernating LDH (P>0.05, data not shown).

**Kinetic activity of purified LDH from euthermic and hibernating muscle**

1. **Effects of reversible post-translational modifications on LDH kinetic parameters**

Analysis of the kinetic parameters of LDH from the muscle of euthermic and hibernating animals showed that the enzyme displayed hyperbolic substrate saturation curves with respect to both lactate and pyruvate (Fig. 2.5a,b). Distinct differences in $K_m$ values were found in some instances. In the lactate-oxidizing direction, the $K_m$ for lactate of LDH at 5°C was 1.8-fold higher for the hibernating (4.87 ± 0.45 mM) condition compared with the euthermic value (2.67 ± 0.17 mM) (P<0.05, Table 2.2, Fig. 2.5a). Although there were no significant differences found in the $K_m$ values for lactate between euthermic and hibernating LDH at 22°C and 37°C, the $V_{max}$ values at these temperatures increased 1.5- and 2.6- fold, respectively, for hibernating LDH as compared to euthermic LDH (Table 2.2). Furthermore, analysis of the $K_m$ for NAD$^+$ across the three temperatures revealed that the values for hibernating LDH enzyme were not significantly different from the $K_m$ values for euthermic LDH at any assay temperature (Table 2.2).

The maximal activity of LDH at the three temperatures (5°C, 22°C and 37°C) was also analyzed by an Arrhenius plot to determine the activation energy ($E_a$) for both the
lactate-oxidizing and pyruvate-reducing reactions of LDH. Examining the lactate-oxidizing direction for euthermic LDH, the $E_a$ (34.52 ± 6.68 kJ/mol) was not significantly different (P>0.05) than the $E_a$ for hibernating LDH (48.61 ± 13.19 kJ/mol, Table 2, Fig. 2.5c). For the pyruvate-reducing direction, comparable value for $E_a$ were 35.10 ± 12.62 kJ/mol for euthermic LDH and 55.26 ± 15.69 kJ/mol, again not significantly different.

In the pyruvate-reducing direction, at 22°C and 37°C there was no difference in the $K_m$ for pyruvate between euthermic and hibernating LDH. However, at 5°C the affinity for pyruvate was greater (ie. $K_m$ lower) for hibernating LDH (0.067 ± 0.005 mM) as compared to euthermic LDH (0.09 ± 0.01 mM, P<0.05, Table 2.2, Fig. 2.5b). When comparing the $V_{max}$ values of euthermic and hibernating LDH at 5 °C there was a significant decrease in the hibernating LDH $V_{max}$ (2.19 ± 0.29 ± 1.47 mU/µg) as compared to euthermic LDH (2.93 ± 0.15mU/µg, P<0.05, Table 2.2). However, at 37°C, there was a significant increase in the $V_{max}$ for hibernating LDH (26.97± 2.89 mU/µg) as compared to euthermic LDH (14.66 ± 2.33 mU/µg, Table 2.2). Lastly, the inhibitory effects of pyruvate on LDH activity did not change between euthermic and hibernating forms of the enzyme at 5°C and 37°C (Table 2.2). However, at 22°C there was a significant decrease in the $IC_{50}$ (inhibitor concentration that reduces activity by 50%) for pyruvate for hibernating LDH (4.42 ± 0.19 mM) as compared to euthermic LDH (7.52 ± 0.14 mM, P<0.05, Table 2.2).

**ii. Temperature effects on euthermic and hibernator forms of LDH**

As the temperature decreased from 37°C to 5°C, there was a general decrease in most of the kinetic parameters in the lactate-oxidizing direction for both euthermic and hibernating LDH. In the euthermic state, $K_m$ for lactate changed 0.51- fold as temperature decreased from 37°C (5.21 ± 0.66 mM) to 5°C (2.67 ± 0.17 mM, P<0.05, Table 2.2).
Likewise, there was a significant decrease in the \( K_m \) for NAD\(^+\) in the euthermic condition (Table 2.2). An increase in substrate affinity (decreased \( K_m \)) was also seen in the hibernating condition when comparing 37°C to 5°C (Table 2.2). However, unlike in the euthermic condition, a decrease in temperature did not significantly affect the affinity for lactate of hibernator LDH as the temperature decreased (\( P>0.05 \), Table 2.2). The change in temperature from 37°C to 5°C also lead to 0.21- and 0.11- fold changes in the \( V_{\text{max}} \) values in the lactate-oxidizing direction for euthermic and hibernator enzymes, respectively (Table 2.2).

In the pyruvate-reducing direction, the affinity for pyruvate in euthermic and hibernator LDH did not change with a decrease in temperature (Table 2.2). However, like observed in the lactate-oxidizing direction, the change in temperature from 37°C to 5°C lead to a decrease in \( V_{\text{max}} \) values for the pyruvate-reducing direction. The change in \( V_{\text{max}} \) was 0.20- fold for euthermic LDH, and 0.08- fold for hibernator LDH (\( P<0.05 \), Table 2.2).

iii. Effects of changing pH on euthermic and hibernator forms of LDH

Differences in the pH of the buffering system revealed interesting changes in substrate affinities and reaction velocities for both euthermic and hibernator LDH. When comparing the change in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 in the lactate-oxidizing direction, both euthermic and hibernator LDH showed a decrease in affinity for NAD\(^+\) with at least a 2-fold increases in \( K_m \) values across all three temperatures (Table 2.3a,b). Changes in pH did not affect the enzyme’s affinity for lactate across any of the temperatures in the euthermic condition. These trends are also seen for hibernator LDH at 22°C and 37°C. However, at 5°C a decrease in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 lead to a 1.4- fold increase in the \( K_m \) for lactate (Table 2.3b). At 37°C, the reaction velocities increased significantly for both euthermic and
hibernator LDH as pH decreased; however, at 5°C there was no significant change in $V_{max}$ values across both conditions with a change in pH (Table 2.3a,b).

In the pyruvate-reducing direction at 5°C, a decrease in pH did not affect pyruvate affinity, reaction velocity, or inhibition by pyruvate in either euthermic or hibernating conditions (Table 2.3a,b). However, at 37°C, a decrease in the pH lead to a significant increase in pyruvate affinity, as well as a significant decrease in the $IC_{50}$ of pyruvate for both euthermic and hibernator forms of the enzyme (Table 2.3a,b).
Table 2.1. Purification and yield of *U. richardsonii* LDH from muscle of (a) euthermic and (b) hibernating ground squirrels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Total Protein (mg)</th>
<th>Total Activity (mU)</th>
<th>Specific Activity (mU/mg)</th>
<th>Fold Purification</th>
<th>%yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>27.84</td>
<td>11389</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEAE</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>3869</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cibacron Blue (Pyruvate + NADH)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>1572</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cibacron Blue (KCl)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>11832</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Total Protein (mg)</th>
<th>Total Activity (mU)</th>
<th>Specific Activity (mU/mg)</th>
<th>Fold Purification</th>
<th>%yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>30.36</td>
<td>25235</td>
<td>831.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEAE</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>15408</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cibacron Blue (Pyruvate + NADH)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>4897</td>
<td>17852</td>
<td>21.48</td>
<td>19.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cibacron Blue (KCl)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>9313</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2. Kinetic parameters of purified muscle LDH from euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme parameter</th>
<th>Euthermic</th>
<th>Hibernating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Forward reaction (lactate → pyruvate, pH 8.0)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km lactate, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.66</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km lactate, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.31</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km lactate, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.17&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.87 ± 0.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>34.52 ± 6.68</td>
<td>48.61 ± 13.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.30</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.46&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 5°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Reverse reaction (pyruvate → lactate, pH 7.5)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km pyruvate, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km pyruvate, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km pyruvate, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>35.10 ± 12.62</td>
<td>55.26 ± 15.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>14.66 ± 2.33</td>
<td>26.97 ± 2.89&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>4.43 ± 0.32&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.83 ± 0.57&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 5°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.15&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.29&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; pyruvate, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>13.66 ± 1.46</td>
<td>15.16 ± 2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; pyruvate, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>7.52 ± 0.14&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; pyruvate, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>8.57 ± 1.16</td>
<td>9.71 ± 2.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> indicates a significant difference from corresponding euthermic condition, Student’s t test, two-tailed, P<0.05
<sup>b</sup> indicates a significant difference from 37°C within each condition, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test, P<0.05
Table 2.3. Effects of pH on purified muscle LDH from (a) euthermic and (b) hibernating *U. richardsonii*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme parameter</th>
<th>(a) Euthermic</th>
<th>(b) Hibernating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forward reaction (lactate→pyruvate)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
<td>pH 7.5</td>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km lactate, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.66</td>
<td>8.35 ± 1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km lactate, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.87 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km lactate, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.17</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>34.52 ± 6.68</td>
<td>44.61 ± 4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.30</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 5°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reverse reaction (pyruvate→lactate)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
<td>pH 7.5</td>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km pyruvate, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km pyruvate, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km pyruvate, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>4.87 ± 0.38</td>
<td>35.10 ± 12.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>3.66 ± 0.67</td>
<td>14.66 ± 2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.40</td>
<td>4.43 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 5°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>2.94 ± 0.40</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC$_{50}$pyruvate, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>24.32 ± 3.00</td>
<td>13.66 ± 1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC$_{50}$pyruvate, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>12.23 ± 0.37</td>
<td>7.52 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC$_{50}$pyruvate, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>9.29 ± 0.79</td>
<td>8.57 ± 1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* indicates a significant difference from pH 8.0 in each corresponding condition, Student’s t test, two tailed, P<0.05
Figure 2.1. Typical Cibacron Blue elution profiles for LDH activity from muscle of euthermic (control) and hibernating *U. richardsonii* using (a) 0-6 mM gradient of pyruvate + NADH and; (b) 0-2 M gradient of KCl as the elutant. Elution profiles are from separate runs of euthermic and hibernating enzyme but are superimposed here for viewing.
Figure 2.2. 10% SDS-PAGE gel with silver staining of samples taken at each step in the purification of LDH from muscle of euthermic *U. richardsonii*. Lanes (a) molecular weight ladder (FroggiaBio); (b) 1:100 diluted crude extract; (c) pooled LDH peak fractions after elution from the Cibacron blue agarose column with NADH+Pyruvate; (d) pooled LDH peak fractions from the Cibacron Blue agarose column after elution with KCl.
Figure 2.3. Effects of in vitro incubations to stimulate the activities of endogenous (a) protein kinases or (b) protein phosphatases on the $K_m$ for L-Lactate for LDH from euthermic *U. richardsonii* muscle. Crude extracts were incubated for 24 h before assay at 5°C. Data are means ± SEM, with at least n=3 separate determinations on enzyme isolated from different individuals. Conditions are defined in the Materials and Methods. Asterisks indicate significant differences from the ‘STOP’ condition, Student’s t-test, two-tailed, P < 0.05.
Figure 2.4. Quantification of post-translational modifications of purified LDH from muscle of euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii*. Chemiluminescence signal intensities were standardized to protein amount, and the value for hibernating LDH was expressed relative to the control value that was set to 1. Data are mean ± SEM, n=3-4 determinations on purified enzyme samples. Asterisks indicate significant differences from the corresponding control LDH, Student’s t-test, two-tailed, p < 0.05.
Figure 2.5. Michaelis-Menten curves for (a) forward (lactate-utilizing, 5°C) and (b) reverse (pyruvate-utilizing, 5°C) reactions catalyzed by purified euthermic and hibernating LDH; and (c) Arrhenius plots for the forward reaction LDH at three temperatures: 5°C, 22°C, 37°C. Michaelis-Menten curves for euthermic LDH (black circles) and hibernating LDH (open circles). Plots are fitted with a three-parameter Hill coefficient curve using SigmaPlot 12. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 3-4 individual determinations on purified enzyme samples.
Discussion

LDH is the terminal enzyme in anaerobic glycolysis; it facilitates the continuing generation of ATP during oxygen deficient times by regenerating the NAD$^+$ that is needed to maintain glycolytic flux and producing an end product (lactate) that can be accumulated and stored in high levels in tissues or transported for catabolism by other tissues (Hochachka and Somero, 2014). Its role in the production of the important redox molecule NAD$^+$ makes LDH an interesting target for analysis. Like other small mammalian hibernators, Richardson’s ground squirrels are capable of depressing their metabolism by entering into cycles of torpor and arousal over the winter months. Despite the depression of metabolism, these species must maintain vital physiological processes. With no food intake during the winter hibernation months, these animals must reorganize their fuel metabolism to maintain cell and tissue viability (Buck and Barnes, 2000). This reorganization is essential not only for the maintenance of muscle energetics throughout the hibernating season, but also critical for the arousal process since shivering thermogenesis plays a major role in the restoration of euthermic $T_b$ when animals arouse from a torpor bout (Wang and Lee, 1996). The present chapter shows that skeletal muscle LDH from euthermic versus hibernating animals displays different kinetic properties, different responses to temperature, and appears to be regulated via reversible protein phosphorylation.

i. **LDH purification**

Ground squirrel skeletal muscle LDH was purified to electrophoretic homogeneity by using a combination of ion-exchange and affinity chromatography. The end result was an enzyme preparation that was purified 29-fold to a specific activity of 11832 mU/mg
protein (Table 2.1; Fig 2.1). The fold purification and specific activity values for this LDH are in accordance with the values obtained using the same three-step purification scheme to purify LDH from the liver of *Xenopus laevis* (Katzenback et al., 2014). Thus, the results presented here shed light on the reproducibility of the ion-exchange and affinity chromatography purification scheme across different tissues and species and is the first of its kind for a mammalian hibernator.

**ii. Post-translational modification of LDH**

Although LDH is known to have multiple isozymes (Markert and Møller, 1959), skeletal muscle LDH is mainly a homotetramer of the muscle (M)-type subunit (LDH-5). To date, no differential regulation of LDH subunit gene expression has been reported that may contribute to the enzyme’s structural, functional, and/or regulatory properties in euthermic versus hibernating states. Furthermore, the high energetic costs associated with creating new proteins makes differential gene expression an unlikely event because during hibernation ground squirrels need to be in an energy-conserving state. With that in mind, structural and functional differences between euthermic and hibernating forms of LDH are likely due to reversible post-translational modifications of the protein. In the present study, the phosphorylation state of LDH was assessed through Western blot analysis using phosphospecific antibodies. The analysis using anti-phospho-serine and anti-phospho-threonine antibodies demonstrated that LDH from torpid animals showed significantly greater serine and threonine phosphorylation as compared to euthermic conditions (Fig. 2.4). LDH has been found to be differentially phosphorylated in other species capable of depressing their metabolism (Xiong and Storey, 2012; Dawson et al., 2013; Katzenback et al., 2014). In Xiong and Storey (2012), the hypometabolic (anoxic) form of liver LDH
from anoxia-tolerant turtles showed greater phosphorylation as compared to the control enzyme. The present data is consistent with the findings in turtles in that during hibernation (a hypometabolic state in mammals) LDH has greater phosphorylation compared to the euthermic enzyme. However, research conducted by Dawson et al. (2013) showed that during stress, the anoxic form of turtle muscle LDH was, in fact, the low phosphate form. The reversible phosphorylation differences seen in each of these studies may reflect varying regulatory mechanisms across different species, or the need for tissue-specific differences in LDH regulation. Despite differences in phosphorylation states, a similarity between the current study and the previous ones described above is that the increase in bound phosphate on LDH consistently decreased LDH activity in the lactate-oxidizing direction.

To assess the effect of changes in phosphorylation state on the kinetic characteristics of LDH, crude preparations of euthermic LDH were incubated under conditions that would stimulate protein kinases or phosphatases and the $K_m$ of lactate was assessed. Incubations that stimulated endogenous protein phosphatases caused a significant decrease in the $K_m$ of lactate for euthermic LDH (Fig 2.3b). Furthermore, incubations that investigated the role of specific protein kinases in altering LDH kinetics identified AMPK, CaMK, and PKA as kinases that caused an approximately 2-fold increase in the $K_m$ of lactate for control LDH (Fig. 2.3a). Each of these kinases has various roles in metabolism and may potentially be important regulators of LDH in vivo (Storey and Storey, 2004a). Most notably in vivo, LDH may be subject to AMPK control, AMPK being a kinase that is highly sensitive to cellular nutrient/energy status as reflected in the [AMP] to [ATP] ratio (reviewed in Hardie et al., 2006). Indeed, AMPK is often characterized as the energy sensor
or the cell. During fasting conditions, such as in hibernation, cellular [AMP] levels rise and in turn, activate AMPK. This AMPK activation has profound effects on glycolytic activity as it has been shown to down regulate the transcription of pyruvate kinase—a key glycolytic enzyme (Kawaguchi et al., 2002). In this study, phosphorylation of LDH via AMPK may serve as a complimentary way of ensuring that pyruvate levels stay minimal during hibernation.

**iii. LDH Kinetics**

Kinetic analysis of LDH purified from euthermic and hibernating animals revealed several differences between the two enzyme states. Properties of the enzymes were analyzed at three temperatures, 37°C, 22°C, and 5°C, representing the approximate \( T_b \) values that are reported in ground squirrels during euthermia, entrance in and out of torpor, and during hibernation, respectively. A comparison between euthermic and hibernating LDH revealed interesting findings. Most notably, at 5°C the \( K_m \) of lactate was substantially higher for hibernating LDH as compared to euthermic LDH (Table 2.2). Furthermore, at this same temperature, \( K_m \) of pyruvate was lower for hibernating LDH compared to the euthermic form (Table 2.2). Previous research has shown that NAD\(^+\) concentrations in a similar hibernator entering torpor are approximately 2 times higher than that found in their active state (Serkova et al., 2007). The LDH kinetic data presented in the current study, suggests that the hibernator form of LDH that is active at low \( T_b \) aids in the accumulation of lactate and NAD\(^+\).

The activity of ground squirrel LDH showed a linear response to temperature changes *in vitro* (Fig. 2.5c). Using pyruvate as a substrate, the activation energies of euthermic (35.10 ± 12.62 kJ/mol) and hibernating LDH (55.26 ± 15.69 kJ/mol) were not
significantly different from one another (Table 2.2) and are similar to that of the $E_a$ reported for human LDH-5 (40.9 kJ/mol) (Tanishima et al., 1995). Studies of the effect of temperature on $K_m$ for lactate revealed that a decrease in temperature from 37°C to 5°C increased binding affinity for the substrate in euthermic LDH but did not affect the binding affinity in the hibernating form (Table 2.2). This maintenance of $K_m$ of lactate aids in suppressing the lactate-oxidizing reaction catalyzed by LDH at the low body temperatures endured during torpor. Furthermore, and as expected, reaction velocities decreased approximately 2-fold for every 10°C drop in temperature. The effect of pH on LDH activity must also be considered as small changes in cytosolic pH may occur due to the effect of low temperature on cell buffering systems during hibernation.

Studies looking at muscle pH in the Colombian ground squirrel revealed a slight increase in cellular pH as the temperature decreased from 37°C (pH 7.24) to 5°C (pH 7.45) (McArthur et al., 1990). With this in mind, it was appropriate to look at the effect of pH on LDH activity in the current model. Although the increase in pH from 7.5 to 8.0 resulted in a greater affinity for L-lactate at 5°C, the resulting $K_m$ at pH 8.0 was higher than the $K_m$ values reported for euthermic LDH at either pH at 5°C (Figure 2.3). Therefore, at either pH value at 5°C, hibernating LDH is more effective than its euthermic counterpart in suppressing the lactate-oxidizing reaction.

iv. Conclusion

Purified skeletal muscle LDH from Richardson’s ground squirrels showed distinctly different structural and kinetic properties between euthemic and hibernating conditions, with hibernating LDH appearing to be less active in the lactate-oxidizing direction at a low, hibernating temperature as compared to euthermic LDH. Given that
skeletal muscle cells are generally non-gluconeogenic and many glycolytic enzymes are
suppressed during hibernation, LDH modification may be necessary to prevent the
accumulation of pyruvate and help maintain NAD$^+$ levels within muscle cells.
Chapter 3

Purification and Properties of Glycerol-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase from the Liver of the Hibernating Ground Squirrel, *Urocitellus richardsonii*
Introduction

To survive harsh winter climates, many small mammals are capable entering a hypometabolic state, marked by an active suppression of their metabolic rate (MR) to limit energy expenditures and a consequent lowering of their body temperature (T$_b$) (Lyman et al., 2013). Mammals that use torpor are generally classified as either species using daily torpor (i.e. daily heterotherms that drop their T$_b$ by a few degrees Celsius during the inactive part of their day) or multi-day hibernation. Daily heterotherms typically enter torpor bouts lasting between approximately 3 and 12 hours, typically in a facultative manner responding to current environmental temperature and food conditions. In contrast, hibernators cycle through consecutive, prolonged periods (on average 1 week for ground squirrels) of torpor and show a circannual rhythm where hibernation only occurs during the winter season (Geiser and Ruf, 1995). Richardson’s ground squirrels (Urocitellus richardsonii) are seasonal hibernators that allow T$_b$ to sink to near-ambient during winter dormancy but defend a T$_b$ about 5°C (using low levels thermogenesis by brown adipose) if ambient temperature falls below 0°C. Metabolic rate falls by approximately 95% relative to euthermic resting rate and overall, by hibernating, the squirrels save about 88% of the energy that would otherwise be needed to remain euthermic all winter (Wang and Lee, 1996).

Many studies have looked at the biochemical adaptations that mediate the entrance, maintenance, and exit from a hypometabolic state and regulate the enzymes associated with energy metabolism during hibernation (reviewed in Carey et al., 2003; Storey, 1997; Storey and Storey, 2010). Furthermore, hibernation is marked by the switch from carbohydrates as a main fuel source for energy to the oxidation of triglycerides, which are built up during
a period of hyperphagy and fattening just prior to hibernation (Dark, 2005). Previous research has indicted that torpor-arousal cycles either do not occur or are interrupted if fat stores are insufficient or if the amounts of necessary polyunsaturated fatty acids are too low (Cranford, 1978; Geiser *et al*., 1994; Frank, 1992; Florant, 1992; Florant *et al*., 1993). Triglyceride oxidation produces three important metabolites that aid in survival during the winter season. First, ATP energy is generated from the β-oxidation of fatty acids (FAs); second, the generation of ketone bodies such as acetoacetate and β-hydroxybutyrate serve as fuel sources for specialized tissue that cannot catabolize long chain FAs (i.e. brain); and third, glycerol which is derived from hydrolysis of triglycerides (Carey, 1993). In several species, the ability to produce glucose from glycerol is a fundamental evolutionary adaptation in the hibernation process and allows survival during harsh conditions (Yeh *et al*., 1995).

Cytosolic glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH, EC 1.1.1.8) is an important branch point enzyme connecting lipid metabolism and carbohydrate metabolism. G3PDH is responsible for a number of metabolic functions including: (a) contributing to reoxidization of the NADH formed at the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) reaction during aerobic glycolysis, (b) G3P generation for triglyceride and phospholipid synthesis, (c) facilitating transport of G3P across the mitochondrial membrane (as a part of the G3P shuttle), and the conversion of G3P to dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) during gluconeogenesis from glycerol (Bissell *et al*., 1976; and reviewed in Brisson *et al*., 2001). In humans, under normal conditions, gluconeogenesis from glycerol contributes very little to new glucose production (less than 5%). However, after 2-4 days of fasting, more than 20% of new glucose produced is derived from glycerol.
Furthermore, in times of prolonged starvation glycerol can be used as the only source of glucose production since glycogen reserves are depleted within two fasting days (Bortz et al., 1972; Owen et al., 1969, Baba et al., 1994). Previous research by Berrada et al. (2000) revealed that in the hibernator, Jaculus orientalis, cytoplasmic G3PDH activity increases during the hibernation process. Given that Richardson’s ground squirrels endure a period of long term starvation when they are in hibernation (as they do not eat and rely on endogenous fuel reserves over the winter), differential regulation via temperature effects and/or post-translational modifications (PTMs) of G3PDH may play an important role in modifying fuel metabolism and supporting gluconeogenesis over the winter months.

In the present study, G3PDH was purified from liver of euthermic and hibernating Richardson’s ground squirrels. G3PDH regulation was evaluated with a particular focus on an analysis of the structural and functional adaptations of this enzyme and temperature-induced effects on enzyme activity in the hibernating versus euthermic state. The structural modifications of G3PDH may be critical to the maintenance of enzyme function at low body temperatures and may aid in the metabolic plasticity that takes place during hibernation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Richardson’s ground squirrels were treated as previously described in Chapter 2.

**Preparation of liver tissue extracts**

Frozen liver tissue samples were homogenized 1:3 w:v in buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 25 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM β-
mercaptoethanol, 5% v:v glycerol) and a few crystals of phenylmethysulphonyl fluoride (PMSF) (added just prior to homogenization) using a Polytron homogenizer (Brinkmann Instruments, Rexdale, ON, Canada). Homogenates were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 minutes at 5°C, after which supernatants were decanted and held on ice until use.

**Purification of liver G3PDH**

A 3.0 mL sample of liver extract containing ~70 mg of total protein was applied to a Bio-Gel® HTP Hydroxyapatite (HA) (BioRad) column (1 cm [Diameter] x 1 cm [Height]) previously equilibrated in buffer A. The column was then washed with 15 mL of buffer A and 4 mL fractions were collected. From each fraction, 20 µL was assayed to detect G3PDH activity. The active fractions were pooled and transferred to buffer B (20 mM MES, pH 6.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 15 mM β-glycerophosphate, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5% v:v glycerol) by centrifugation (1 min @ 2000 rpm) through small columns of Sephadex G-25 (Sigma-Aldrich) equilibrated in buffer B. Once in buffer B, the active fractions were applied to a CM-Sephadex (Pharmacia) column (1 cm x 5 cm). The CM-Sephadex column was washed with 30 mL of buffer B to remove unbound proteins and then a linear gradient of 0–2 M KCl was applied to elute bound proteins. Fractions of 1.20 mL were collected and 20 µL from each fraction was assayed to detect G3PDH activity. After fractions containing maximal G3PDH activity were pooled, the sample was desalted and transferred back to buffer A via low speed centrifugation in the same manner described for the transfer to buffer B. The sample was then loaded on to a Cibacron Blue 3GA (Sigma-Aldrich) column (1 x 5 cm), washed with 30 mL of buffer A, and bound proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of 0–3 M KCl in buffer A. The fractions were assayed for G3PDH activity, and the active fractions were pooled and desalted using an
Amicon® Ultra Filter (Millipore). The purity of the G3PDH sample was checked by denaturing and reducing gel electrophoresis followed by silver staining as described in Chapter 2. G3PDH from liver of euthermic and hibernating ground squirrels were purified in the same manner.

**Detection of G3PDH via Silver Staining Technique**

Silver staining procedure (Blum *et al.*, 1987) is the same as previously described in Chapter 2.

**G3PDH assay**

G3PDH activity was measured as the rate of production NADH using a microplate based assay by measuring absorbance at 340 nm using a Thermo Labsystems Multiskan spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Optimal assay conditions for G3PDH in the G3P-oxidizing direction were 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5 / 8.0), 15 mM G3P, and 2 mM NAD⁺ in a total volume of 200 µL, including 30 µL of purified enzyme added to start the assay. The $K_m$ values for G3P and NAD⁺ were determined by holding the co-substrate constant at 2 mM NAD⁺, or 15 mM G3P while varying the substrate of interest. $IC_{50}$ values were obtained via the addition of increasing concentrations of inhibitor molecules (urea, guanidine HCl) to purified enzyme whereas $T_{50}$ values were obtained via incubation of purified G3PDH at 50°C for increasing amounts of time. G3PDH activities in $IC_{50}$ and $T_{50}$ experiments were assayed at 22°C in 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 8.0) using optimal substrate concentrations described above.
SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting

After concentrating 3x using a Amicon® Ultra Filter (Millipore), purified samples of euthermic and hibernating G3PDH were mixed 1:1 (v:v) with SDS loading buffer (100 mM Tris buffer, pH 6.8, 4% w:v SDS, 20% v:v glycerol, 0.2% w:v bromophenol blue, and 10% v:v 2-mercaptoethanol) and boiled for 5 minutes, cooled on ice and frozen at -20°C until use. SDS resolving gels (10% v/v acrylamide, 400 mM Tris, pH 8.8, 0.1% w/v SDS, 0.2% w/v ammonium persulfate [APS], 0.04% v/v TEMED) were prepared with a 5% stacking gel (5% acrylamide, 190 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 0.1% w/v SDS, 0.15% w/v APS, 0.1% v/v TEMED). Samples were loaded onto these gels and separated electrophoretically in SDS-PAGE running buffer (25 mM Tris-base, pH 8.5, 190 mM glycine, and 0.1% w/v SDS) at 180 V for 45 min. A 2 µL aliquot of protein ladder (FroggaBio) was added to one lane of every gel to provide molecular weight markers. Commercially purified rabbit muscle G3PDH (Sigma Aldrich) was also loaded onto the gel to confirm the correct location of G3PDH subunit. Following electrophoresis, proteins were electroblotted onto polyvinylidiene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore) by wet transfer and used for immunoblotting.

PVDF membranes were equilibrated in methanol before the wet transfer of proteins from 10% SDS gels. Electroblotting was performed in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.5, 192 mM glycine, and 20% v/v methanol) at room temperature for 1.5 h at 160 mA. Following protein transfer, PVDF membranes were blocked with 2.5% skim milk for 10 minutes. Membranes were washed three times with Tris-buffered saline (100 mM Tris-base, 140 mM NaCl, pH 7.6) containing 0.05% Tween-20 (TBST) for 5 min each before one of the following primary antibodies were applied: (1) rabbit anti-phosphoserine (Cat.
(2) rabbit anti-phosphothreonine (Cat. #718200, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA); (3) rabbit anti-phosphotyrosine (Cat. #615800, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA); (4) rabbit anti-methylated lysine (SPC-158F, StressMarq, Biosciences Inc., Victoria, BC, Canada); (5) rabbit anti-pan-acetyl (CR)-R (Cat. # sc-8663-R, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA); or (6) rabbit anti-ubiquitin (ab19247, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). All primary antibodies were diluted 1:1000 v:v in TBST with a small amount of sodium azide added. Primary antibodies were applied to membranes and allowed to incubate overnight at 4°C with gentle rocking. Membranes were washed with TBST (3 x 5min), and incubated with a 1:2000 v:v dilution of goat anti-rabbit IgG-peroxidase secondary antibody for 60 min at room temperature. Blots were washed with TBST (3 x 5 min) prior to chemiluminescence visualization on the ChemiGenius Bioimaging System (Syngene, Frederick, MD, USA). The band intensities were quantified using GeneTools software (Syngene, Frederick, MD, USA). To confirm equal protein loading of samples, Coomassie blue staining of membranes was subsequently performed and used to standardize immunoblot band intensities. Protein-standardized band intensities for hibernator G3PDH were then expressed relative to the standardized signal intensities for control G3PDH samples.

**Bioinformatics and Molecular Modeling**

Bioinformatic analyses were used to support the data obtained experimentally in this study. Since the genome for Richardson’s ground squirrel is unsequenced, the predicted G3PDH sequence from thirteen-lined ground squirrel (13-LGS; *I. tridecemlineatus*), the only sequenced ground squirrel hibernator, was used for assessing differences in G3PDH structure. Of two predicted 13-LGS G3PDH cytoplasmic isoforms,
X1 (Accession: XP_005324992.1) and X2 (Accession: XP_005324993.1), which have 93.8% similarity (Supplementary Fig. S3.1), the amino acid sequence from the X1 isoform was used for subsequent analyses. To observe the conservation of G3PDH amino acid residues across different mammals, the predicted G3PDH amino acid sequence from 13-LGS was aligned with naked mole rat, (*Heterocephalus glaber*), mouse (*Mus musculus*), and human (*Homo sapiens*) G3PDH using ClustalW2 (Larkin *et al.*, 2007) (Supplementary Fig. S3.2). To identify possible phosphorylation sites, the predicted G3PDH amino acid sequence was inputted into the NetPhos 2.0 server (Blom *et al.*, 1999). Despite differences in the amino acid sequences of the G3PDH cytoplasmic isoforms, the predicted phosphorylation sites were conserved (Supplementary Fig. S3.3a,b).

The predicted tertiary and quaternary structure of G3PDH was created using the SWISS-MODEL server (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/) (Arnold *et al.*, 2006). The crystal structure from human G3PDH (PDB identifier: 1X0V) was used to build the ground squirrel G3PDH model. Next, the protein model was protonated, optimized by energy minimization using MMFF94× force field model, and modified for phosphorylation in Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) software (v.2011.10) (Chemical Computing Group, QC).

**Data Analyses**

Analysis of liver G3PDH was conducted in a similar manner as described in Chapter 2. Examples of data analyses from multiple independent determinations of kinetic parameters on separate preparations of enzyme are found in the Supplementary Materials (Supplementary Fig. S3.5).
Results

Purification of G3PDH from the liver of eutherms and hibernators of *U. richardsonii*

Purification of G3PDH from the liver of eutherms and hibernators of *U. richardsonii* was accomplished by three chromatography steps: a HA column (enzyme eluted in the unbound fraction), a CM column (elution with a 0–2 M KCl), and lastly, a Cibacron blue affinity column (elution with 0–3 M KCl gradient) (Table 3.1). Collection of the flow-through fractions from the HA column resulted in a 4.82- and 4.97-fold purification of recovered activity for liver G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating squirrels, respectively (Table 3.1). Elution of eutherms and hibernators G3PDH from the CM column using a KCl gradient gave a net 21.48- and 14.20-fold purification, respectively (Table 3.1; Fig. 3.1a). Finally, the elution of eutherms and hibernators G3PDH from the Cibacron blue column using a KCl gradient resulted in a net 273.51 and 272.56-fold purification, respectively (Table 3.1; Fig. 3.1b). The final purification resulted in specific activities of 2,174 mU mg⁻¹ protein for eutherms G3PDH, and 2,900 mU mg⁻¹ for hibernator G3PDH (Table 3.1). As a result of this three-step purification scheme, G3PDH was purified to >95% homogeneity, as determined by gel electrophoresis and staining with silver nitrate (Fig. 3.2). The purified G3PDH had an apparent subunit molecular weight of 37 kDa (Fig. 3.2).

Reversible post-translational modifications of liver G3PDH

Immunoblotting was used to assess possible differences in post-translational modifications of purified liver G3PDH from eutherms and hibernating conditions. Phosphorylation at serine and threonine residues of hibernating G3PDH was not
significantly different when compared to euthermic G3PDH (P>0.05, Fig. 3.3a). However, when comparing phosphorylation on tyrosine residues, there was a 1.70 ± 0.12 fold increase in phosphorylation of hibernating G3PDH relative to euthermic G3PDH (1.00 ± 0.07, P<0.05, Fig. 3.3a). A comparable analysis of post-translational modifications via methylation, acetylation, and ubiquitination revealed no significant differences between euthermic and hibernator liver G3PDH (P>0.05, Fig. 3.3b).

**Prediction of phosphorylated amino acid residues and molecular modeling of liver G3PDH**

To find potential reversible phosphorylation sites in Richardson’s ground squirrel G3PDH, the predicted protein sequence of G3PDH in thirteen-lined ground squirrel (*I. tridecemlineatus*) was used since it has a sequenced genome. Analysis of the predicted 13-LGS G3PDH amino acid sequence (NCBI Reference Sequence: XP_005324992.1) via the phosphorylation site predict server NetPhos 2.0 (Blom et al., 1999) indicated 7 predicted potential phosphorylation residues (Supplementary Fig. 3.3a). Interestingly, there was only one predicted potential phospho-tyrosine residue. With an increase in relative tyrosine phosphorylation in hibernating G3PDH compared to euthermic G3PDH, a molecular model was built to elucidate this difference with the inclusion of a phosphate on the predicted tyrosine (\(^83\)Tyr) phosphorylation residue (Figure 3.4).

With respect to the primary structure of the enzyme, the amino acid sequence seems to be highly conserved across mammals (Supplementary Fig. S3.2). As depicted by MOE model, the predicted ground squirrel G3PDH has a homodimeric quaternary structure (Fig. 3.4). The tertiary structure of the enzyme consisted of a C-terminal helical substrate-
binding domain and an eight-stranded $\beta$-sheet sandwich domain at the N-terminal. With that being said, the predicted ground squirrel G3PDH model is highly similar to the quaternary structure of the human form of G3PDH (Supplementary Fig. S3.4).

**Kinetic activity of purified G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating ground squirrel liver**

1. *Effects of reversible post-translational modifications on liver G3PDH activity*

Analysis of the kinetic parameters of G3PDH from the muscle of euthermic and hibernating animals showed that G3PDH displayed hyperbolic substrate saturation curves with respect to both G3P and NAD$^+$ (Fig. 3.5). In the G3P-oxidizing direction, there were significant increases in the $K_m$ values for G3P between euthermic and hibernating G3PDH at 37°C and 22°C (Table 3.2). Interestingly, at 5°C hibernating G3PDH had a greater affinity for G3P since the $K_m$ of G3P (0.48 ± 0.05 mM) decreased to 58% of the euthermic value (0.83 ± 0.02 mM, P<0.05, Table 3.2). When looking at the co-substrate, the $K_m$ values for NAD$^+$ at 22°C and 37°C were not significantly different when comparing the euthermic and hibernating conditions (P>0.05, Table 3.2). However, like the trend for G3P at 5°C, there was a significant decrease in the $K_m$ of NAD$^+$ (0.09 ± 0.04 mM) in the hibernating condition compared to the euthermic condition (0.12 ± 0.05 mM, P<0.05, Table 3.2).

With respect to G3PDH reaction velocities in the G3P-oxidizing direction, the $V_{\text{max}}$ values at 37°C and 22°C decreased by 53% and 58%, respectively, for hibernating G3PDH as compared to euthermic G3PDH (P<0.05, Table 3.2). However, at 5°C, hibernating G3PDH $V_{\text{max}}$ was not significantly different than its euthermic counterpart (Table 3.2).
The maximal activity of euthermic and hibernator G3PDH at the three temperatures (37°C, 22°C, and 5°C) was analyzed. An Arrhenius plot was created to determine the activation energy (E_a) for the G3P-oxidizing reaction of G3PDH. For hibernator G3PDH, the E_a (38.1 ± 2.5 kJ/mol) was significantly lower (P<0.05) compared to the E_a for euthermic G3PDH (64.2 ± 12.2 kJ/mol, Table 3.2).

Last, both euthermic and hibernator G3PDH were subjected to denaturants to assess the structural stability of the two enzyme forms. When exposed to guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl), comparison of the IC_{50} values indicated that less of the denaturant was required to inhibit the hibernator form of the enzyme relative to the euthermic (Table 3.2). Despite the decrease in enzyme stability due to GuHCl, hibernator G3PDH was found to be more thermally stable as indicated by a 2.1-fold higher T_{50} value relative to the euthermic enzyme (P<0.05, Table 3.2).

**ii. Temperature effects on euthermic and hibernator forms of liver G3PDH**

As the temperature decreased from 37°C to 5°C, there was a general decrease in most of the kinetic parameters in the G3P-oxidizing direction for both euthermic and hibernating G3PDH. Notably, in the hibernating state, K_m for G3P decreased by 69% as temperature decreased from 37°C (1.56 ± 0.14 mM) to 5°C (0.48 ± 0.05 mM, P<0.05, Table 3.2). Likewise, there was a significant decrease in the K_m for NAD^+ when comparing 37°C to 5°C (Table 3.2). Furthermore, the change in temperature from 37°C to 5°C also lead to 96% and 82% decreases in the V_{max} values in the G3P-oxidizing direction for euthermic and hibernator enzymes, respectively (Table 3.2).
iii. **Effect of H\(^+\) concentration on euthermic and hibernator forms of G3PDH**

When comparing the change in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 in the G3P-oxidizing direction, euthermic G3PDH showed an increase in affinity for G3P at 37°C as indicated by a 28% decrease in K\(_m\) (P<0.05); however, this trend was reversed at 5°C as there was a decrease in G3P affinity with an increase in H\(^+\) concentration (Table 3.3a). Changes in pH did not affect the enzyme’s affinity for G3P at 37°C hibernating condition; however at 22°C, the K\(_m\) for G3P decreased as the buffer pH changed from 8.0 to 7.5 (Table 3.3b).

Like the trends found for G3P, a change in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 lead to increased G3PDH affinity for NAD\(^+\). With a change in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 at 22°C in the euthermic and hibernator conditions, K\(_m\) NAD\(^+\) decreased by 62% and 53%, respectively (Table 3.3).

With respect to enzymatic reaction velocities, at 22°C, V\(_{\text{max}}\) decreased significantly for euthermic G3PDH as pH decreased; however, at 37°C there was no significant change in V\(_{\text{max}}\) (Table 3.3a). In hibernator G3PDH, there was also a decrease in V\(_{\text{max}}\) as the pH decreased from 8 to 7.5 although this change occurred at 37°C instead of 22°C (Table 3.3b).
Table 3.1. Purification and yield of *U. richardsonii* G3PDH from liver of (a) euthermic and (b) hibernating animals.

(a) | Step       | Total Protein (mg) | Total Activity (mU) | Specific Activity (mU/mg) | Fold Purification | %Yield |
---|------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|
    | Crude      | 69.16               | 549.51              | 7.95                      | -                 | 100    |
    | HA         | 5.66                | 217.16              | 38.34                     | 4.82              | 39.52  |
    | CM-        | 0.30                | 51.36               | 170.63                    | 21.48             | 9.35   |
    | Cibacron Blue (KCl) | 0.016           | 34.79               | 2174.38                   | 273.51            | 6.33   |

(b) | Step       | Total Protein (mg) | Total Activity (mU) | Specific Activity (mU/mg) | Fold Purification | %Yield |
---|------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|
    | Crude      | 77.88               | 828.92              | 10.64                     | -                 | 100    |
    | HA         | 6.54                | 346.00              | 52.88                     | 4.97              | 41.74  |
    | CM-        | 0.35                | 53.51               | 151.16                    | 14.20             | 6.46   |
    | Cibacron Blue (KCl) | 0.016           | 46.40               | 2900.00                   | 272.56            | 5.60   |
Table 3.2. Kinetic parameters of purified liver G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme parameter</th>
<th>Euthermic</th>
<th>Hibernating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Forward reaction (G3P→DHAP, pH 8)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.14&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 5°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>62.4 ± 12.2</td>
<td>38.1 ± 2.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; urea, 22°C (M)</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; GuHCl, 22°C (M)</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;, 50°C (min)</td>
<td>6.74 ± 0.67</td>
<td>14.31 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Indicates a significant difference from the corresponding euthermic condition, Student’s t test, two-tailed, P<0.05
<sup>b</sup> Indicates a significant difference from 37°C within each condition, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test, P<0.05
Table 3.3. Effects of pH on purified liver G3PDH from (a) euthermic and (b) hibernating *U. richardsonii*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme parameter</th>
<th>(a) Euthermic</th>
<th></th>
<th>(b) Hibernating</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Forward reaction (G3P→DHAP)</em></td>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
<td>pH 7.5</td>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
<td>pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicates a significant difference from pH 8.0 in each corresponding condition, Student’s t test, two-tailed, *P<0.05*
Figure 3.1. Typical elution profiles for G3PDH activity from liver of euthermic (control) and hibernating *U. richardsonii* using (a) 0-2M gradient of KCl on a CM-Sephadex column and; (b) 0-3M gradient of KCl as the elutant on a Cibacron Blue agarose column. Elution profiles are from separate runs of euthermic and hibernating enzyme but are superimposed here for viewing.
Figure 3.2. 10% SDS-PAGE gel with silver staining of samples taken at each step in the purification of G3PDH from liver of euthermic *U. richardsonii*. Lanes (a) molecular weight ladder (FrogliaBio); (b) 1:10 diluted pooled sample from hydroxyapatite; (c) pooled G3PDH peak fractions after elution from the CM-Sephadex column with KCl; (d) pooled G3PDH peak fractions after elution from the Cibacron Blue agarose column with KCl; (e) purified rabbit G3PDH (positive control); (f) 1:100 crude homogenate.
Figure 3.3. Quantification of post-translational modifications of purified G3PDH from liver of euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii* via (a) phosphorylation, and (b) methylation, acetylation, and ubiquitination. Chemiluminescence signal intensities were standardized to protein amount, and the value for hibernating G3PDH was expressed relative to the control value that was set to 1. Data are mean ± SEM, n=3-4 determinations on purified enzyme samples. Asterisks indicate significant differences from the corresponding euthermic G3PDH, Student’s t-test, two-tailed, P <0.05.
Figure 3.4. The predicted structure of G3PDH from liver of hibernating Richardson’s ground squirrel using SWISS-MODEL with human G3PDH as reference (PDB identifier: 1x0v.pdb1.gz). The G3PDH dimeric structure is shown as a cartoon representation. The monomer is identified within the quaternary structure by a black box. The predicted phosphorylation site (on residue 83Tyrosine) is circled in yellow; the N-terminal eight-stranded β-sheet sandwich domain (from residues 3–190) is coloured in blue and identified by a (•); the C-terminal helical substrate-binding domain (from residues 193–349) is coloured in red.
Figure 3.5. Michaelis-Menten curves for substrates of the G3P-utilizing (22°C, pH 8.0) reaction catalyzed by purified euthermic (a,b) and hibernating (c,d) G3PDH. Michaelis-Menten curves for G3P affinity (top panels) and NAD (lower panels) are shown. Plots are fitted with a three-parameter Hill coefficient curve using SigmaPlot 12. Data are mean ± SEM, n = 4 individual determinations on purified enzyme samples.
Supplementary Materials

Figure S3.1. Amino acid alignment of thirteen-lined ground squirrel G3PDH cytoplasm isoform X1 (tVariant1; Accession: XP_005324992.1) with isoform X2 (tVariant2; Accession: XP_005324993.1).
Figure S3.2. Multiple alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of thirteen-lined ground squirrel (13LGS; *I. tridecemlineatus*) G3PDH as compared to G3PDH sequences from three non-hibernating mammalian species. Asterisks (*) denote amino acid residues that are conserved across all species; colon (:) indicates conservation between residues of strongly similar properties; period (.) indicates conservation between residues of weakly similar properties. Accession numbers for naked mole rat (Naked), mouse, and human G3PDH sequences are EHB09222.1, NP 034401.1, and AAB50200.1, respectively.
Figure S3.3. Predicted phosphorylation sites for 13-LGS G3PDH cytoplasm (a) isoform X1 and (b) isoform X2 using NetPhos 2.0 server (Blom et al., 1999). Dot (.) indicates residue is predicted not to be phosphorylated because the score is below the threshold value (0.500). Scores above the threshold of 0.500 are predicted to be phosphorylated and are assigned as *S*, *T*, or *Y*. 
Figure S3.4. Crystal structure of human glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1-like protein (PBD ID: 1X0V).
Figure S3.4. Kinetic values obtained from multiple independent determinations for the G3P-utilizing (22°C, pH 8.0) reaction catalyzed by purified euthermic (a,b) and hibernating (c,d) G3PDH.
Discussion

G3PDH has been shown to play an important role in mediating lipid metabolism (Wise and Green, 1979), gluconeogenesis (Yeh et al., 1995), thermogenesis (Kozak et al., 1991 and Koza et al., 1996), and the transfer of NADH reducing equivalents to the electron transport chain (Bissell et al., 1976). As shown in this study, differential regulation of liver G3PDH from euthermic versus hibernating ground squirrels could mediate the fine control of these aforementioned processes during winter dormancy. Moreover, reversible post-translational modification and temperature-dependent features of liver G3PDH modify its enzymatic activity to facilitate the catabolism of triglycerides shown to take place during hibernation (Dark, 2005).

Ground squirrel liver G3PDH was purified to electrophoretic homogeneity by a combination of HA, ion-exchange, and affinity chromatography (Fig 3.1). The apparent subunit molecular weight (MW) (~37 kDa) of this dimeric enzyme was determined by SDS-PAGE and corresponds well with the MWs reported for the same enzyme in other species (Berrada et al., 2002; Koekemoer et al., 1995; Albertyn et al., 1992, de la Roche et al., 2012). The end result was an enzyme preparation that was purified ~250-fold, and showed a specific activity of ~2500 mU/ mg (Table 3.1). The purification scheme used in this study yielded a greater fold purification of the final enzyme as compared to other purification methods for G3PDH from hibernating mammals (Berrada et al., 2002; de la Roche et al., 2012). Thus, the purification procedure presented here indicates a novel and effective way of separating mammalian G3PDH from a complex protein mixture.

Reversible phosphorylation of proteins (RPP) has been shown to play a major regulatory role that mediates the plasticity of metabolic reactions when animals enter a
depressed metabolic state. This process has been studied extensively in hibernating species, and is known to help control multiple processes (Storey and Storey, 2010) including the activities of ion motive ATPases (MacDonald and Storey, 1999), glycolytic enzymes (Brooks and Storey, 1992), ribosomal proteins (van Breukelen et al., 2004), and multiple transcription factors (reviewed in Carey et al., 2003; Storey, 1997) all showing differential regulation via reversible phosphorylation. The present study is the first of its kind to show the reversible phosphorylation of G3PDH as a means of regulating this enzyme during hibernation. The data presented in this study indicate that Richardson’s ground squirrel liver G3PDH is a phosphoprotein (Fig. S3.3), with greater phosphorylation on tyrosine residues seen in the hibernating form of the enzyme (Fig. 3.3).

The advent mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics to identify and detect novel PTMs has surely contributed to the growing realization of the importance of PTMs in protein regulation. In eukaryotes, multiple frequently occurring PTMs (apart from phosphorylation) include acetylation, methylation, ubiquitylation, glycosylation, lipidation, and proteolysis (reviewed in Walsh et al., 2005). Previous research in our lab has shown differential regulation of metabolic enzymes via ‘non-phospho’ post-translational modifications (Abboud and Storey, 2013; Katzenback et al., 2014). With this knowledge, the present study G3PDH for potential acetyl, methyl, and ubiquitin post-translational modification differences between euthermic versus hibernating ground squirrels. In the present study, fluorescence values detecting relative acetyl, methyl, and ubiquitin levels for euthermic and hibernating G3PDH showed no significant differences between the two enzymatic forms (Fig 3.3b). These data suggest that these 3 post-translational modifications do not play a role in the differential regulation of G3PDH.
Complimentary to the experimental studies on ground squirrel G3PDH, a set of bioinformatics tools were used to analyze the G3PDH sequence using as a model the sequence from the 13-lined ground squirrel, a closely related hibernator with a sequenced genome. This analysis was aimed at identifying ground squirrel amino acid residues that are subject to tyrosine phosphorylation. Using the phosphorylation site prediction server, NetPhos2.0 (Blom et al., 1999), it was predicted that differential phosphorylation on tyrosine residue 83 may play a role in stabilizing G3PDH functionality at high versus low temperatures (Fig. S3.3, Fig. 3.4).

Kinetic analysis of G3PDH activity was measured in the G3P-oxidizing direction at a range of temperatures similar to those that ground squirrels experience during euthermia (~37°C), entrance and exit from torpor (~22°C), and during deep hibernation (~5°C). Arrhenius plots of G3PDH for ground squirrel liver G3PDH showed no breaks in the linear relationship and yielded a decrease in activation energy for the hibernating enzyme compared to the euthermic state (Table 3.2). Structural changes in G3PDH may account for the reduced activation energy ($E_a$) of the G3P-utilizing reaction of hibernator G3PDH relative to euthermic G3PDH. Furthermore, the effect of pH on enzymatic activity was tested. For both euthermic and hibernator G3PDH all significant changes (except for the affinity for G3P at 5°C of euthermic G3PDH) resulting from a drop in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 increased substrate affinities and reaction velocities (Table 3.3). These results contradict the findings of Berrada et al. (2002) who reported a decrease in euthermic jerboa skeletal muscle G3PDH activity as the pH decreased. Despite the fact that for most dehydrogenases the pH optimum for the lactate-utilizing reaction is close to 9, the increase in enzymatic activity due to the effect of pH in liver G3PDH seen in this study compared
skeletal muscle G3PDH in jerboa may arise from tissue-specific structural differences. Liver G3PDH from hibernating animals exhibited an increased affinity for G3P and NAD$^+$ when assayed at 5°C relative to 37°C whereas $K_m$ G3P in the euthermic condition did not change with a reduction in assay temperature (Table 3.2). These findings imply functional differences between the euthermic and hibernator enzyme forms. Furthermore, G3PDH from hibernating animals also showed much stronger affinities for G3P and NAD$^+$ at low temperature compared with the euthermic form and the thermal stability (as measured by $T_{50}$—the time in which 50% of activity is lost) of hibernator G3PDH at 50°C was much greater as indicated by a 2-fold increase in $T_{50}$ relative to euthermic G3PDH (Table 3.2). These findings indicate greater structural rigidity of the hibernator enzyme compared to the euthermic form. Furthermore, this structural rigidity could be responsible for the maintaining active site integrity with respect to changing temperatures for hibernating G3PDH.

Structure–function studies using chemical denaturants also revealed differences between the enzymes from euthermic and hibernating ground squirrels. Hibernator G3PDH showed a greater sensitivity to GuHCl as indicated by a lower IC$_{50}$ value (the half maximal inhibitory concentration) indicative greater protein unfolding compared to euthermic G3PDH (Figure 3.2). However, despite the greater sensitivity to increasing guanidine hydrochloride concentrations of hibernator G3PDH compared to its euthermic form, the hibernating form of liver G3PDH from Richardson’s ground squirrel showed greater structural stability compared to a non-hibernator (rabbit; IC$_{50}$ ~ 0.1M) and a hibernator (black-tailed prairie dog; IC$_{50}$ ~0.25M) (de la Roche et al., 2012). This response GuHCl indicates structural differences between the enzymes across species and reveals superior
structural integrity of Richardson’s ground squirrel G3PDH when challenged by chemical denaturation.

The present study demonstrates that liver G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating ground squirrels has different physical and functional parameters. These differences may be the result of the greater phosphorylation of hibernator G3PDH which could act to render the protein more suitable for function at the low $T_b$ that this species experiences during winter dormancy. Liver G3PDH from this hibernator maintains better functionality at low temperatures (as indicated by increased G3P and NAD$^+$ affinities relative to euthermic values) and has greater temperature stability. These properties would help to sustain G3PDH function over the wide range of body temperatures encountered as ground squirrels cycle through bouts of torpor and arousal over the hibernating season.

In humans, the liver plays an important role in glucose biosynthesis from non-carbohydrate sources. With that in mind, one would expect that gluconeogenic processes in the liver would be up-regulated in a starved state, such as during hibernation. The increase in total lipid and fatty acid levels during torpor relative to summer arousal (Serkova et al., 2007) would also indicate that mammalian hibernators have sufficient lipid precursors for fuel utilization as well as for the formation of new cellular integrity components (i.e. reformation of lipid membranes to support low temperature functionality). Glycerol released from triglyceride hydrolysis in other tissues is typically transported to the liver and, together with glycerol derived from endogenous lipolysis, forms an important pool of gluconeogenic precursors. Via the action of glycerol kinase (glycerol + ATP $\rightarrow$ G3P + ADP), this carbon source can be re-introduced into pathways of intermediary metabolism, G3PDH being the main enzyme that connects lipid metabolism
to carbohydrate metabolism. Hence, enhanced G3PDH activity facilitating the G3P-oxidizing reaction (G3P + NAD$^+$ $\rightarrow$ DHAP + NADH) at low temperatures supports the idea of increased gluconeogenesis from glycerol released during triglyceride-fueled hibernation. The production of DHAP could also be used for the formation of plasmalogen—a unique class of membrane glycerophospholipids containing a fatty alcohol with a vinyl-ether bond at the $sn$-1 position, and enriched in polyunsaturated fatty acids at the $sn$-2 position of the glycerol backbone (reviewed in Braverman and Moser, 2012). The unique structure of these phospholipids make them particularly suitable for functionality at low temperatures due to their high degree of unsaturation. Since DHAP is a precursor to the formation of these specialized phospholipids (Brites et al., 2004), an up-regulation of G3PDH could help facilitate their formation at the low $T_b$ values exhibited in ground squirrels during hibernation.
Chapter 4

Purification and Properties of Glycerol-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase from Skeletal Muscle of the Hibernating Ground Squirrel, *Urocitellus richardsonii*
Introduction

Mammalian hibernators, including ground squirrels, undergo extreme physiological and morphological changes in the attempt to conserve energy during the winter season. These changes are marked by altered body composition (e.g. extreme fattening) and a switch from summer homeothermy and winter heterothermy (reviewed in Carey et al., 2003). In preparing for this switch, hibernating ground squirrels undergo intense periods of hyperphagy during the summer months to build up large endogenous depots of triglycerides (mainly in white adipose tissue) which are then catabolized during the fasting, winter months. In addition, by lowering their body temperature ($T_b$) during multiday torpor bouts, hibernators are capable of capitalizing on energy savings and can survive solely on endogenous fuel reserves over the winter months (Lyman, 2013).

The functions of specific tissues are temporally modified during hibernator winter dormancy, with skeletal muscle being a prime example. During arousal periods (coming out of torpor and into interbout arousal), squirrels rewarm from low $T_b$ (~5°C) to euthermic $T_b$ (~37°C) using endogenous mechanisms of heat production. The rewarming process is an ‘explosive’ event in which whole body metabolic rates can exceed basal euthermic metabolic rates sevenfold (Hampton and Andrews, 2007). A large portion of the rewarming process occurs via skeletal muscle shivering thermogenesis—a necessary component of the arousal process (Lyman and Chatfield, 1950; Hayward et al., 1965). On the other hand, during entry into torpor—and subsequent lowering of $T_b$—shivering is suppressed. Furthermore, during hibernation, muscle activity is essentially non-existent as indicated by no EMG activity (Daan et al., 1991). How skeletal muscle is capable of modifying its metabolism in each of these scenarios has prompted considerable interest.
The ability of skeletal muscle to limit atrophy during hibernation is also a subject of interest. Long periods of skeletal muscle inactivity in non-hibernators evokes disuse atrophy; however, in hibernators, limited loss of skeletal muscle mass occurs after enduring torpor inactive periods (lasting ~2 weeks in ground squirrels) (Nowell et al., 2011; Wicker et al., 1987; Steffen et al., 1991). The suppression of muscle atrophy in ground squirrels during hibernation may be simply due to a temperature-reduction of protein degradation rates as these species drop their $T_b$. However, research by Nowell et al. (2011) suggests that the reduced muscle atrophy seen in hibernators is most likely due to an endogenous, seasonally regulated feature of skeletal muscle.

Hibernator skeletal muscle must deal with two conflicting matters during the winter heterothermic period. First, the need to preserve contractile functionality for arousals, yet suppress contractility during both the entrance and maintenance phases of hibernation. Second, muscle maintain the ability to break down endogenous fuels for shivering and locomotion, yet limit tissue atrophy. Therefore, hibernator skeletal muscle must be capable of regulating (1) energy savings during extended inactivity, (2) the short-lived motor requirements accompanying winter arousal periods, and (3) typical activity following emergence from torpor.

To better understand the observed paradoxical function of skeletal muscle, this study focuses on the enzymatic regulation of cytosolic G3PDH—an important branch point enzyme which connects triglyceride metabolism and carbohydrate metabolism—in Richardson’s ground squirrels (*Urocitellus richardsonii*). Studies are conducted over several temperatures that represent $T_b$ across the hibernator's year. Previous work by de la Roche et al. (2011) revealed that skeletal muscle G3PDH substrate affinities increased
significantly ($K_m$ G3P and DHAP decreased) at low temperature in a hibernating species, black-tailed prairie dogs (*Cynomys ludovicianus*), whereas $K_m$ NAD and DHAP in a non-hibernator (rabbit) G3PDH increased. Furthermore, skeletal muscle G3PDH activity has been shown to increase during hibernation in jerboa (*Jaculus orientalis*) promoting the production of dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) (Berrada et al., 2000). These findings suggest that skeletal muscle G3PDH might be subject to differential regulation during hibernation in Richardson’s ground squirrel as well.

Studies of G3PDH in the liver of Richardson’s ground squirrels reveal differential regulation via reversible phosphorylation during hibernation promoting the catabolism of triglycerides to be used for gluconeogenic purposes (see Chapter 3). The fact that the principal fuel substrate used during hibernation is lipids (Willis, 1982) combined with gluconeogenic role of liver support the need for G3PDH up-regulation in liver tissue to produce the glucose for export to tissues that have little or no ability to use lipid fuels. The need for G3PDH activation in skeletal muscle, a primarily glucose utilizing tissue, may be required to not only restore glycogen stores but also for the maintenance of essential biological functions. With that said, the present study investigates the physical, kinetic, and regulatory properties of ground squirrel skeletal muscle G3PDH and presents a role for reversible phosphorylation as the main mechanism for regulating G3PDH during hibernation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Richardson’s ground squirrels were treated as previously described in Chapter 2.
Preparation of muscle tissue extracts

Frozen muscle tissue samples were homogenized 1:3 w:v in buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 25 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5% v:v glycerol) and a few crystals of phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF) (added just prior to homogenization) using a Polytron homogenizer (Brinkmann Instruments, Rexdale, ON, Canada). Homogenates were centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 minutes at 5°C, after which supernatants were decanted and held on ice until use.

Purification of muscle G3PDH

Purification of muscle G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating ground squirrels was done in the same manner as liver G3PDH described in Chapter 3. The purity of the G3PDH sample was checked by denaturing and reducing gel electrophoresis followed by silver staining as described in Chapter 2.

Detection of G3PDH via Silver Staining Technique

Silver staining procedure (Blum et al., 1987) is the same as previously described in Chapter 2.

G3PDH assay

Description of G3PDH assay conditions is previously described in Chapter 3.

SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting

Gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting procedures are the same as described previously in Chapter 3.
Bioinformatic analyses for G3PDH used for the predictions of potential phosphorylation sites are described in Chapter 3.

Predicted tertiary and quaternary structures of G3PDH were built using the I-TASSER Protein Structure & Function Prediction server (http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/) (Yang et al., 2015; Roy et al., 2010; Zhang, 2008). The top G3PDH model predicted by I-TASSER was used for subsequent analyses. The protein model was protonated, optimized by energy minimization using MMFF94× force field model, and modified for the predicted phosphorylation sites in Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) software (v.2011.10) (Chemical Computing Group, QC).

Data Analyses

Maximal G3PDH activity was determined at 5°C, 22°C and 37°C using the same spectrophotometer and procedures described in Chapter 2.

Protein concentrations of all samples and fractions were measured using the Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) as described in Chapter 2.

Enzyme activities were analyzed with a Microplate Analysis program (Brooks, 1994) and kinetic parameters were determined using the direct-linear plot method fully described by Eisenthal (1974). Data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. from multiple independent determinations of kinetic parameters on separate preparations of enzyme. Data for euthermic versus hibernating comparisons, pH comparisons, and western blots were analyzed using the Student’s t-test (two-tailed). Data for kinetic parameters in response to
temperature changes were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey post hoc test. A probability value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Examples of data analysis from multiple independent determinations of kinetic parameters on separate preparations of enzyme are found in the Supplementary Materials (Supplementary Fig. S4.1).

Results

Purification of G3PDH from the muscle of euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii*

Purification of G3PDH from the liver of euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii* was accomplished by three chromatography steps: a HA column (enzyme eluted in the unbound fraction), a CM column (elution with a 0–2 M KCl), and lastly, a Cibacron blue affinity column (elution with 0–3 M KCl gradient) (Table 4.1). Collection of the flow through fractions from the HA column resulted in a 4.23- and 8.18- fold purification of recovered activity for the G3PDH from the muscle of euthermic and hibernating squirrels, respectively (Table 4.1). Elution of euthermic and hibernator G3PDH from the CM column using a KCl gradient gave a 114.17- and 107.55- fold purification, respectively (Table 4.1). Furthermore, the elution of euthermic and hibernator G3PDH from the Cibacron blue column using a KCl gradient resulted in 450.38 and 622.41- fold final purifications, respectively (Table 4.1; Fig. 4.1b). The final purification resulted in specific activities of 1,860 mU mg\(^{-1}\) protein for euthermic G3PDH, and 2,227 mU mg\(^{-1}\) for hibernator G3PDH (Table 4.1). As a result of this three-step purification scheme, G3PDH was purified to >95% homogeneity, as determined by gel electrophoresis and staining with silver nitrate.
The purified G3PDH had an apparent subunit molecular weight of 37 kDa (Fig. 4.2).

**Reversible post-translational modifications of muscle G3PDH**

Immunoblotting was used to assess possible differences in post-translational modifications of purified muscle G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating conditions. Phosphorylation at threonine and tyrosine residues of hibernating G3PDH were not significantly different when compared to euthermic G3PDH (P>0.05, Fig. 4.3). However, when comparing phosphorylation on serine residues, there was a 1.46 ± 0.15 fold increase in phosphorylation of hibernator G3PDH relative to euthermic G3PDH (1.00 ± 0.08, P<0.05, Fig. 4.3).

**Prediction of phosphorylated amino acid residues and molecular modeling of muscle G3PDH**

To find potential reversible phosphorylation sites in Richardson’s ground squirrel G3PDH, the predicted protein sequence of G3PDH from thirteen-lined ground squirrels (*Ictidomys tridecemlineatus*) was used since this species has a sequenced genome. Analysis of the predicted 13-LGS G3PDH amino acid sequence (NCBI Reference Sequence: XP_005324992.1) via the phosphorylation site predict server NetPhos 2.0 (Blom et al., 1999) indicated 7 predicted potential phosphorylation residues, 2 of which were located on serine residues (Supplementary Fig. S3.3).

The I-TASSER Server was used to assess structural features of ground squirrel G3PDH. The overall C-score and TM-score were 0.65 and 0.80 ± 0.09, respectively. In short, the confidence of the model is quantitatively measured by the C-score which is...
calculated based on the significance of threading template alignments and the convergence parameters of the structure assembly simulations. The TM-score is estimated based on C-score and protein length following the correlation observed between these qualities.

With an increase in relative serine phosphorylation in hibernator muscle G3PDH compared to euthermic G3PDH (Fig. 4.3), the top I-TASSER model was used as a template to build a molecular model to elucidate this difference. The inclusion of phosphates on the predicted serine phosphorylation residues (\(^{303}\text{Ser} \&^{328}\text{Ser}\)) revealed that these modifications are located on the helical binding domain of the enzyme (Figure 3.4).

**Kinetic activity of purified G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating ground squirrel muscle**

1. **Effects of reversible post-translational modifications on muscle G3PDH activity**

In the G3P-oxidizing direction, there was a significant decrease in the \(K_m\) values for G3P between euthermic and hibernating G3PDH at 5°C when measured at pH 7.5 and pH 8.0 (Table 4.2). At 5°C, pH 8, hibernating G3PDH had a greater affinity for G3P since the \(K_m\) of G3P (0.80 ± 0.11 mM) was just 24% of the euthermic value (3.39 ± 0.76 mM, \(P<0.05\), Table 4.2). Likewise, at pH 7.5 and 5°C, the \(K_m\) value for hibernator G3P (0.93 ± 0.23 mM) was 53% of the euthermic value (1.73 ± 0.11 mM, \(P<0.05\), Table 4.2). When looking at the co-substate, the \(K_m\) values for NAD\(^+\) at 5°C and 37°C were not significantly different when comparing the euthermic and hibernating conditions (\(P>0.05\), Table 4.2). However, at 22°C and pH 7.5, there was a significant increase in the \(K_m\) of NAD\(^+\) (0.30 ±
0.02 mM) in the hibernating condition compared to the euthermic condition (0.18 ± 0.03 mM, P<0.05, Table 4.2).

With respect to G3PDH reaction velocities in the G3P-oxidizing direction, the $V_{\text{max}}$ values at 37°C, 22°C and 5°C at pH 8 were not significantly different when comparing hibernator G3PDH to euthermic G3PDH (P>0.05, Table 4.2). However, at 22°C and pH 7.5, hibernating G3PDH $V_{\text{max}}$ was significantly different than its euthermic counterpart as it increased 1.7-fold (Table 4.2).

Last, both euthermic and hibernator G3PDH were subjected to denaturants to assess the structural stability of the two enzyme forms. When exposed to guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) or urea, comparison of the IC$_{50}$ values indicated that higher concentrations of the denaturants were required to inhibit the hibernator form of the enzyme relative to the euthermic (Table 4.2). Furthermore, hibernator G3PDH was found to be more thermally stable as indicated by a 1.9-fold higher T$_{50}$ value relative to the euthermic enzyme (P<0.05, Table 4.2).

**ii. Temperature effects on euthermic and hibernator forms of muscle G3PDH**

When assayed at pH 8.0, as the temperature decreased from 37°C to 5°C, the affinity of the euthermic enzyme for G3P decreased. In the euthermic state, $K_m$ for G3P increased 2.5-fold as temperature decreased from 37°C (1.35 ± 0.26 mM) to 5°C (3.39 ± 0.05 mM, P<0.05, Table 4.2). Also at pH 8.0, there was a significant decrease in the $K_m$ for NAD$^+$ when comparing 37°C to 5°C (Table 4.2). Temperature changes occurring at pH 7.5 did not have any effect on the Km for G3P for either the euthermic and hibernator forms of G3PDH. However, as the temperature decreased to 5°C, NAD$^+$ affinity increased for both euthermic and hibernator G3PDH (Table 4.2).
The maximal activity of euthermic and hibernator G3PDH at the three temperatures (37°C, 22°C, and 5°C) was analyzed. Not unexpectedly, the change in temperature from 37°C to 5°C resulted in significant decreases in the $V_{max}$ values in the G3P-oxidizing direction for euthermic and hibernator enzymes at both pH values (Table 4.2). These data were graphed as an Arrhenius plot and used to calculate activation energies ($E_a$) for euthermic and hibernator G3PDH in the G3P-oxidizing reaction. No significant differences in $E_a$ were found between the two enzymes ($P>0.05$, Table 4.2).

**iii. Effect of $H^+$ concentration on euthermic and hibernator forms of G3PDH**

When comparing the change in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 in the G3P-oxidizing direction, euthermic G3PDH showed an increase in affinity for G3P at 5°C as indicated by a 51% lower $K_m$ ($P<0.05$, Table 4.3a). Changes in pH did not affect the enzyme’s affinity for G3P in the hibernating condition (Table 4.3b).

Like the trend found for euthermic $K_m$ G3P at 5°C, a change in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 at 22°C lead to increased G3PDH affinity for NAD$^+$ (Table 4.3a). With a change in pH from 8.0 to 7.5 at 22°C in the euthermic condition, $K_m$ NAD$^+$ was reduced by 51%; whereas $K_m$ NAD$^+$ in the hibernator condition remained unchanged across the three temperatures (Table 4.3).

With respect to enzymatic reaction velocities at 22°C, $V_{max}$ decreased significantly for euthermic G3PDH as pH decreased (Table 4.3a). In hibernator G3PDH, there was no significant change in $V_{max}$ as the pH decreased from 8 to 7.5 across any of the three temperatures tested (Table 4.3b).
Table 4.1. Purification and yield of *U. richardsonii* G3PDH from skeletal muscle of (a) euthermic and (b) hibernating.

(a) | Step | Total Protein (mg) | Total Activity (mU) | Specific Activity (mU/mg) | Fold Purification | %Yield |
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Crude | 30.04 | 124.06 | 4.13 | - | 100 |
| HA | 4.93 | 86.12 | 17.47 | 4.23 | 69.42 |
| CM- | 0.14 | 66.01 | 471.50 | 114.17 | 53.21 |
| Cibacron Blue (KCl) | 0.014 | 26.04 | 1860.00 | 450.38 | 20.99 |

(b) | Step | Total Protein (mg) | Total Activity (mU) | Specific Activity (mU/mg) | Fold Purification | %Yield |
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Crude | 40.39 | 144.55 | 3.58 | - | 100 |
| HA | 3.77 | 110.42 | 29.29 | 8.18 | 76.39 |
| CM- | 0.22 | 84.68 | 384.91 | 107.55 | 58.58 |
| Cibacron Blue (KCl) | 0.020 | 44.55 | 2227.50 | 622.41 | 30.82 |
Table 4.2. Kinetic parameters of purified muscle G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating *U. richardsonii*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme parameter</th>
<th>Euthermic</th>
<th>Hibernating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forward reaction (G3P → DHAP, pH 8)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>3.39 ± 0.76&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 5°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.002&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>40.88 ± 4.11</td>
<td>42.29 ± 4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; urea, 22°C (M)</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt; GuHCl, 22°C (M)</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;, 50°C (min)</td>
<td>9.81 ± 0.13</td>
<td>19.04 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forward reaction (G3P → DHAP, pH 7.5)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 5°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation Energy (kJ/mol)</td>
<td>36.07 ± 15.06</td>
<td>46.96 ± 2.507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> indicates a significant difference from the corresponding euthermic condition, Student’s t test, two-tailed, P<0.05  
<sup>b</sup> indicates a significant difference from 37°C within each condition, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test, P<0.05
Table 4.3. Effects of pH on purified muscle G3PDH from (a) euthermic and (b) hibernating U. richardsonii.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme parameter</th>
<th>(a) Euthermic</th>
<th>(b) Hibernating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forward reaction (G3P→DHAP)</strong></td>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
<td>pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km G3P, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>3.39 ± 0.76</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 37°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 22°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km NAD, 5°C (mM)</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 37°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 22°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax, 5°C (mU/µg)</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> indicates a significant difference from pH 8.0 in each corresponding condition, Student’s t test, two-tailed, P<0.05
Figure 4.1. Typical elution profiles for G3PDH activity from muscle of euthermic (control) and hibernating *U. richardsonii* using (a) 0-2M gradient of KCl on a CM-Sephadex column and; (b) 0-3M gradient of KCl as the eluant on a Cibacron Blue agarose column. Elution profiles are from separate runs of euthermic and hibernating enzyme but are superimposed here for viewing.
Figure 4.2. 10% SDS-PAGE gel with silver staining showing FroggaBio molecular weight ladder (kDa) (left) and purified euthermic *U. richardsonii* skeletal muscle G3PDH (right).
Figure 4.3. Quantification of post-translational modifications of purified G3PDH from muscle of euthermic and hibernating U. richardsonii via phosphorylation. Chemiluminescence signal intensities were standardized to protein amount, and the value for hibernating G3PDH was expressed relative to the control value that was set to 1. Data are mean ± SEM, n=3-4 determinations on purified enzyme samples. Asterisk indicates significant differences from the corresponding control G3PDH, Student’s t-test, two-tailed, P <0.05.
Figure 4.4. The predicted structure of muscle G3PDH from hibernating Richardson’s ground squirrels using I-TASSER (Yang et al., 2015; Roy et al., 2010; Zhang, 2008) with the 13-LGS G3PDH amino acid sequence as reference (NCBI Reference Sequence: XP_005324992.1). Both the G3PDH (a) monomer and (b) dimeric structure are shown as cartoon representations. The monomer is identified within the quaternary structure by a black box. The predicted phosphorylation sites (on residues 303Serine & 328Serine ) are circled in yellow; the N-terminal eight-stranded β-sheet sandwich domain (from residues 3–190) is identified by (•) ; the C-terminal helical substrate-binding domain (from residues 193–349) is shown in the dimeric structure.
Figure S4.1. Kinetic values obtained using direct-linear plots (Eisenthal, 1974). Data shown is from multiple independent biological replicates for the G3P-utilizing (22°C, pH 8.0) reaction catalyzed by purified eutherinic G3PDH. The $K_m$ values were averaged to obtain the reported $K_m$ value for the given condition ($1.08 \pm 0.12 \text{ mM}$) found in Tables 4.2 & 4.3. This method used to obtain all subsequent kinetic values.
Discussion

G3PDH plays an important role in metabolism serving as the bridge connecting triglyceride and carbohydrate metabolism. Previous research has elucidated this enzyme’s regulatory mechanisms and temperature-dependent features that could support its role in triglyceride catabolism as an essential fuel source during mammalian hibernation (de la Roche et al., 2012; Berrada et al., 2000). More recently, G3PDH has been shown to be regulated by reversible phosphorylation in the liver of Richardson’s ground squirrel (Chapter 3). These findings suggest that G3PDH could also be subject to metabolic regulation in skeletal muscle of the ground squirrel. Tight regulation of metabolic processes in this tissue should be essential during hibernation where skeletal muscle is virtually inactive during torpor but must remain ‘physiologically primed’ to greatly increase its metabolic rate and undertake strong shivering thermogenesis that is needed as part of the rewarming process hibernators as animals arouse out of torpor.

Since triglycerides are the primary fuel for winter survival, and given the role of G3PDH in processing the glycerol (once converted to G3P) derived from triglyceride hydrolysis (Dark, 2005), the main goal of this study was to examine the regulatory processes of this important enzyme of lipolysis, comparing euthermic and hibernating states. Specifically, G3PDH from skeletal muscle of Richardson’s ground squirrels was purified to homogeneity using a three step procedure (Table 4.1). The apparent molecular weight of the subunit (~37 kDa; Fig. 4.2) determined by SDS-PAGE was consistent with previous molecular weight determinations for this dimeric enzyme that is composed of two identical subunits (Menaya et al., 1995; Stebeck et al., 1996; Berrada et al., 2002; de la Roche et al., 2012).
The functional and structural properties of skeletal muscle G3PDH were compared for euthermic and hibernating ground squirrels. Arrhenius plots of G3PDH showed no breaks in the linear relationship and yielded identical activation energies for the euthermic and hibernator enzymes (Table 4.2). Maximum activities of G3PDH were measured in the G3P-oxidizing direction, the direction associated with triglyceride breakdown. From these measurements, in all cases, except for euthermic $K_m$ G3P, there was a relative increase in enzyme substrate affinity in the oxidative direction (G3P + NAD$^+$) at 5°C compared to 37°C (Table 4.2). Furthermore, distinctions between euthermic and hibernator G3PDH lie in a comparison of functional and structural parameters at 37°C, 22°C, and 5°C. Hibernator G3PDH exhibited increased affinities for both G3P and NAD$^+$ when assayed at 5°C relative to the euthermic enzyme (Table 4.2). This implies functional differences between these two enzyme forms. Interestingly, the finding that a decrease in temperature promoted increased G3P affinity in the hibernating state and had the opposite effect for the euthermic species may suggest that a temperature-sensitive post-translational modification may be the cause of these opposite trends. In any case, the finding of greater G3P substrate affinity of the hibernator form at low temperature seems to be in accordance to expectations for metabolism during low $T_b$ which should predictably promote the oxidation of G3P, derived from triglyceride hydrolysis, while the ground squirrel is hibernating.

Structure–function studies using chemical denaturants and temperature perturbation also revealed differences between the skeletal muscle G3PDH from euthermic and hibernating species. Both of these perturbations induced changes in enzyme stability that were monitored by changes in $IC_{50}$ (for chemical denaturants) and $T_{50}$ (for thermal stability). Temperature induced conformational changes were monitored by incubating
euthermic and hibernator G3PDH at 50°C and then measuring the time that it took for the enzyme’s activity to be reduced to half of its initial $V_{max}$. This $T_{50}$ value was 2-fold greater relative to euthermic G3PDH indicating that the hibernator form was relatively more thermally stable enzyme. A less rigid structure could also account for the greater thermal stability of hibernator G3PDH when incubated in vitro at 50°C. With respect to chemically-induced changes in activity, euthermic G3PDH maximal activity was affected by guanidine hydrochloride and urea to a greater degree relative to hibernator G3PDH (Table 4.2). The responses to denaturants indicate structural differences between the two enzyme forms and suggest a greater structural integrity of hibernator G3PDH when faced with chemical denaturation.

Previous studies have shown that many enzymes are subject to reversible phosphorylation (reviewed in Storey and Storey, 2004a). As seen for ground squirrel liver G3PDH (Chapter 3), G3PDH from the skeletal muscle of Richardson’s ground squirrel was differentially regulated in its euthermic and hibernating enzymatic forms via reversible protein phosphorylation. The data presented in this study indicate that *U. richardsonii* skeletal muscle G3PDH is a phosphoprotein, phosphorylated on serine residues (Fig. 4.3). The change in the phosphorylation state of G3PDH during hibernation suggests that reversible phosphorylation of G3PDH may adjust enzyme function in response to changing conditions. The kinetic alterations identified in this study suggest that skeletal muscle G3PDH from euthermic and hibernator *U. richardsonii* exists in two distinct forms. While the exact nature of the aforementioned post-translational modification is not explicitly known, it seems as though post-translational modifications of skeletal muscle G3PDH during hibernation result in an increase in the G3P-oxidizing functionality.
Endothermic species are able to capitalize on the higher kinetic energy of molecules to increase the rate of cellular reactions via the production of endogenous heat. However, during hibernation, enzymes must function properly at low $T_b$ and also remain integrated with the activities of other enzymes in the metabolic pathways within which they function. Interestingly, an increase in G3P affinity by G3PDH seems to occur despite the low temperatures that these ground squirrels experience during winter hibernation. This suggests that G3PDH structural modifications allow for low temperature functionality necessary to promote the maintenance of lipid derived glycerol breakdown during hibernation when glucose levels are low. In order to compliment the experimental studies on Richardson ground squirrel G3PDH, bioinformatics tools were used to analyze the G3PDH sequence from the 13-lined ground squirrel, a closely related hibernator with a sequenced genome. This analysis was aimed at identifying G3PDH amino acid post-translational modification sites that may play a role in stabilizing enzyme functionality at high versus low temperatures. While the predicted structural changes observed for 13-LGS ground squirrel G3PDH cannot be definitively validated as also applying to the Richardson’s ground squirrel model without the Richardson’s G3PDH protein sequence, the experimental as well as bioinformatics comparisons to homothermic species support a less rigid conformation of the enzyme from the heterothermic, hibernating mammal (de la Roche et al., 2012). The addition of phosphate groups to the predicted serine amino acid residues may aid in promoting the enhanced G3P affinity seen at low temperatures in the hibernator form of the G3PDH.

In conclusion, differential controls on enzyme function are sometimes needed in order to achieve hibernation specific functions (reviewed in Storey, 1997). During the
hibernating season, G3PDH catalyzes the conversion of G3P to DHAP. Once converted to DHAP this metabolite is fed into carbohydrate metabolism to be directed into either glycolysis or gluconeogenesis, the latter being the primary fate under starved conditions in mammals (ie. as in obligate hibernators) (Bortz et al., 1972, Owen et al., 1969). Even in skeletal muscle during hibernation, when skeletal muscle movement is essentially halted, the conversion of lipid-derived glycerol to carbohydrates may be essential for the maintenance of key cellular features, and ensuring that this tissue remains viable for the ‘explosive events’ associated with the rewarming processes and exit out of torpor.
Chapter 5

General Discussion
The acquisition of energy from food is a ubiquitous requirement for all animals to sustain normal physiology and behavior. Over evolutionary time, natural selection has favored animals capable of adapting to environmental fluctuations in the quantity and quality of food available. With that in mind, an adaptive strategy that some animal species have mastered when faced with day to day or season to season fluctuations in food availability or hostile environmental conditions is the ability to depress their metabolic rate and enter a state of suspended animation (hypometabolism) until favourable conditions return (reviewed in Storey & Storey, 1999, 2004; Guppy & Withers, 1999). This thesis focused on the mammalian version of metabolic rate depression—hibernation.

Many small mammals are faced with the challenge of surviving harsh winter climates. In mammals, hibernation is one adaptive strategy used to save energy under adverse winter environmental conditions (i.e. extreme low temperatures, shortened day length, sometimes also very dry) which often leads to inadequate food intake (reviewed in Carey et al., 2003; Davis, 1976). To fight the cold and limited food resources, Richardson’s ground squirrels move into underground burrows and hibernate—surviving solely on endogenous fuel resources built up in the pre-winter months (Wang, 1998) and abandoning euthermic life since the energy demands to maintain an elevated $T_b$ are too great. Along with the ability to lower their $T_b$, hibernation in Richardson’s ground squirrels is marked by significant decreases in all physiological processes (i.e. heart rate, breathing rate, and kidney filtration rate) and an ~95% decrease in metabolic rate relative to the basal metabolic rate (Geiser, 2004). These adaptations have allowed these small mammals to survive harsh environmental conditions.
With hibernation, a degree of metabolic plasticity is needed in most tissues in an effort to satisfy the new cellular energy demands of the hypometabolic state. With that said, during hibernation, mammals primarily use lipid fuel to satisfy their energy demands (South and House, 1967). As a consequence, carbohydrate catabolism and anabolism vary from summer arousal to torpor as indicated by tissue-specific glycolytic and gluconeogenic metabolite levels (Galaster and Morrison, 1975; Serkova et al. 2007). However, the emphasis on lipid catabolism and sparing of carbohydrate fuel does not mean that carbohydrate metabolism is completely halted. This present thesis aimed at assessing the fine interplay between lipid and carbohydrate metabolism by means of enzymatic regulation.

Despite the largely inactive state of skeletal muscle during hibernation, oxidation of glucose for energy occurs throughout the hibernation cycle (Tashima et al., 1970)—albeit at a much lower rate. Total CO$_2$ and ATP outputs that are produced under aerobic conditions indicate that glucose makes up ~10% of the metabolic energy usage during ground squirrel hibernation (Galster and Morrison, 1970; Morrison, 1960). Furthermore, evidence that glycolysis still occurs during hibernation is supported by the production of pyruvate and lactate (Galster and Morrison, 1970; Galaster and Morrison, 1975; El Hachimi et al., 1990). During arousal periods, the contribution of carbohydrates is reported to increase as animals rewarm, with glucose oxidation aiding energy production for skeletal muscle shivering thermogenesis (Buck and Barnes, 2000).

The recurring fluctuations in skeletal muscle metabolic activity makes it reasonable to hypothesize that glycolysis would be regulated over the hibernation cycle of torpor and arousal. With that in mind, a continual cycle of the production and degradation
of proteins, including glycolytic enzymes, would be energetically costly and during a time of limited energy supply such a mechanism would not be ideal. Instead, the regulation of enzymes via reversible post-translational modifications offers a quick, energetically feasible method of control. Thus, the findings in this thesis regarding the reversible phosphorylation of LDH suggest that post-translational modifications help to regulate carbon flow through glycolysis within ground squirrel skeletal muscle during hibernation. Moreover, suppression of this enzyme coincides well with previous investigations of ground squirrel skeletal muscle hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase—all of which are suppressed during ground squirrel hibernation by mechanisms that include reversible phosphorylation (Abnous and Storey, 2008; Macdonald and Storey, 2005; Bell et al., 2014).

In mammalian tissue, ATP production is mostly derived from glucose and lipid oxidation (Wolfe, 1998). As alluded to previously, lipid oxidation increases during hibernation with one by-product of triglyceride oxidation being glycerol. In several species, the ability to convert glycerol to glucose is an important evolutionary adaptation in the hibernation process that provides a source of carbohydrate for tissues and processes that need it over the winter months (Yeh et al., 1995). The changes in G3PDH properties during hibernation reported in this thesis are consistent with a more active state of the enzyme and concur with previous studies that support the idea of increased gluconeogenesis during hibernation (de la Roche et al., 2012; Berrada et al., 2000). Because the liver is the primary gluconeogenic tissue in mammals, and combined with the fact that glycolysis is suppressed during hibernation, I hypothesized that the production of glucose from glycerol released from triglyceride catabolism is important for hibernation success. Gluconeogenesis can be
important for (1) the maintenance of blood glucose levels during the hibernating fasting state, and (2) the building up of glycogen stores which can then be broken down and utilized during exit from torpor. This idea is supported as previous research has shown that skeletal muscle and heart glycogen stores increase during hibernation (Zimny and Tyrone, 1957; Hannon and Vaughan, 1961). This information, combined with the fact that there is a decreased capacity for glycogenolysis during deep torpor (Leonard and Wimsatt, 1959; Hannon and Vaughan, 1961) suggests that gluconeogenesis must be enhanced during extended periods of hibernation to maintain normal blood glucose levels. The increase in G3PDH affinity for substrate seen during hibernation in this study supports previous findings which show that there is an incorporation of glycerol derived from lipids into the gluconeogenic pathway during hibernation (Rebel et al., 1960; Burlington and Klain, 1967). With respect to the ground squirrel, the coordinated control of gluconeogenesis and glyconeogenesis has seldom been studied. Future work in this area should look at the reversible phosphorylation regulation of other enzymes that facilitate the conversion of glycerol into glucose or glycogen. In particular, studies of glycerol kinase (GK) would be very important. In mammals, GK has been found in liver and kidney, and at low levels in adipose tissue as well as cardiac and skeletal muscle tissues (Lin, 1977). As with G3PDH, this enzyme may be differentially regulated throughout the hibernation cycle in ground squirrels.

Another area of future research would be to look at the regulation of the glycerol-phosphate shuttle (G3P shuttle) during hibernation as an alternative means of generating ATP. This shuttle mediates the complex process used to transfer electrons from cytosolic NADH to the mitochondria where this redox species they can be used in the electron
transport chain. In short, this process allows for ATP production from cytoplasmic NADH through oxidative phosphorylation. In insects, the G3P shuttle plays an important role in metabolic energy generation in the flight muscle and dysregulation of cytoplasmic and/or mitochondrial G3PDH leads to diminished flying capacity (Beenakkers, 1969). In mammals, the role of the G3P shuttle is much less studied. Evidence indicates that in humans the alternative malate-aspartate shuttle predominates for the transfer of reducing equivalents into the mitochondria (Lin, 1977). However, nothing is known about the importance of the G3P shuttle to hibernation or even to normal mammalian energy metabolism in general. Future work looking at the structural and functional properties of the mitochondrial form of G3PDH (also known as G3P oxidase) in hibernator tissues could help elucidate if or how the G3P shuttle mechanism contributes to ATP production during hypometabolism.

The prevalence and variety of post-translational modifications (primarily reversible phosphorylation) suggests that the regulation of protein function is a dynamic, tissue specific process. Furthermore, although reversible phosphorylation is well known to play a key role in enzyme regulation, recent research indicates that phosphorylation tends to facilitate further PTMs to proteins such as that seen in the phosphorylation dependent SUMOylation of heat shock factor protein 1 (reviewed in Hunter, 2007). With the vast amount of evidence supporting the complexity of post-translational regulation of enzymes, it is unknown how the multitude of potential modifications can affect G3PDH and LDH structure and function in ground squirrels and how these PTMs are regulated through the hibernation cycle. Future studies should focus on elucidating the interplay of post-translational modifications and their effects on enzyme functionality.
Conclusion

For those hibernators that survive exclusively on endogenous fuel reserves during the hibernation season, the regulation of energy production and expenditure is a vital task. The intense eating behavior that obligate hibernators, including ground squirrels, undergo in the late summer prepares them for the physiological and metabolic challenges of hibernation. Specifically, the build-up of lipid stores helps facilitate the switch from a carbohydrate-based fuel system to a fuel system derived from lipid oxidation during torpor. The de-emphasis of carbohydrate catabolism during torpor is supported by a suppression of LDH as seen in the skeletal muscle of Richardson’s ground squirrels. Furthermore, the prioritization of lipid-based fuel during hibernation is supported by the altered properties of G3PDH in the liver and skeletal muscle of this hibernator. Each of these aforementioned enzymes appears to be post-translationally modified by means of reversible phosphorylation to mediate their structural and functional changes during hibernation. The quick, energetically efficient method of dephosphorylation may be crucial to mediating the functionality switch of LDH during interbout arousals and during the seasonal exit out of torpor where carbohydrate metabolism increases again. Furthermore, dephosphorylation of G3PDH may aid in the suppression of lipid derived glycerol-oxidation during the euthermic state. Taken together the work presented in this thesis provides novel insights into the plasticity of lipid and carbohydrate metabolism in liver and skeletal muscle during ground squirrel torpor.
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Appendix II

R you ready for this? A Biochemist’s Guide to Enzyme Kinetic Parameter Analyses Using the Programme R
General Introduction

Research is composed of two steps: data collection, and data analysis. As a biochemist studying the functional and structural properties of metabolic proteins (enzymes), the purification process alone—a prerequisite for data collection—can be a lengthy, time-consuming task. Furthermore, once an enzyme of interest is purified, numerous assays must be conducted with the enzyme’s substrates to calculate different kinetic parameters such as maximal activity \((V_{\text{max}})\), half-saturation constant \((K_m\) or \(S_{0.5}\)), activation \((K_a)\), and/or inhibition \((I_{50})\). Whether or not an enzyme is being compared to another enzyme of interest, these kinetic parameter assays must be conducted multiple times on individual determinations of the purified enzyme sample in order to obtain statistical significance. Once the data collection is finished, one can easily have to analyze data from over 100 different assays (See Figure 1). It is safe to say that more assays means more data, and more data means more quantification/analysis. Often times this analysis process can be just as lengthy as the data collection process. This report is a biochemists guide which focuses on how to use some useful scripts and packages from the open source programme and environment R [http://www.r-project.org/] in order to analyze kinetic data as well as to generate figures to effectively communicate one’s findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme: Euthermic LDH</th>
<th>Enzyme: Hibernating LDH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kinetic Parameter: Km</strong></td>
<td><strong>Kinetic Parameter: Km</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Substrate</strong></td>
<td><strong>pH</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAD</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kinetic Parameter: 150</strong></td>
<td><strong>Kinetic Parameter: 150</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Substrate</strong></td>
<td><strong>pH</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of assays:</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euthermic + Hibernating Total Assays:</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** The data collection process for a biochemist can be a lengthy due to purification and assay requirements. This figure sheds light on the various assays conducted to compare the different kinetic parameters between euthermic and hibernating lactate dehydrogenase in Richardson’s ground squirrel. In total, 192 assays were analyzed. With R, the generation of various scripts could be used to expedite the data analysis task.
Why R?

Like learning any new language, one must be willing to spend time using R in order to become proficient. Furthermore, the R language has syntax and structure that cannot be violated. As a new user of R with no previous programming background, the task of learning this new language was at times frustrating as there is no doubt a steep learning curve. However, as I became more familiar with the language interface I was able to very easily conduct calculations, apply statistical techniques, create elaborate graphs, and use packages created by other R programmers to analyze enzyme kinetic data. I will admit that I am no expert in using the program, and to this day I am still learning new things. The most important thing that you need when learning this language is patience!

Perhaps one of the most beneficial things that I found about using R is its reproducibility. Therefore, once you learn to apply, for example, a non-linear regression such as that seen in Michaelis-Menten kinetics, modifying the code so that it does generalized non-linear modeling, requires only the modification of a few small changes in the formula. As you will see in the scripts provided in this document, the reproducible nature of the code makes analyzing vast amounts of data quick and easy.

In addition to the quick, reproducible nature of scripts that can be generated in the program, R has excellent statistical facilities. Thanks to R’s main package and user-contributed packages, nearly everything you may need in terms of statistics has already been programmed and made available in R. The fact that these statistical tests can be run in an open source program that is free to download eliminates the need to purchase data analysis software.

Analyzing Data Step 1: Organizing your data

Before using R to work with your data, take some time to organize it in an Excel file to make your life easier once the data needs to be analyzed. Figure 2 shows the subset of data that was used to generate Km values and curves in the program R.

Label columns accordingly to make your life easier!

Figure 2. Data in LactateKm5.csv
Once your data is organized in a way that makes sense, save it as a .csv file and then you can upload it in to R using the read.csv() function. To ensure your data was uploaded in to R correctly, use View() to generate a table as shown in Figure 2 (table on the right).

You will now be ready to use the R platform to start manipulating and analyzing your data. The first thing I like to do is sub-set my data. In the LactateKm5.csv file there are 166 entries. To analyze each biological replicate from their respective condition, name each subset accordingly. For example for the first biological replicate (N) in the euthermic condition at pH 7.5, I named it \texttt{ph75euth1} (Figure 3).

Continue sub-setting your data until each biological replicate (N) is accounted for. Below is the script used to separate each biological replicate in each condition to have them stored in the R global environment.

```r
#Set working directory
setwd(~"/Dropbox/R programming")
#Load data in to program
KmLac <- read.csv(file.choose("LactateKm5.csv"))
#To insure that the data was imported correctly you can you the list() function to view your data set
View(KmLac)
#To get a summary of these data, you can use the summary function
summary(KmLac)

##Subset data to analyze biological replicates in each condition. 

dph75 <- subset(KmLac,pH==7.5) 
dph75euth <- subset(dph75,Condition=="Euthermic")
dph75euth1 <- subset(dph75euth, N=="1") 
dph75euth2 <- subset(dph75euth, N=="2")
dph75euth3 <- subset(dph75euth, N=="3") 
dph75euth4 <- subset(dph75euth, N=="4")
dph75hib <- subset(dph75,Condition=="Hibernating")
dph75hib1 <- subset(dph75hib, N=="1")
dph75hib2 <- subset(dph75hib, N=="2")
dph75hib3 <- subset(dph75hib, N=="3")
dph75hib4 <- subset(dph75hib, N=="4")
dpH8 <- subset(KmLac,pH==8.0) 
dph8euth <- subset(dpH8,Condition=="Euthermic")
dph8euth1 <- subset(dph8euth, N=="1")
dph8euth2 <- subset(dph8euth, N=="2")
dph8euth3 <- subset(dph8euth, N=="3")
dph8euth4 <- subset(dph8euth, N=="4")
dph8hib <- subset(dph8,Condition=="Hibernating")
dph8hib1 <- subset(dph8hib, N=="1")
dph8hib2 <- subset(dph8hib, N=="2")
dph8hib3 <- subset(dph8hib, N=="3")
dph8hib4 <- subset(dph8hib, N=="4")
```

Figure 3. \texttt{ph75euth1}, subset of LactateKm5.csv data.

Figure 4. Sub-setted data from LactateKm5.csv
Analyzing Data Step 2: Plotting Kinetic Data

An area in which R is very strong is in its graphical visualization capabilities. Using the KmLac data that was subsetted previously, this section shows the code used to generate concentration versus activity curves. Each plot is separated by its condition (euthermic / hibernating) and pH (7.5/8.0). Furthermore, each biological replicate is plotted in their respective condition and is given a different point / line type (pch=, lty=) to distinguish them from one another.

```r
##Put Control and Hibernating, pH 7.5 and 8 in one figure
##Organizes figure in to a 2x2 format
par(mfrow=c(2,2))

##Plot raw data from euthermic pH7.5 replicates
plot(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75euth1, pch=20, col=TRUE,
xlim=c(0,60), ylim=c(0,0.20), xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)", main="Euthermic, pH 7.5")
points(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75euth2, pch=15)
points(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75euth3, pch=6)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75euth1, lty=20)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75euth2, lty=15)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75euth3, lty=6)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75euth4, lty=1)

##Plot raw data from hibernating pH7.5 replicates
plot(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75hib1, pch=20, col=TRUE,
xlim=c(0,35), ylim=c(0,0.20), xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)", main="Hibernating, pH 7.5")
points(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75hib2, pch=15)
points(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75hib3, pch=6)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75hib1, lty=20)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75hib2, lty=15)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75hib3, lty=6)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75hib4, lty=1)

##Plot raw data from euthermic pH8.0 replicates
plot(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8euth1, pch=20, col=TRUE,
xlim=c(0,35), ylim=c(0,0.25), xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)", main="Euthermic, pH 8.0")
points(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8euth2, pch=15)
points(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8euth3, pch=6)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8euth1, lty=20)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8euth2, lty=15)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8euth3, lty=6)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8euth4, lty=1)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8euth5, lty=22)

##Plot raw data from hibernating pH8.0 replicates
plot(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8hib1, pch=20, col=TRUE,
xlim=c(0,35), ylim=c(0,0.30), xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)", main="Hibernating, pH 8.0")
points(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8hib2, pch=15)
points(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8hib3, pch=6)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8hib1, lty=20)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8hib2, lty=15)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8hib3, lty=1)
lines(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph8hib4, lty=6)
```

Figure 5. Michaelis-Menten curves for the forward (lactate-utilizing) reaction by purified euthermic and hibernating LDH.
Although the base installation of R has great graphic capabilities, producing half decent graphs typically requires quite a bit of code. One package that was introduced to us by Dr. Morin’s presentation, *R Survivor Guide for Physiologist*, was ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009). This package allows one to make complex plots with minimal coding. To show the plotting power of this package, I used data generated from incubating endogenous kinases and phosphatases with LDH from crude euthermic and hibernating Richardson’s ground squirrels (LDHinc37.csv, see Supplementary Data 2). The enzyme reaction was assayed at 37°C to see if there were any significant changes in activity from the control (STOP) condition. The code below uses the ggplot2 package to generate a plot showing the average km (grey bar) and SEM (red line) for each condition.

```
rm(list=ls(all=TRUE))

# Load data and ggplot2 package in to R
LDHincu37 <- read.csv(file.choose("LDHinc37"))
library(ggplot2)

# LDHinc37
summary(LDHincu37)

# set up X and Y axis, and source of data
# save this graph template into a working object called d
d <- ggplot(data=LDHincu37, aes(x=Treatment, y=Km.Lactate))

# add a layer showing the data points
d <- d + geom_point()

# and split the graph according to sub-experiment
d <- d + facet_grid(Condition~.)

# overlay, in red, the mean, and a 95% CI obtained by bootstrap

# remove unnecessary ink, increase axis label size, make custom ordering

# reorder variables inside the dataframe with STOP, kinase, then phosphatase incubation on graphs
LDHincu37$myorder <- factor(LDHincu37$Treatment, levels=c("STOP", "AMPK", "CAMK", "PKA", "PKG", "PKC", "Tkin", "PP1", "PP2A", "PP2B", "PP2C", "TPPase"))
levels(LDHincu37$myorder)[levels(LDHincu37$myorder) == "Tkin"] <- "TKin"

# set up X and Y axis, and source of data
# save this graph template into a working object called d

# add a layer showing the data points to d
# and split the graph d according to sub-experiment, Euthermic/ Hibernating
d <- d + geom_point()

d <- d + stat_summary(fun.y="mean", geom="bar", aes(width=0.5), fill="#CCCCCC") +
       geom_point() +
       stat_summary(fun.data = "mean_cl_boot", colour = "red", geom="linerange")
```

Figure 6. Effects of in vitro incubations to stimulate the activities of endogenous protein kinases or phosphatases on the Km of L-Lactate for LDH in Richardson’s ground squirrel muscle extracts. Crude extracts were incubated for 24 h before assaying at 37°C. Data are means ± SEM, with at least n=3 separate determinations on enzyme isolated from different individuals.
Enzyme kinetics in the Storey Lab are determined by first assaying enzyme reactions on the microplate readers, followed by analyzing the data and calculating parameters such as those for maximal activity ($V_{\text{max}}$), half-saturation constant ($K_m$ or $S_{0.5}$), activation ($K_a$), inhibition ($I_{50}$). We accumulate enzyme activity data using the Multiskan software for the Thermo Multiskan Spectrum. However, this software program is not used for data analysis.

Calculating kinetic parameters has two main steps. The first is the calculation of individual initial velocities ($v_i$) for each assay well, representing a velocity for the given conditions in each well. Once all of these $v_i$ values have been calculated for a given concentration range of the parameter being tested (e.g. the range of substrate concentrations for $K_m$ determination), then they can be plugged into a kinetics program, and a given kinetic parameter can be calculated.

The two programs we use for this were both designed by Steve Brooks (a former PhD student in the Storey Lab) and are found on our lab computers. They are the Microplate Analysis Program (MPA) and Kinetics, respectively. The task of typing in each velocity value for its respective concentration to generate a $K_m$ value in the kinetics programme can be time-consuming and is prone to error while inputting the values. Thanks to the Dose Response Curve (drc) package in R (Ritz & Streibig, 2005), one can generate accurate $K_m$ values and easily communicate this information in figures which can also be generated from this package.

The drc package has a general function for Michaelis-Menten (MM.2()) curves, a versatile regression curve used in enzymology. With minimal code, one can find the $K_m$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ of an enzyme. In the example below, I use the Michaelis-Menten function to find the kinetic parameters for euthermic LDH test at pH 7.5 and 5°C ($n=4$).

```r
#install and load the drc package
install.packages("drc")
#use drm function in drc package to analyze subsetted data using michaelis-menten parameter
ph75euth1.n1 <- drm(Activity~Lactate..mM.,data = ph75euth1, fct = MM.2())
##show michaelis-menten equation fitted plot
plot(ph75euth1.n1,log="",xlim=c(0,40), ylim=c(0,0.20), cex.legend=1,
legendPos=c(100,.1), col=TRUE, xlab = "Lactate(mM)" , ylab = "Activity (mU)",
main="Michaelis-Menten")
##obtain km and vmax from summary()
summary(ph75euth1.n1)
##Add km value to graph
text(20,0.05, "Km = 2.665", adj= 0, col = "blue")
##Add vmax value to graph
text(20,0.04, "Vmax = 0.1853", adj= 0, col = "red")
##Add line to show km on graph
abline(v=2.6653, lty=2,col="blue")
##Add line to show vmax on graph
abline(h=0.1853188, lty=2,col="red")
##add minor ticks to graph
minor.tick(nx=9, ny=4, tick.ratio=0.5)

Figure 7. Michaelis-Menten curve for ph75euth1.n1 anontated with km and vmax value found from summary of drc fct=MM.2().
The following code contains the summaries and plots for the 4 biological replicates from the euthermic LDH test at pH 7.5 and 5°C. After collecting the data for each of the biological replicates one can find the averages for each of the kinetic parameters. The kinetic data is summarized in Figure 9.

```r
ph75euth2.n2 <- drm(Activity~Lactate..mM., data = ph75euth2, fct = MM.2())
plot(ph75euth2.n2, log="", xlim=c(0,40), ylim=c(0,0.20), cex.legend=1, legendPos=c(100,1), col=TRUE, xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)"
summary(ph75euth2.n2)

ph75euth3.n3 <- drm(Activity~Lactate..mM., data = ph75euth3, fct = MM.2())
plot(ph75euth3.n3, log="", xlim=c(0,70), ylim=c(0,0.20), cex.legend=1, legendPos=c(100,1), col=TRUE, xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)"
summary(ph75euth3.n3)

ph75euth4.n4 <- drm(Activity~Lactate..mM., data = ph75euth4, fct = MM.2())
plot(ph75euth4.n4, log="", xlim=c(0,40), ylim=c(0,0.20), pch=1, cex.legend=1, legendPos=c(100,1), col=TRUE, xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)"
summary(ph75euth4.n4)
```

```r
##show all 4 biological replicates on one screen
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(ph75euth1.n1, log="", xlim=c(0,40), ylim=c(0,0.20), cex.legend=1, legendPos=c(100,1), col=TRUE, xlab = "Lactate(mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)"
plot(ph75euth2.n2, log="", xlim=c(0,40), ylim=c(0,0.20), cex.legend=1, legendPos=c(100,1), col=TRUE, xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)"
plot(ph75euth3.n3, log="", xlim=c(0,70), ylim=c(0,0.20), cex.legend=1, legendPos=c(100,1), col=TRUE, xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)"
plot(ph75euth4.n4, log="", xlim=c(0,40), ylim=c(0,0.20), pch=1, cex.legend=1, legendPos=c(100,1), col=TRUE, xlab = "Lactate (mM)", ylab = "Activity (mU)"
```

---

**Figure 8.** Michaelis-Menten curves for each of the 4 biological replicates of euthermic LDH assayed at pH 7.5 and 5°C.
Figure 9. Comparison of kinetic parameters values ($K_m$ and $V_{max}$) for each of the biological replicates of euthermic LDH assayed at pH 7.5 and 5°C quantified in R and with the program used in the Storey Lab (Brooks).

###Next step, run a statistical test to see if there is a significant difference in the $K_m$ data for euthermic condition seen in figure 9###

```r
euth= c(2.665,2.105,2.569,4.765)
euth data for hibernating condition found in supplementary data
hib= c(13.1964,8.6277,5.98,7.74)
t.test(euth,hib)
```

As seen in Figure 9, the data obtained from the MM.2() function in the R program spits out the same data as the Brooks Kinetics program. So why use R instead of Steve Brooks Kinetics program? The scripts generated in R allows anyone who is curious to look into the engine room of R to make sure the calculations are right. With Kinetics, the engine room of the programme cannot be accessed. Therefore, the transparency and reproducibility of the code makes quantifying kinetic data in R ideal.

If one wants to graphically represent kinetic parameters of two conditions (ie. euthermic versus hibernating) in one figure it can be done quite easily. Below are the steps that should be used to do this.

###load data file in .csv format into R###

```r
kmlac5<-read.csv("KmLactate5rawdata.csv")
View(kmlac5)
```

###plot the data using the michaelis menten function###

```r
kmlac5.ml <- drm(Activity~Lactate,
Condition, data = kmlac5, fct = MM.2())
plot( kmlac5.ml,log="" ,xlab=""[Lactate] (mM)"", ylab = "Activity (mU)"
```
Once the Vmax and Km values are found, one can generate other plots used in biochemistry to graphically represent one’s data. Below is the code used to generate four different plots using the `ph75euth1.n1` kinetic data.

```r
S = c(0,2,4,8,10,12,16,24,28,30)
Km = 2.665 #value found from summary(ph75euth1.n1)
Vmax = .1853 #value found from summary(ph75euth1.n1)
v = Vmax*S/(Km+S)
par(mfrow=c(2,2)) # Set up 2 x 2 plot figure
plot(S,v,type="o", main="Michaelis-Menten")
plot(1/S,1/v, type="o", main="Lineweaver-Burk")
plot(v/S,v,type="o", main="Eadie-Hofstee")
plot(S,S/v, type="o", main="Hanes-Woolf")
par(mfrow=c(1,1)) # Return to single plot
```

![Graphs of four different plots](image)

**Figure 11.** Four different graphical representations used to show kinetic parameters. Data used is from `ph75euth1.n1`.

**Closing Remarks**

The packages used to generate the scripts in this document shed light on the usefulness of R when it comes to analyzing data generated by biochemists. Surely, the examples used represent a small sample of the various ways one can use the programme R. With a little bit of patience and searching for help guides written by other R users, the programme can become a useful, and time saving tool.

**References**


