NOTICE

The quality of this microform is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us an inferior photocopy.

Reproduction in full or in part of this microform is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30, and subsequent amendments.

AVIS

La qualité de cette microforme dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S’il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l’université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d’impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l’aide d’un ruban usé ou si l’université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de qualité inférieure.

La reproduction, même partielle, de cette microforme est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d’auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30, et ses amendements subséquents.
STRUCTURE AND GEOCHRONOLOGY OF THE GREATER HIMALAYA, KALI GANDAKI REGION, WEST-CENTRAL NEPAL

By

Jeffrey H. Nazarchuk, B.Sc.

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science, Department of Earth Sciences

Carleton University
Ottawa, Ontario
May 19, 1993
The author has granted an irrevocable non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission.

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-315-89748-1
THE HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Categories</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNICATIONS AND THE ARTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>0729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art History</td>
<td>0727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinema</td>
<td>0900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dance</td>
<td>0378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>0337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Science</td>
<td>0723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journalism</td>
<td>0391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Science</td>
<td>0399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Communications</td>
<td>0708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music</td>
<td>0413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech Communication</td>
<td>0459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theater</td>
<td>0665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>0515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>0516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult and Continuing</td>
<td>0518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>0517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>0275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilingual and Multicultural</td>
<td>0282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>0688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community College</td>
<td>0275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum and Instruction</td>
<td>0727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Childhood</td>
<td>0518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>0524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>0277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidance and Counseling</td>
<td>0519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>0680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>0745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Home Economics</td>
<td>0278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>0521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language and Literature</td>
<td>0279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>0286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music</td>
<td>0522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy of Physical</td>
<td>0998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>0523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCHOLOGY, RELIGION AND THEOLOGY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy</td>
<td>0422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>0299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>0318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biblical Studies</td>
<td>0321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clergy</td>
<td>0319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Philosophy</td>
<td>0320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy of Theology</td>
<td>0322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>0669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Studies</td>
<td>0323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropology</td>
<td>0324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeology</td>
<td>0326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art</td>
<td>0275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Administration</td>
<td>0310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting</td>
<td>0272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banking</td>
<td>0770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>0327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>0338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Studies</td>
<td>0385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>0501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>0502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>0503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Business</td>
<td>0505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>0506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>0310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>0511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folklore</td>
<td>0338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>0366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerontology</td>
<td>0351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Environment</td>
<td>0312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern</td>
<td>0315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman History</td>
<td>0513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavic and East European</td>
<td>0314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOLOGY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geodesy</td>
<td>0370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>0372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrology</td>
<td>0388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteorology</td>
<td>0411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleobotany</td>
<td>0145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleocology</td>
<td>0426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleontology</td>
<td>0418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleozoology</td>
<td>0985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palynology</td>
<td>0427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Geography</td>
<td>0368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiol of Oceangography</td>
<td>0415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Sciences</td>
<td>0768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Sciences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>0566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audiology</td>
<td>0300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>0992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentistry</td>
<td>0567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Management</td>
<td>0749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Development</td>
<td>0758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunology</td>
<td>0982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine and Surgery</td>
<td>0564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>0347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>0569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>0570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetrics and Gynecology</td>
<td>0380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Health and Therapy</td>
<td>0354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmology</td>
<td>0381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td>0371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacology</td>
<td>0419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>0572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Therapy</td>
<td>0382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>0573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiology</td>
<td>0574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation</td>
<td>0575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech Pathology</td>
<td>0660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxonomy</td>
<td>0383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Economics</td>
<td>0386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYSICAL SCIENCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Sciences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>0485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>0479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>0486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemistry</td>
<td>0487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic</td>
<td>0488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>0738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>0490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical</td>
<td>0491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>0494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer</td>
<td>0495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>0754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>0605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>0605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustics</td>
<td>0986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astronomy and Astrophysics</td>
<td>0606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Science</td>
<td>0608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic</td>
<td>0748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electromechanics</td>
<td>0600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric and Magnetism</td>
<td>0602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Fuels</td>
<td>0798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid and Plasma</td>
<td>0759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular</td>
<td>0609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>0610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optics</td>
<td>0752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>0756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid State</td>
<td>0611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td>0463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLIED SCIENCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied Mechanics</td>
<td>0346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science</td>
<td>0984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGINEERING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>0370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerospace</td>
<td>0537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>0539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric</td>
<td>0540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>0541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>0542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil</td>
<td>0543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics and Electrical</td>
<td>0544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat and Thermodynamics</td>
<td>0248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrologic</td>
<td>0545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>0546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine</td>
<td>0547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Science</td>
<td>0794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical</td>
<td>0548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallurgy</td>
<td>0743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>0551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>0552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging</td>
<td>0549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum</td>
<td>0765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary and Municipal Cowel</td>
<td>0554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Science</td>
<td>0790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotechnology</td>
<td>0428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Research</td>
<td>0796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics Technology</td>
<td>0795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile Technology</td>
<td>0994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCHOLOGY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>0621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral</td>
<td>0384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>0622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>0623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>0624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality</td>
<td>0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiological</td>
<td>0985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychobiology</td>
<td>0390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychometrics</td>
<td>0632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>0451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thesis contains black and white and/or coloured graphs/tables/photographs which when microfilmed may lose their significance. The hardcopy of the thesis is available upon request from Carleton University Library.
The undersigned hereby recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research acceptance of the thesis,

STRUCTURE AND GEOCHRONOLOGY OF THE GREATER HIMALAYA, KALI GANDAKI REGION, WEST-CENTRAL NEPAL

Submitted by Jeffrey H. Nazarchuk, B.Sc., in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Thesis Supervisor

Chairman, Department of Earth Science
In the vicinity of the village of Letdar, on descent from the Thorong La (La = pass; 5415 m) down the Marsyandi River valley. View is to the south of the north faces of Annapurna II (left; 7937 m) and Annapurna IV (right; 7525 m). The summits of the two peaks are composed of the low-grade Nilgiri and Sombre formations of the Tibetan sedimentary sequence.
ABSTRACT

Field work in the Kali Gandaki region in the spring of 1991 transected three tectonostratigraphic units: 1) the Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence (Lesser Himalaya); 2) the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence (Greater Himalaya); and 3) the Tibetan sedimentary sequence. The Lesser Himalaya comprises biotite-grade quartzite and pelitic and calcareous schist and garnet-grade carbonate rocks. The Greater Himalaya is ~6 km thick and consists of kyanite-grade quartzo-feldspathic and pelitic gneiss and migmatite, garnet-hornblende-diopside-bearing calc-silicate gneiss, and discordant sheets of foliated garnet-tourmaline-bearing two-mica leucogranite. The oldest rocks of the Greater Himalaya are thought to be Precambrian. The Tibetan sedimentary sequence is ~11 km thick and consists of Cambro-Ordovician to Eocene passive-margin sedimentary rocks that locally reach biotite-grade in the structurally lowest levels; Mesozoic rocks have Gondwana and Tethyan affinities.

The two main tectonic boundaries in this region are the Main Central Thrust (MCT) and the Annapurna detachment fault (ADF). The MCT is a moderately northeast dipping (38°) crustal-scale, ductile-brittle shear zone that has displaced the Greater Himalaya southwestward over the Lesser Himalaya. The ADF is a moderately northeast dipping (30-40°) ductile-brittle normal fault that juxtaposes the Tibetan sedimentary sequence of the hanging wall with the Greater Himalaya.

Structural and metamorphic relationships indicate a two-stage normal faulting history on the ADF: the first stage occurred at middle crustal levels, followed by static recrystallization; the second stage occurred at upper crustal levels forming a discrete zone of brittle deformation. The ADF is interpreted as a segment of the South Tibetan detachment system.

The MCT has undergone three phases of motion: the dominant phase of deformation (D_mct) occurred at kyanite-grade conditions, followed by static recrystallization; D_mct locally formed chlorite-grade mylonites; D_mct was a brittle event.

Single- and multi-grain fractions of monazite and thorite from two samples in the Greater Himalaya have been dated using the U-Pb system. An undeformed, coarse-grained pegmatite that crosscuts the prominent foliation in the MCT shear zone has an interpreted crystallization age of 21.8 ± 0.5 Ma. Above the high strain portion of the MCT shear zone, a multiply deformed leucogranite body that contains the prominent foliation has an interpreted minimum crystallization age of 22.5 ± 0.1 Ma.

These new data indicate that the prominent fabrics and structures preserved in the Kali Gandaki region formed during the first phase of ductile deformation on the MCT over a time span that ranges from 0.1 to 1.3 Ma. Ductile deformation on the MCT was completed by 21.8 ± 0.5 Ma. Following static recrystallization at middle crustal levels, the Greater Himalaya was rapidly uplifted with little motion on the MCT and tectonically denuded by the Annapurna detachment fault.
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Field mapping and sample collection was carried out by the author between March 23 and April 17, 1991, in the Kali Gandaki-Annupurna region of west-central Nepal with the accompaniment of Richard Brown. Petrography, map compilation and geochronology sample preparation were all performed by the author. Sample preparation of three rock samples included crushing and mineral separation using a "Rodger's Table", heavy liquids and "Frantz" magnetic separator. Mineral fractions for analyses were chosen from only two of the samples. Chemistry and mass spectrometry were performed by technicians at the Geological Survey of Canada geochronology laboratory in Ottawa. The integration of structural and geochronological data contained in this thesis is the first of its kind for the Kali Gandaki-Annupurna region.
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CHAPTER 1
GENERAL INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

I was invited as a student to join Richard Brown and nine other geoscientists on a geological excursion to west-central Nepal called the "Lost Ocean Expedition, '91" in the spring of 1991. This thesis is written on the basis of samples and field data collected from along the Kali Gandaki (Kali = a Hindu Goddess; Gandaki = Sanskrit for river), Ghaleti Khola (Khola = Nepalese for river) and subsidiary drainages during this expedition, as well as samples and data collected by Richard Brown from the same region in the spring of 1992; published literature on the Kali Gandaki and adjacent regions is also considered (see Figs. 2.1 and 2.2 in Chapter 2 for locations of geographic and geologic names).

The expedition was led and organized by Felix Gradstein of the Atlantic Geoscience Centre in Nova Scotia and included members from Canada, the United States, England, Italy, Germany, Japan and Norway. It was 31 days long and followed the famous Annapurna circuit.

Starting at 900 m in the subtropical town of Pokhara with approximately fifty Gurung porters and ten Sherpa guides, we began the 200 mile trek which circumnavigates the 6000-8000 m peaks of the Annapurna Himal and crosses the 5415 m Thorong La (La = mountain pass). After five days trekking, small groups were formed and work began in the specific areas of interest.
The main goal of the "Lost Ocean Expedition '91" was to follow up on sedimentological and paleomagnetic work performed on Mesozoic sedimentary rocks of Tethyan affinity in the Thakkhola region during the "Lost Ocean Expedition '88". Note: Thakkhola or Thak Khola (Thak = red in local Nepalese) is commonly used as the name for the upper Kali Gandaki, where many sedimentary rocks, especially the Plio-Pleistocene Tetang and Thakkhola formations, are bright red in colour; it is also home to the Thakali people. Richard Brown and I were invited on the trip to contribute to the understanding of the structure and tectonic significance of the abundant high-grade metamorphic rocks, as well the low-grade sedimentary rocks which all other members of the expedition were studying.

Of the three chapters comprised in this thesis, Chapter 1 serves as a preamble, and Chapters 2 and 3 are in the form of independent papers to help facilitate publication in journals. The author apologizes for any redundancy (e.g., regional geology, references, figures).

Chapter 2 documents the previously unrecognized, although postulated (K. V. Hodges, unpublished), Annapurna detachment fault, a normal fault in the Thakkhola region. A paper concerning this fault (Brown and Nazarchuk, 1993) has already been accepted for publication.

Chapter 3 documents the structural geology of the Main Central Thrust and Greater Himalaya metamorphic sequence and presents two new U-Pb monazite, thorite and zircon age determinations which bracket the dominant phase of deformation. The tectonic implications of structures, faults and age constraints are also discussed.
At the end of the thesis are appendices of mineral abbreviations and hand sample and thin section descriptions and locations, a geological map (compiled from Colchen et al., 1986) and a station location map, all of which are common to both papers.

*Previous work*

The Tibetan sedimentary sequence is well exposed and accessible in the Thakkhola region of the upper Kali Gandaki valley. This region underwent five separate geological studies during the 1950's and early 1960's, which are discussed in Gansser (1964). Following this preliminary work, a more detailed structural and sedimentological study of the Thakkhola region resulted in a 1:75,000 scale map (Bordet et al., 1968) and an extensive regional report (written in French; Bordet et al., 1971). More recently, the paleogeography has been studied using paleomagnetic, sedimentological and macro- and micro-fossil data (Gradstein et al., 1992).

The Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence and the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence have been studied along the Kali Gandaki (Le Fort, 1975) and the Modi Khola south of the Annapurna Sanctuary (Hashimoto et al., 1973; Arita, 1983). Field mapping by Colchen et al. (1986) in the Annapurna - Manaslu - Ganesh Himal between 1966 and 1978 led to a geological report written in French and English and a 1:200,000 scale map, which included the Tibetan sedimentary sequence, Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence and Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence of the Kali Gandaki region. Incorporated in the Colchen et al. (1986) study
is A. Pecher's Ph. D. thesis on the geology of the Main Central Thrust and Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence (see Pecher, 1977). Geothermobarometry of samples from the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence between the Kali Gandaki and the Miristi Khola was performed by Le Fort et al. (1987a). Previous to this study, no U-Pb age determinations had been performed in the Kali Gandaki region.

References

See Chapters 2 and 3 for references cited.
CHAPTER 2

THE ANnapurna DETAchment fault, ThakkholA rEgion, wEST-Central nEpAl: A SEGment Of tHe sOUTH tIBEtAN DETAchment sYstem

ABSTRACT

Field observations from the Thakkhola region of the upper Kali Gandaki valley indicate that the contact between the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence (Greater Himalaya) and Tibetan sedimentary sequence is a moderately northeast dipping (30-40°), crustal-scale, ductile-brittle normal fault. The footwall contains kyanite-grade quartzo-feldspathic gneiss and garnet-tourmaline-bearing two-mica leucogranite with normal-sense ductile shearing fabrics. The hanging wall contains biotite-grade schist and phyllite and is separated from the footwall by a 5-10 m thick crushed zone.

Structural and metamorphic relationships indicate a two-stage normal faulting history: the first stage occurred at middle crustal levels and was followed by static recrystallization; the second stage occurred at upper crustal levels forming a discrete zone of brittle deformation and may have been accompanied by orogen-parallel extension. This fault is interpreted as a segment of the South Tibetan detachment system and is named the Annapurna detachment fault.

In the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault, five phases of deformation are recognized: first-phase meso- to megascopic southwest-verging isoclines and an associated layer-parallel foliation; second-phase northeast-verging, tight to open megascopic folds (Nilgiri nappe) with an axial planar biotite foliation; third-phase post-metamorphic, southwest-verging micro- to mesoscopic folds, thrust faults and an associated suite of boudinaged felsic dikes; fourth-phase northwest-southeast striking upright, gentle folds; and fifth-phase northeast-southwest striking brittle fractures and faults.

The third-phase southwest-verging folds in the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault are the youngest structures truncated by the crushed zone; these structures indicate that a period of southwest-directed thrusting may have predated the most recent normal faulting. The origin of the second-phase, northeast-verging Nilgiri nappe is unclear. It may have formed during crustal thickening or in a broad zone of normal-sense shear related to the South Tibetan detachment system.
INTRODUCTION

Extensional faulting and the generation of normal-sense ductile shear zones are recognized as important features of convergent orogens; low angle normal-sense shear zones give rise to rapid exhumation of high-grade rocks (Coney, 1980; Armstrong, 1972). More recently it has been shown that in tectonically active regions such as Tibet, normal faulting is contemporaneous with contractional deformation but perpendicular to the overall compressive direction of the Himalayan orogen (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1978; Armijo et al., 1986). In the Andes, orogen-parallel normal faulting and thrust faulting are occurring simultaneously (Dalmayrac and Molnar, 1981). Numeric models indicate that in high standing regions such as the Tibetan plateau or high Andes of Peru gravitational potentials are large enough to change the orientation of the principal stress axis. When gravitational stresses exceed the strength of the rocks, orogen-parallel extension of the upper crust occurs (Burchfiel and Royden, 1985; Dalmayrac and Molnar, 1981).

The metamorphic core of the Himalayan orogen, the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence (Greater Himalaya), is bound at the base by the Main Central Thrust, a crustal-scale, ductile-brittle shear zone that dips moderately to the northeast. In southern Tibet, the Greater Himalaya is separated from the overlying Tibetan sedimentary sequence by orogen-parallel, crustal-scale, ductile-brittle normal faults that dip gently to the northeast (the South Tibetan detachment system) (Burg et al., 1984; Herren, 1987; Burchfiel et al., 1992). Integrated structural, petrological and geochronological studies indicate that in the Qomolangma region (Everest), the Greater
Himalaya was extruded southward and exhumed during simultaneous movement on the Main Central Thrust and South Tibetan detachment system during the Miocene (Burchfiel et al., 1992 and references therein).

In the Kali Gandaki region of central Nepal, the contact between the Greater Himalaya and Tibetan sedimentary sequence was originally believed to correspond to a metamorphic and structural transition from superstructure to infrastructure; with a lack of evidence for discordance, the Greater Himalaya was considered to be the Precambrian basement to the Tibetan sedimentary sequence (Gansser, 1964; Le Fort, 1975). This contact was later interpreted as a recrystallized zone of gravity-driven ductile deformation that formed when the Tibetan sedimentary sequence detached from the underlying Greater Himalaya (Caby et al., 1983; Colchen et al., 1986). The crustal-scale nappe seen in the peaks of Nilgiri and Annapurna (I) was interpreted as a gravity collapse structure that formed during this detachment (Caby et al., 1983; Colchen et al., 1986). It is now believed that the Nilgiri nappe and a similar north-verging structure in the Gyirong region east of Manaslu formed in response to a broad zone of normal-sense shear related to the South Tibetan detachment system (Burchfiel et al., 1992).

Observations from field mapping in the Kali Gandaki region (Figs. 2.1 & 2.2) in the spring of 1991 indicate that the contact between the Greater Himalaya and Tibetan sedimentary sequence is a crustal-scale, ductile-brittle normal fault. This paper will: 1) document the presence of the Annapurna detachment fault, a segment of the South Tibetan detachment system; 2) describe the structure and metamorphism in the
Tibetan sedimentary sequence, which forms the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault; and 3) discuss the significance of structures in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence and the origin of the Nilgiri nappe.

**REGIONAL GEOLOGY**

In central Nepal, the three main tectonostratigraphic units are the Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence, the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence and the Tibetan sedimentary sequence (Fig. 2.1). For brevity the Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence and Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence are referred to throughout the text as the Lesser Himalaya and Greater Himalaya. The Greater Himalaya is comprised of 4-10 km of coarse-grained gneiss and leucogranite believed to represent a sliver of the Indian craton displaced southwestward by more than 100 km on the Main Central Thrust; it now overlies the lower-grade Lesser Himalaya (Figs. 2.2 & 2.3) (Gansser, 1964; Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975; Molnar, 1984). The Greater Himalaya has undergone polyphase metamorphism and preserves an inverted sequence of isograds (sillimanite above kyanite) in the middle to uppermost structural levels (Swapp and Hollister, 1991 and references therein). This apparent metamorphic inversion may be the result of a Miocene moderate-pressure, high-temperature Buchan metamorphic event superposed on an Eocene high-pressure, high-temperature Barrovian metamorphic event, not a continuous increase in metamorphic grade (Brunel and Kienast, 1986; Hodges and Silverberg, 1988; Hodges et al., 1988 and references therein; Swapp and Hollister, 1991).
The Tibetan sedimentary sequence consists of polydeformed, weakly metamorphosed to nonmetamorphosed calcareous and siliciclastic miogeoclinal rocks (Gansser, 1964). In the Thakkhola region (Figs. 2.1 & 2.2), the Tibetan sedimentary sequence has a stratigraphic thickness of ~11 km and is folded in the spectacular Nilgiri nappe (Fig. 2.4) (Gansser, 1964; Bordet et al., 1968 & 1971; Le Fort, 1975; Colchen et al., 1986). The oldest rocks dated with paleontological certainty are the Ordovician Nilgiri limestones (Colchen et al., 1986) (Map 1). Stratigraphically below the Nilgiri limestones are the Pi, Annapurna and Sanctuary formations. The oldest of these, the Sanctuary Formation, contains no fossils. The Annapurna Formation, however, contains poorly preserved, unidentified trace fossils and trilobites(?), indicating a possible Cambro?-Ordovician age (Colchen et al., 1986). Above the Nilgiri limestones the stratigraphic section is nearly continuous through to the Eocene. Plate reconstructions indicate that in the Early Cretaceous, the Thakkhola region was situated on the south side of the Tethys Ocean on the northern margin of Gondwana (Gradstein et al., 1992).

FIELD RELATIONS

The Annapurna detachment fault

In the Thakkhola region, the contact between the Greater Himalaya and the Tibetan sedimentary sequence is well exposed in a narrow stream gully on the west bank of the Kali Gandaki, west of the village of Dhumpu (Fig. 2.2). The contact dips moderately to the northeast (30-40°) with the distinctively layered and yellow
weathering Annapurna Formation of the Tibetan sedimentary sequence (Colchen et al., 1986) forming the north side of the gully and Formation III of the Greater Himalaya forming the south side of the gully (Plate 2.1a).

The footwall of the Annapurna detachment fault consists of coarse-grained kyanite-bearing quartzo-feldspathic migmatite and minor calc-silicate gneiss that are intruded by m-scale sheets of garnet-tourmaline-bearing two-mica leucogranite and cm- to dm-scale coarse-grained granitic intrusions. Leucogranite sheets are discordant but are sheared and have a well developed foliation (Plate 2.1b) that is subparallel to the foliation in the gneisses and the contact between the Greater Himalaya and Tibetan sedimentary sequence. The coarse-grained lenses are not foliated and crosscut the larger leucogranite bodies (Plate 2.1c). In thin section, shearing fabrics are statically annealed (Plate 2.1d).

Stretching lineations are not well developed, but where observed they are down the dip of the foliation. In thin section, kinematic indicators are absent but in outcrop they include asymmetric folds with horizontal hinge lines, C-S fabrics, shear bands and dike arrays that have been either extended or shortened depending on their orientation with the shear plane; all indicate top-down-to-the-northeast sense of shear (Plate 2.2a, b & c; Fig. 2.5). These ductile fabrics are observed from ~100 m below to within 10 m of the contact with the overlying Annapurna Formation. The contact is a 5-10 m thick zone of crushed and brecciated felsic material (Fig. 2.5).

Within the footwall of the Annapurna detachment fault there has been no metamorphic retrogression of the peak mineral assemblage. Kyanite-garnet-bearing
pelitic layers indicate middle amphibolite facies metamorphism with pressure and temperature ranges of 6-8 kbars and 600-670°C, respectively (Barker, 1990). In the crush zone, however, minor pelitic layers contain chlorite. For a complete description of the structures and metamorphism in the Greater Himalaya, see Chapter 3.

Directly above the crush zone are fine- to medium-grained, biotite-grade calcareous psammite and semi-pelitic schist and phyllite of the Annapurna Formation. Top-down-to-the-northeast shear-sense indicators are not observed adjacent to the contact with the Greater Himalaya, although the rocks are ductilely sheared and very planar (Plate 2.2d). The compositional layering and parallel foliation is truncated by the crush zone of the Annapurna detachment fault.

**Structure and metamorphism in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence**

In this section, the structural and metamorphic relationships observed in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence directly above the Annapurna detachment fault, to about the structural level of the village of Marpha (Figs. 2.2 & 2.4), will be described. The structures (F₁), surfaces (S₁) and metamorphic events (M₁) are assigned to deformation events (D₁) throughout the text and are summarized in Table 2.1.

In the Thakkhola region, five phases of deformation are observed. D₁ is represented by a layer-parallel S₁ mica foliation and rootless F₁ isoclinal fold closures. Locally, S₁ cuts the hinges of F₁ isoclinal folds (Plate 2.3a). F₁ closures are shown on a crustal scale in cross sections through the Annapurna massif (Fig. 2.4) (Colchen et al., 1986) and are visible in the west face of Annapurna (I). In Figure 2.4 a large
refolded F₁ closure is shown in the summit of Fang. On the basis of stratigraphic superposition, this fold probably originated as a southwest-verging anticline (Brown and Nazarchuk, 1993); therefore, D₁ was presumably a southwest-directed phase of shearing.

D₂ is represented by the prominent S₂ foliation and northeast-verging, tight to open megascopic folds that are parasitic to the recumbent, crustal-scale Nilgiri nappe (Plate 2.75 & c) (see also the fold-out plate and photograph #1 in Colchen et al. (1986) for excellent views of the Nilgiri nappe). S₂ is defined by the preferred orientation of mica in semi-pelitic to pelitic rocks and as a spaced cleavage in more arenaceous rocks (Plate 2.3d). In cross sections by Colchen et al. (1986), there is a truncation of the lower limb of the Nilgiri nappe at the proposed level of the Annapurna detachment fault (Fig. 2.4).

D₃ is represented by well developed southwest-verging micro- to mesoscopic, post-metamorphic crenulations and folds (Plate 2.4a & b) that refold all other structures (Plate 2.4 c). The axial planes of these structures are subhorizontal to moderately northeast dipping. Associated with D₃ folding is a suite of tourmaline-bearing quartz-plagioclase dikes that are oriented parallel to the axial planes of F₁ folds (Plate 2.4d). Above the Annapurna detachment fault, these dikes form high angles with S₂ and are extended and boudinaged, indicating that D₃ was a phase of southwest-directed shearing, possibly coeval with southwest-directed thrusts observed higher in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence. Adjacent to the Annapurna detachment fault, these dikes become subparallel to layering (S₀,1,2) and are progressively more
boudinaged and eventually truncated at the brittle zone.

$D_4$ represents a period of km-scale-amplitude, upright, gentle folding. The axial planes of the $D_2$ Nilgiri nappe (Fig. 2.4) and its parasitic $F_2$ folds (Plate 2.3c) outline $F_4$ folds. Upright flower structures seen at a few localities may also be related to $D_4$ (Plate 2.5a).

$D_5$ consists of northeast-southwest striking brittle fractures and normal faults that are related to the Thakkhola normal fault (Fig. 2.2). The Thakkhola normal fault has ~4000 m of vertical displacement and gives the region its characteristic half-graben geometry (Colchen et al., 1986). $D_5$ brittle deformation was not observed in the Greater Himalaya, although a northeast-southwest striking normal fault is shown extending well into the Greater Himalaya on the map by Colchen et al. (1986) (Fig. 2.2; Map 1).

In the Tibetan sedimentary sequence, three metamorphic events are recognized. $M_1$ occurred during $D_1$ and produced the $S_1$ mica foliation that cuts the hinges of $F_1$ folds. $M_2$ occurred during $D_2$ and produced the prominent $S_2$ biotite-muscovite foliation. $M_2$ has a normal upwards decrease in metamorphic grade. At the Annapurna detachment fault, the Tibetan sedimentary sequence is at biotite grade; near Jomosom rocks are nonmetamorphosed (Fig. 2.2). Directly above the Annapurna detachment fault, all rock types have a well developed, planar $S_{0,1,2}$ biotite-muscovite foliation (Plate 2.2d). Biotite also forms blocky, medium-grained porphyroblasts that overgrow the dominant foliation indicating that $M_2$ outlasted $D_2$ deformation (Plate 2.5b). The blocky biotite is partially or completely replaced by chlorite.
Overprinting all fabrics are medium-grained porphyroblasts of randomly oriented muscovite (Plate 2.5c), anomalous blue and brown chlorite, and inclusion-filled albite (Plate 2.5d). Epidote is also present as small spheres, altered clots, and tabular or anhedral crystals, some having zoned birefringence or an anomalous blue colour. The textural relationships and mineral assemblage muscovite + chlorite + epidote + albite clearly document a post-tectonic greenschist facies metamorphism (M_3) (Hyndman, 1985) overprinting an earlier biotite-grade metamorphism (Table 2.1).

**INTERPRETATION**

*The Annapurna detachment fault*

On the basis of metamorphic juxtaposition and footwall rocks that preserve ductile normal-sense shearing fabrics formed at middle crustal levels, the Annapurna detachment fault is interpreted as a crustal-scale normal fault. On the basis of regional mapping by Burchfiel et al. (1992), the Annapurna detachment fault is correlated with the South Tibetan detachment system. The structural evolution of the Annapurna detachment fault differs, however, from other detachment faults in the South Tibetan detachment system.

Along the South Tibetan detachment system, the footwall typically preserves mylonitic fabrics that show evidence of progressively more brittle deformation with associated metamorphic retrogression as the structure evolved (Herren, 1987; Burchfiel et al., 1992). The hanging wall contains south-verging structures refolded by large upright to overturned north-verging folds, interpreted as drag structures related to
normal faulting (Burchfiel et al., 1992). Therefore, the youngest fabrics truncated in
the footwall and hanging wall of the South Tibetan system indicate normal-sense
shearing.

In the Thakkhola region, the footwall of the Annapurna detachment fault does
not mylonites, but instead rocks that were ductilely sheared with a top-to-the-northeast
normal-sense of motion and subsequently annealed at middle crustal levels. Also, other
than the crush zone that separates the Greater Himalaya and Tibetan sedimentary
sequence, neither brittle fabrics nor retrogressive metamorphism are recorded in the
footwall. In the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault, the youngest
structures demonstrably cut by the crush zone are the southwest-verging, thrust-related
D₃ folds and associated suite of dikes. This gives the Annapurna detachment fault an
incompatible juxtaposition of northeast-verging normal-sense fabrics in the footwall
with southwest-verging thrust-sense fabrics in the hanging wall. In the hanging wall,
although not directly observed, the decrease in angles between F₃ axial planes and S₂
as well as an increase in the intensity of D₃ boudinage towards the south suggests that
brittle motion on the Annapurna detachment fault has reactivated or formed near a
previously existing thrust fault.

As indicated, brittle motion on the Annapurna detachment fault is at least
younger than D₃ southwest-directed shearing. An attempt at dating one of the quartz-
plagioclase dikes associated with D₃ thrusting using the U-Pb system has been
unsuccessful due to a lack of suitable minerals; only a few crystals of titanite and
zircon were recovered from a 10 kg sample. Successful geochronometry work on this
suite of dikes or the $S_2$ biotite foliation would place important timing constraints on structures in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence. It is unclear if any of the brittle motion on the Annapurna detachment fault post-dates $D_4$ or $D_3$ in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence; therefore, Quaternary motion cannot be ruled out.

In contrast with other segments of the South Tibetan detachment system, the Annapurna detachment fault has a two-stage history of normal faulting. The first stage occurred entirely at middle crustal levels and may have had little vertical throw as transitional ductile-brittle fabrics are not preserved. The second stage was dominantly brittle and juxtaposed rocks with different metamorphic and structural histories. The truncation of the lower limb of the Nilgiri nappe and the presence of minor chlorite-grade shearing preserved in the crush zone of the Annapurna detachment fault suggest that mylonites may have formed but were faulted out during the second stage of brittle motion on the Annapurna detachment fault.

**D$_2$ structures and the Nilgiri nappe**

In the Tibetan sedimentary sequence, the dominant structures are the $D_2$ Nilgiri nappe and its associated $F_2$ parasitic folds. The immense scale of the nappe (tight to isoclinal folding of an ~2500 m thick stratigraphic section; Fig. 2.4) and northeast vergence that contrasts with the southwest vergence of the structurally lower Greater Himalaya have led to much speculation about its origin. Although key relationships such as the age of $D_2$ or $M_2$ are unknown, the formation of the Nilgiri nappe has been attributed to gravitational sliding (Caby et al., 1983; Colchen et al., 1986) and to
northeast normal-sense shearing associated with the South Tibetan detachment system (Burchfiel et al., 1992).

In the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault there are no biotite-grade extensional structures correlative with D₂, and the geometry of the Nilgiri nappe tends to thicken rather than thin the crust. Also, the D₁ folds and associated S₂ biotite foliation are overprinted by a chlorite-grade metamorphism and folded by post-metamorphic D₃ folds, indicating that D₂ was not the culminating event. Therefore, it is equally plausible that D₁, D₂, and D₃ represent phases of regional metamorphism and crustal thickening that occurred during the initial Eocene collision between India and Eurasia (Gansser, 1964; Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975) and that D₂ structures have no genetic relationship to the Annapurna detachment fault. If it is accepted that the Nilgiri nappe formed during the initial stages of normal faulting, the two-stage history of motion on the Annapurna detachment fault requires an intervening period of thrusting (D₃).

In the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault, upright D₄ "flower-structures" that fold S₂ were observed in cliff faces (Plate 2.5a). In the Gyirong region, upright structures in the hanging wall of the Bhote Kosi detachment fold an older metamorphic foliation (see Fig. 6 in Burchfiel et al., 1992). In the Padam region of India, the hanging wall of the Zanskar normal fault contains upright folds interpreted as gravity collapse structures (see Figs. 7 & 10a in Searle, 1986). It is proposed here that the products of normal faulting along segments of the South Tibetan detachment system are these less dominant, smaller scale upright structures, not the north-verging.
recumbent, crustal-scale structures such as the Nilgiri nappe. Because the $D_3$ brittle structures associated with the Thakkhola normal fault are not found in Greater Himalaya, they appear to have been concentrated in upper crustal levels and may be kinematically related to $D_4$ and the Annapurna detachment fault.

As proposed above, the Nilgiri nappe may be the result of early crustal thickening and regional metamorphism. If so, then the $F_3$ contractional structures and suite of granitic dikes in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence are documenting a post-metamorphic, southwest-directed thrusting event that occurred prior to movement on the Annapurna detachment fault. Elsewhere in the Himalaya, field relations as well as pressure-temperature paths from garnet-bearing pelitic rocks in the Greater Himalaya indicate that the Buchan metamorphism overprinting the earlier Barrovian metamorphic event was associated with the generation of leucogranites and a tectonic reburial of 5-7 km (Hodges and Silverberg 1988; Hodges et al., 1988). This reburial was found to be widespread, but the lack of appropriate structures from detailed mapping in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence hindered explanation. It is suggested here that the $D_3$ contractional structures and suite of granitic dykes in the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault may be documenting the event that caused the post-Barrovian tectonic reburial observed in pressure-temperature paths and are possibly related to the Buchan metamorphism and leucogranite generation. Further structural mapping in the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault is necessary to understand the regional implications of $D_3$ structures.
CONCLUSIONS

The Annapurna detachment fault is a moderately northeast dipping, crustal-scale normal fault. Structural and metamorphic data indicate that the Annapurna detachment fault had a two-stage normal faulting history: 1) an early stage of middle crustal shearing followed by static recrystallization; and 2) a dominantly brittle stage that produced the discrete crushed and brecciated zone separating the Greater Himalaya and the Tibetan sedimentary sequence.

Five phases of deformation are recognized in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence. Southwest-verging D₃ structures are the youngest phase of deformation cut by the most recent movement on the Annapurna detachment fault. The kinematic significance of the D₂ Nilgiri nappes is unclear. It may have formed during initial crustal thickening in response to convergence between India and Eurasia or as the result of a broad zone of normal-sense shear related to the South Tibetan detachment system.
Figure 2.1. Geological map of central Nepal modified after Colchen et al. (1986). Inset in upper left hand corner shows location of field area; box shows location of detail map in Figure 2.2; A-A' locates line of cross section in Figure 2.4; MCT = Main Central Thrust; ADF = Annapurna detachment fault; TNF = Thakkhola normal fault.
Figure 2.2. Detail of the field area: shaded dashed line follows route of traverses; A-A' locates line of cross section in Figure 2.4; MCT = Main Central Thrust; ADF = Annapurna detachment fault; TNF = Thakkhola normal fault; see Figure 2.1 for legend.
Figure 2.3. (a) balanced and (b) restored cross sections of the eastern Nepal Himalaya through the Greater Himalaya north of Melung-Tse and the Ganges Plain south of Sindhuli Bazaar (from Schelling, 1992). Rocks above the MCT belong to the Greater Himalaya. Only the Lesser and Sub-Himalaya beneath and south of the MCT have been restored. Main Central Thrust, MCT; Sun Kosi Thrust, SKT; Main Boundary Thrust, MBT; Main Detachment Fault, MDF; Kamala Thrust, KT; Main Frontal Thrust, MFT.
Figure 2.4. Cross section modified after Colchen et al. (1986). MCT = Main Central Thrust; ADF = Annapurna detachment fault (located as per Caby et al., 1983).
Figure 2.5. Illustration of view looking west in the Dhumpu region west of the Kali Gandaki: ADF = Annapurna detachment fault; arrows indicate sense of shear within footwall rocks; lightly shaded bands in footwall schematically illustrate sheared leucogranite; two orientations of lines within leucogranites illustrate C-S fabric; magnifying glass schematically illustrates pressure shadows on rotated and unrotated porphyroclasts; S0 = orientation of bedding; S1, S2, and S3 = first-, second-, and third-phase foliations; F2 and F3 = second- and third-phase folds; darkly shaded lenses in upper plate illustrate boudinaged quartz-feldspar dikes. See text for explanation. Diagram not to scale.
Table 2.1. Structures and metamorphism recognized in the Tibetan sedimentary sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Metamorphism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>D1</strong></td>
<td>biotite-grade?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- isoclines in compositional layering parallel to S1 and S2 foliations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D2</strong></td>
<td>biotite-grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- megascopic northeast-verging folds (Nilgiri nappe) and prominent S2 foliation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D3</strong></td>
<td>post-tectonic greenschist-facies metamorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- micro- to mesoscopic southwest-verging crenulations and folds in S2 and compositional layering</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- southwest-verging thrusts and northeast dipping boudinaged dikes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D4</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- northwest-southeast striking, km-scale-amplitude upright folds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- upright flower structures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- northeast-southwest striking brittle fractures and faults</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Thakhkola normal fault</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tibetan sedimentary sequence (TSS) forms the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault. D(1-n), deformation events; S(1-n), surfaces; M(1-n), metamorphic events.
Plate 2.1

A) View to the west of the Annapurna detachment fault. Solid line is the contact between kyanite-grade migmatite and leucogranite of the Greater Himalaya in the footwall and biotite-grade psammitic and micaceous schist of the Tibetan sedimentary sequence in the hanging wall. See Figure 2.5 for details of the contact relations.

B) Sheared and foliated two-mica leucogranite with cm-scale quartz-feldspar segregations; garnet (g); tourmaline (t).

C) Undeformed, coarse-grained tourmaline-bearing granitic dike intruded into foliated leucogranite.

D) Photomicrograph of sheared and recrystallized leucogranite; biotite (b), plagioclase (p), k-feldspar (k), quartz (q).
A) View to the southeast in the footwall of the Annapurna detachment fault. "S"-fold in sheared quartzofeldspathic gneiss indicates top-down-to-the-northeast sense of shear.

B) View to the southeast in the footwall of the Annapurna detachment fault. Granitic dike dips more steeply than the shear plane and has been compressed and folded, indicating top-down-to-the-northeast sense of shear.

C) View to the northwest of C-S fabrics in the footwall of the Annapurna detachment fault. The flattening fabric (S-plane) in leucogranite layers dips less steeply than the shear foliation (C-plane), indicating top-down-to-the-northeast sense of shear.

D) Very planar calcareous psammite from the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault, ~10 m above the brittle contact with the Greater Himalaya. Biotite and muscovite form the foliation; the large porphyroclast is made of quartz.
Plate 2.3

A) $F_1$ isoclinal outlined by original layering ($S_o$) in the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault. At this location (south of Larjung), $S_o, S_1$, and $S_2$ are all sub-parallel.

B) Looking east from Marpha at a megascopic northeast-verging $F_2$ fold closure.

C) View to the east of a megascopic $F_2$ fold closure that is parasitic to the northeast-verging Nilgiri nappe (Jomosom and the Kali Gandaki in the foreground). Photograph shows a lower right-way-up long limb and an upper overturned short limb. The axial plane of this fold is refolded by broad, upright $F_4$ folds.

D) Decimeter-scale layered sandstone and pelite with a well developed spaced axial-plane cleavage in the core of a northeast-verging $F_2$ fold (in the vicinity of Marpha).
Plate 2.4

A) $F_3$ folds with a shallow northeast dipping axial plane (south of Larjung).

B) View is to the north from Marpha. A strong subhorizontal lineation is outlined by the hinge lines of $F_3$ folds in sandstone. The enveloping surface defines a southwest dipping overturned limb on a northeast-verging $F_2$ fold. The triangular white region is a Buddhist temple built into the cliff.

C) Looking east at an overturned limb on a northeast-verging $F_2$ fold along the east bank of the Kali Gandaki across from Marpha. Photograph shows $F_1$ isoclinal hooks ($S_0 + S_1$) sub-parallel to $S_2$ and subsequent refolding by southwest-verging $F_3$ folds. This photograph is a close-up of the overturned limb on the fold in Plate 2.3b.

D) View to the west of a boudinaged, tourmaline-rich, quartz-plagioclase pegmatite dike in biotite-grade psammite in the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault. The extended dike dips more steeply than the prominent $S_2$ cleavage and is sub-parallel to the axial planes of $F_3$ folds, indicating southwest-directed thrusting.
Plate 2.5

A) View to the west of upright $F_4$ folds in the hanging wall of the Annapurna detachment fault (in the vicinity of Tukche).

B) Calcareous schist with blocky, randomly oriented biotite porphyroblasts.

C) Photomicrograph of blocky, randomly oriented muscovite porphyroblasts overprinting the $S_2$ foliation.

D) Photomicrograph of randomly oriented albite porphyroblasts overprinting the $S_2$ foliation.
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CHAPTER 3

GEOLOGY, U-Pb AGE CONSTRAINTS AND TECTONIC HISTORY OF THE KALI GANDAKI REGION, ANnapurna Himal, West Central Nepal

ABSTRACT

The Main Central Thrust (MCT) is a crustal-scale, ductile-brittle shear zone that spans the contact between the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence (Greater Himalaya) and Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence (Lesser Himalaya). The MCT and Greater Himalaya have been studied in detail along the Kali Gandaki and Ghaleti Khola. Two intrusive rocks from the Greater Himalaya have been dated using the U-Pb system.

In the Kali Gandaki region, the Greater Himalaya is approximately 6 km thick and consists of three lithotectonic units: 1) kyanite-grade quartzo-feldspathic and pelitic gneiss in the lowest structural level (Formation I); 2) garnet-diopside-bearing calc-silicate gneiss in the middle portion (Formation II); and 3) garnet-tourmaline-bearing two-mica leucogranite and quartzo-feldspathic migmatite in the highest structural level (Formation III). Beneath the Greater Himalaya, the Lesser Himalayan is at biotite grade and consists of quartzite and pelitic and calcareous schist and phyllite. The Greater Himalaya is separated from the overlying Tibetan sedimentary sequence by the Annapurna detachment fault.

In the portion of the MCT shear zone that contains the Greater Himalaya, three phases of ductile deformation are recognized. First-phase ($D_1$) structures include layer-parallel isoclinal folds outlined by compositional layering and a foliation ($S_1$) preserved as inclusion trails in garnet. Second-phase ($D_2$) structures are the product of southwest-directed progressive deformation that occurred at kyanite-grade conditions and consist of a pervasive foliation ($S_2$), a down-dip stretching lineation, tight to isoclinal folds and shearing fabrics, all of which have been subsequently deformed by southwest-verging folds and crenulations. Third-phase ($D_3$) structures locally form chlorite-grade mylonite shears near the base of the Greater Himalaya. In the Lesser Himalaya four ductile deformation events, with characteristics similar to those in the Greater Himalaya, are overprinted by a fifth phase of brittle deformation. Two phases of ductile deformation and one phase of brittle deformation related to movement on the MCT are recognized.

The effects of ductile shearing on the MCT are most pronounced in Formation I of the Greater Himalaya where the dominant fabric is very planar, gneisses are medium-grained, and the hinge lines of $D_1$ and $D_2$ folds are oriented parallel to down-dip stretching lineations. Associated with ductile shearing is a strain gradient. This is demonstrated by the rotation of $D_1$ and $D_2$ hinge lines from a down dip orientation near the base of Formation I to subhorizontal near the top. There is also an upwards coarsening of gneisses, fabrics are less planar, and the angle between $S_1$ and $S_2$
increases. Abundant top-to-the-southwest shear sense indicators related to movement on the MCT are observed, but well developed mylonites either did not form or are obscured by the extensive kyanite-grade static recrystallization.

Single- and multi-grain fractions of monazite and thorite from two samples have been dated. An undeformed, coarse-grained pegmatite that crosscuts \( S_3 \) in the high strain portion of the MCT shear zone has an interpreted crystallization age of 21.8 ± 0.5 Ma. Above the high strain zone, a deformed leucogranite body in the calc-silicate gneiss unit that exhibits interference patterns between \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) folds and contains the \( S_2 \) foliation has an interpreted minimum crystallization age of 22.5 ± 0.1 Ma.

The new structural and U-Pb data indicate that the prominent fabric and structures preserved in the Kali Gandaki region formed during the first phase of ductile deformation along the MCT, which perhaps began after 22.5 ± 0.1 Ma and had ceased by 21.8 ± 0.5 Ma. Following static recrystallization at middle crustal levels, the Greater Himalaya was rapidly uplifted and tectonically denuded by the Annapurna detachment fault. The exhumed MCT was reactivated at upper crustal levels generating brittle fabrics of uncertain age.
INTRODUCTION

In central Nepal and throughout most of the Himalaya, the metamorphic core of the Himalayan orogen (i.e., the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence) is a laterally continuous belt of kyanite- to sillimanite-grade gneisses and syntectonic leucogranites. These rocks underwent intense ductile shearing and metamorphism during southwestward displacement on the Main Central Thrust (MCT), which in part occurred simultaneously with northeast-directed normal faulting resulting in exhumation during the Miocene (Hodges et al., 1988; Burchfiel et al., 1992). Although there have been many regional-scale mapping projects, as well as studies focusing on the petrological evolution and geochemical nature of the Greater Himalaya, very few detailed structural studies integrated with precise geochronometry have been done to place tight age constraints on the high-grade ductile deformation associated with the MCT.

Field mapping in the spring of 1991 along the Kali Gandaki and its subsidiary drainages formed a transect through the Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence, Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence and Tibetan sedimentary sequence (Figs. 3.1 & 3.2; the Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence and Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence will be referred to as the Lesser and Greater Himalaya, respectively, from here on). The main objectives of this work were to cross the MCT and gain an understanding of its structural and metamorphic history, locate and sample outcrops that had rock types suitable for U-Pb geochronology as well as clear structural relationships, and investigate the contact between the Greater Himalaya and
the Tibetan sedimentary sequence with knowledge that elsewhere this contact is an extensional normal fault.

This paper will: 1) document the detailed geology of the Greater Himalaya and MCT in the Kali Gandaki region; 2) bracket the age of the dominant phase of ductile deformation and metamorphism associated with shearing on the MCT using U-Pb geochronology; and 3) provide a tectonic model for the Kali Gandaki region based on the field relations and timing constraints.

TECTONIC SETTING

The present day configuration of the Himalayan orogen is a direct result of the collision between India and Eurasia. Although not tightly constrained, several indirect lines of evidence suggest that continental collision between India and Eurasia probably began in the Eocene: Marine sedimentation in the northern Himalaya terminated in the Eocene (Gansser, 1964). Fossils of large terrestrial animals common to Asia are found in India after the beginning of the Middle Eocene, implying a land bridge at that time (Sahni and Kumar, 1974). Between 50 and 40 Ma (Middle to Late Eocene) the convergence rate of India and Eurasia slowed from ~100-180 to ~50 mm/yr, suggesting that collision had affected relative plate motions (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975). The Transhimalayan batholith, interpreted as a continental magmatic arc that formed during subduction of the Tethys Ocean, ranges in age from from 101 to 41 Ma; therefore, collision must have been post Middle to Late Eocene (Scharer et al., 1984).
In response to convergence between India and Eurasia, the Indian shield was initially thrust under and subducted beneath Asia. This was followed by thickening and shortening of the Indian shield by crustal-scale intracontinental subduction and deformation on the Main Central and Main Boundary thrusts, ultimately forming the Himalayan mountain chain (Fig. 3.3) (Molnar, 1984; Mattauer, 1986). Just north of the Himalaya lies the uniformly elevated Tibetan plateau with an average elevation of ~5 km. Between 1000 and 2000 km north of the Himalaya, Tertiary to present-day deformation is also related to the Indian-Eurasian continental collision (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975). In addition, geophysical evidence indicates that the Indian-Eurasian collision has caused a near doubling in the thickness of continental crust; the Moho is located at ~38 km under stable Indian craton, ~55 km under the Himalaya and on average at ~70 km under the Tibetan plateau (Chen and Molnar, 1981; Molnar, 1988).

The exact amount of shortening that the northern margin of India has undergone since collision is not well constrained. From the displacement on major thrust faults and from a calculated shortening using the width of the deformation zone and the interpreted thickness of the crust, a minimum of 300 km of shortening must have taken place (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975). Paleomagnetic data indicate 300-500 km of crustal shortening (Besse et al., 1984). In addition, during the initial collision it is likely that 100-200 km of Indian crust was subducted beneath Tibet (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975), making the total shortening ~700 km. Although the present-day convergence rate between India and Eurasia is ~50 mm/yr (Minster and Jordan, 1978), a wide zone of distributed deformation extending well into Asia suggests that not all
of the convergence is taken up in the Himalaya (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975). On
the basis of thrust displacement, shortening rates in the Himalayan are believed to
have been and presently still are, ~10-20 mm/yr (Molnar, 1984).

The Himalayan mountain chain from the Indus River in Pakistan to the
Brahmaputra River east of Bhutan extends for a length of over 2500 km, is between
200 and 250 km wide (Le Fort, 1975), and has the highest summits and the deepest
valleys on earth (14 peaks are over 8000 m; the Kali Gandaki and Karnali River
gorges are ~6 km deep). The orogen can be divided into five main subdivisions (Fig.
3.4) (Gansser, 1964; Molnar, 1984). From north to south they are: 1) the
Transhimalayan batholith; 2) the Indus-Tsangpo suture zone; 3) the Tibetan
sedimentary sequence; 4) the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence; 5) the Lesser
Himalayan sedimentary sequence; and 6) the Sub-Himalaya. (See figure caption 3.4
for other commonly used names).

The Transhimalaya, as mentioned previously, is a laterally continuous chain of
mid-Cretaceous to Eocene batholiths (Honegger et al., 1982; Scharer et al., 1984). The
Indus-Tsangpo suture zone, just south of the Transhimalaya, is a discontinuous belt of
ophiolites and arc volcanic rocks that marks the collision zone between the Indian and
Eurasian continents (Gansser, 1964 & 1980; Honegger et al., 1982); south of the
Indus-Tsangpo suture zone virtually all of the rocks belong to India or its continental
margin (Molnar, 1984). The Tibetan sedimentary sequence is ~11 km thick and
consists of passive-margin sedimentary rocks that are nearly continuous from the
Cambro?-Ordovician to Eocene (Gansser, 1964; Bordet et al., 1968 & 1971; Le Fort.
1975; Colchen et al., 1986). Combined paleolatitude analysis, paleontology and sedimentology indicate that Early Cretaceous strata of the Tibetan sedimentary sequence in the Thakkhola region of Nepal were deposited on the south side of the Tethys Ocean on the northern margin of Gondwana and are correlative with rocks on the Exmouth-Wombat Plateaus off of northwest Australia (Gradstein et al., 1992).

The Greater Himalaya forms the high-grade metamorphic core of the orogen and probably represents a sliver of the Indian craton that has been displaced by the MCT and now overlies the Lesser Himalaya (Fig. 3.5) (Gansser, 1964; Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975; Molnar, 1984). In eastern Nepal, structural projection of klippen that lie south of the Greater Himalaya indicate that the cumulative displacement on the MCT is at least 140 km and possibly as much as 210 km (Fig. 3.5) (Schelling, 1992). The Greater Himalaya was originally believed to be the metamorphic basement on which the Tibetan sedimentary sequence was unconformably deposited (Gansser, 1964). In many places the contact between the Greater Himalaya and the Tibetan sedimentary sequence is now recognized as a normal fault (Burchfiel et al., 1992 and references therein; Brown and Nazarchuk, 1993; Chapter 2).

Crystalline rocks of the Greater Himalaya also occur as klippen in the hanging wall of the MCT within the physiographic Lesser Himalaya. The Lesser Himalaya consists of ~20 km of siliciclastic, carbonate and volcanogenic sedimentary rocks that are generally weakly metamorphosed, but locally attain kyanite grade in the footwall of the MCT (Le Fort, 1975; Stocklin, 1980; Colchen et al., 1986). Due to poor exposure, sparse fossil control and a general lack of understanding of the Lesser
Himalaya, it is unclear if the apparent thickness is related to structural duplication (Stocklin, 1980). The fossils documented in the Lesser Himalaya indicate a predominance of Precambrian-Paleozoic and Permo-Carboniferous ages, minor Mesozoic-Tertiary ages and a large gap of mid-Paleozoic ages (Stocklin, 1980 and references therein). The Sub-Himalaya consists of Middle Miocene to Pleistocene Siwalik molasse, which was derived by erosion of the Himalaya (Gansser, 1964). The Lesser Himalaya is separated from the underlying Sub-Himalaya by the Main Boundary Thrust, which probably has more than 100 km of displacement (Molnar, 1984).

TECTONIC BOUNDARIES AND ROCK TYPES IN THE KALI GANDAKI REGION

In the study area (Figs. 3.1 & 3.2) the two main tectonic boundaries are the MCT and the newly recognized Annapurna detachment fault (Brown and Nazarchuk, 1993; see also Chapter 2). The MCT is a crustal scale, ductile-brittle shear zone that spans the contact between the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence and the underlying Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence (Figs. 3.6, 3.7 & 3.8). The Annapurna detachment fault is a normal-sense ductile-brittle shear zone that separates the Greater Himalaya from the overlying Tibetan sedimentary sequence (Figs. 3.6 & 3.7). The MCT and Annapurna detachment fault have been studied in detail along the Kali Gandaki and Ghaleti Khola near Dana and in a drainage west of Dhumpu, respectively. The nature of these contacts and the rock types within the three bounding
tectonostratigraphic packages are discussed here.

The Main Central Thrust

The MCT is usually referred to as a distinct fault and is represented as a line on a map separating the Lesser Himalaya from the overlying Greater Himalaya. In some regions, such as along the Kali Gandaki, this is roughly valid as there is a lithologic and metamorphic break between the Midland formations of the Lesser Himalaya and Formation I of the Greater Himalaya. In other areas though, such as along the Marsyandi, a metamorphic break does not correspond with the lithologic break between the Lesser Himalaya and the Greater Himalaya (Colchen et al., 1986). Also, imbricate zones of kyanite-bearing gneiss may be intercalated with biotite-grade phyllites and schists (i.e., near Dana; this study, Fig. 3.2), further complicating the location of the MCT. Due to the variable nature of this contact the MCT is best described as a shear zone, defined not only by lithology and metamorphic grade, but by structure and fabric as well (Pecher, 1977). In the Kali Gandaki region (Figs. 3.1 & 3.2) the MCT shear zone spans the Lesser Himalaya-Greater Himalaya contact and is delimited by: 1) the metamorphic and lithologic break between biotite-grade quartzites and pelitic rocks of the Lesser Himalaya and kyanite-grade quartzo-feldspathic and pelitic gneisses of the Greater Himalaya; 2) a zone of intense ductile deformation and fabric reorientation which extends approximately 1.5 km up into the Greater Himalaya and about the same distance down into the Midland formations of the Lesser Himalaya; and 3) minor chlorite-grade mylonitic shears in the Greater Himalaya and
brittle fabrics superimposed on ductile fabrics in the Lesser Himalaya. The details of
the MCT are presented and discussed later in the text.

**The Annapurna detachment fault**

The contact between the Greater Himalaya and the Tibetan sedimentary
sequence was originally believed to correspond to a metamorphic and structural
transition between superstructure and infrastructure, with no discordance, requiring the
Greater Himalaya to be Precambrian basement to the Tibetan sedimentary sequence
(Gansser, 1964; Le Fort, 1975). The contact was later interpreted as a highly
recrystallized zone of ductile deformation that formed when the Tibetan sedimentary
sequence detached from the underlying Greater Himalaya in response to gravity sliding
and formation of the Nilgiri nappe (Caby et al., 1983; Colchen et al., 1986). The
contact is now recognized as the Annapurna detachment fault, a segment of the South
Tibetan detachment system (Burchfiel et al., 1992). (A full description of the
Annapurna detachment fault can be found in Chapter 2 and Brown and Nazarchuk,
1993).

**The Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence**

In the region between the Modi Khola and the Burhi Gandaki (Fig. 3.1), the
Lesser Himalaya has been folded in the Pokhara-Kunchha-Gurkha anticlinorium
(Pecher, 1977). The northern limb of the anticlinorium is composed of the Upper
Midland formations (Hashimoto et al., 1973; Arita, 1983; Le Fort, 1975; Colchen et
al., 1986), which are found beneath and within the MCT shear zone in the Kali Gandaki region (Figs. 3.7 & 3.8).

South of the Modi Khola (Fig. 3.1), exposures are limited to large erosional slumps and isolated trail or stream cuts. Observed in this area are outcrops of chlorite-grade phyllite, quartzite with preserved trough cross-beds indicating right way up, the Ulleri augen gneiss (Pecher and Le Fort, 1977) and minor gabbroic intrusions.

Near Dana, in the Kali Gandaki gorge, exposure is good and rock types include clean quartzite (Plate 3.1a), quartz-rich micaceous carbonate, dolomitic carbonate (Plate 3.1b) and pelitic phyllite and schist (Table 3.1). The distribution of units on the map by Colchen et al. (1986) appears to be quite accurate in places, but the location of the lithologic break between the Lesser Himalaya and Greater Himalaya east of the Kali Gandaki has been modified slightly (Map 1). Also, in this study, the composition of both the Singla quartzite (Sq) and the Barpak-Benignat schists (BB) (Map 1) are much more carbonate rich than described by Colchen et al. (1986).

The Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence

In the Kali Gandaki valley, the Greater Himalaya is approximately 6 km thick and is divided into three lithologic packages: Formation I (1.5 km thick), Formation II (3.5 km thick) and Formation III (1.0 km thick) (Le Fort, 1975; Colchen et al., 1986) (Fig. 3.7). Formation I contains kyanite-garnet-bearing quartz-feldspathic and pelitic paragneiss that becomes coarse grained and migmatitic up section (Table 3.1). The migmatitic layers are formed by mm- to cm-scale quartz-feldspar swaths (Plate 3.1c)
and transposition of cm- to dm-scale granitic leucosome (Plate 3.1d; Fig. 3.9). The
gross cm-to m-scale gneissic banding is formed by quartzo-feldspathic and pelitic
layers, which probably represents the intercalation of originally arenaceous and
argillaceous sedimentary beds (Plate 3.1d; Fig. 3.9).

The appearance of thick marbles and increasingly more carbonate-rich rocks
marks the gradation into Formation II (Fig. 3.7). Formation II is a diopside-garnet-
amphibole-bearing calc-silicate gneiss package with typically planar mm- to cm-scale
light green layers (Table 3.1) (Plate 3.2a). Near the top of the unit, very coarse grained
(cm-scale) migmatitic layers are abundant, and pods of insitu anatexitic melt become
apparent (Plate 3.2b). The top-most portion also contains small multiply deformed
leucogranite bodies.

Formation III (Fig. 3.7) is marked by the gradation from dominantly calc-
silicate gneiss into highly migmatitic quartzo-feldspathic and calc-silicate gneiss with
discordant lenses of variably strained and foliated garnet-tourmaline-bearing two-mica
leucogranite (Table 3.1). At high elevations Formation III generally forms steep
inaccessible cliffs such as in the upper reaches of the Ghaleti Khola, but lower down
in the Kali Gandaki it is poorly exposed. Where observed in the Kali Gandaki region,
Formation III appears to differ from descriptions and photographs (Colchen et al.,
1986; Le Fort, 1975) of the same unit in the Marsyandi and Burhi Gandaki regions; it
is lacking the very coarse grained and homogeneous augen gneisses. These coarse
augen gneisses may represent the sheared equivalent of a more porphyritic phase of
leucogranite or a lateral variation in the protolith of Formation III.
The Tibetan sedimentary sequence

In the vicinity of Larjung (Fig. 3.2), the lowest portion of the Tibetan sedimentary sequence that is preserved is the Cambro-Ordovician (Colchen et al. 1986) Annapurna Formation; it is separated from the underlying Formation III by the Annapurna detachment fault (Fig. 3.7). The Annapurna Formation is typically yellow weathering and is composed of biotite-grade psammitic and semi-pelitic schists and phyllites, all of which contain a large component of carbonate (Table 3.1) (see Chapter 2 for a full description of the Tibetan sedimentary sequence).

STRUCTURE AND METAMORPHISM

In this section are presented the structural and metamorphic relationships observed within the MCT shear zone, and in the portion of the Greater Himalaya that lies above the MCT and is truncated by the Annapurna detachment fault. The structures (F₁,₂), surfaces (S₁,₂) and metamorphic events (M₁,₂) are assigned to deformation events (D₁,₂) throughout the text and are summarized in Table 3.2. Additional subscripts, (ŁH), (GH) and (MCT) refer to the Lesser Himalaya, Greater Himalaya and MCT specifically. No correlation of events is implied here as this will be discussed later in the text.

The Main Central Thrust shear zone

The MCT shear zone spans the contact between the Lesser Himalaya and the Greater Himalaya; therefore, both units have structures that are genetically related
(Fig. 3.8), but since the Lesser Himalaya and the Greater Himalaya have differing lithology and metamorphic histories, they are described separately.

In the Lesser Himalaya five phases of deformation have been identified (Table 3.2). In less competent layers such as dolomite or calcareous rocks the deformation is most intense and best preserved resulting in complex interference patterns. In competent quartz-rich rocks only a single planar fabric may be present.

In carbonate-rich and pelitic rocks $D_{1\text{H}}$ is represented by isoclinal fold closures in compositional layering ($F_{1\text{H}}$) and by the remnants of an $S_{1\text{H}}$ muscovite foliation that has been almost completely transposed into the $S_{2\text{H}}$ foliation (Plate 3.2c). $D_{2\text{H}}$ is defined by the prominent $S_{2\text{H}}$ layer-parallel biotite-muscovite foliation that dips 46° to the northeast (Fig. 3.10), a well developed down-dip stretching lineation (Fig. 3.11), sheath folds and steeply dipping hinge lines. $D_{3\text{H}}$ consists of isolated north-verging folds and crenulations ($F_{3\text{H}}$) formed in the $S_{2\text{H}}$ foliation with no new cleavage developed. The $S_{3\text{H}}$ foliation and $F_{3\text{H}}$ folds have been overprinted by $D_{4\text{H}}$ southwest-verging folds ($F_{4\text{H}}$) and an associated $S_{4\text{H}}$ biotite crenulation cleavage that dips in the same direction as, but slightly steeper than $S_{0+1-2\text{H}}$ (Plates 3.2d and 3.1b).

In quartzite, the prominent $S_{2\text{H}}$ fabric is generally parallel to the $S_{1\text{H}}$ foliation, although at some localities a top-to-the-southwest C-S fabric is defined by the preferred orientation of quartz and $S_{2\text{H}}$ biotite dipping more steeply than $S_{0+1-2\text{H}}$ (Plates 3.3a and 3.1a). Although all rock types are highly recrystallized, evidence for relict mylonitic shearing is seen in thin section as zones of more finely grained quartz relative to the surrounding matrix. Southwest-verging $F_{2\text{H}}$ folds have also developed
(Fig. 3.8). D_{5LH} and D_{4LH} folds, crenulations and cleavage are not observed in quartzites. D_{5LH} is a brittle event represented by shattered quartzites, extensive calcite veining in carbonate-rich rocks and rigid-body back rotation of cm-scale lithons in pelite that indicate top-to-the-southwest thrusting (Fig. 3.8).

Three metamorphic events have occurred in the Lesser Himalaya (Table 3.2). M_{1LH} occurred during D_{1LH} and forms the S_{1LH} foliation that is preserved as rare crenulation hinges (Plate 3.2c). M_{1LH} has been overprinted by M_{2LH}, which occurred during D_{2LH} and forms the prominent S_{2LH} foliation. From Pokhara to Dana (Fig. 3.1) the grade of the M_{2LH} event increases up-section structurally from chlorite grade through to the biotite isograd and locally attains garnet grade within the MCT shear zone; near Dana, grossular garnets were observed in micaceous carbonates only. In the study area, all rock types contain the M_{2LH} muscovite +/- biotite foliation. M_{2LH} is the peak metamorphic mineral assemblage and has no indication of metamorphic retrogression. M_{3LH} occurred during D_{4LH} to form the S_{4LH} biotite foliation that overprints all other fabrics. Quartz and calcite are highly recrystallized indicating that metamorphism outlasted ductile deformation.

Within the portion of the Greater Himalaya that is incorporated in the MCT shear zone, three phases of deformation are recognized (Table 3.2). D_{1GH} is defined by isoclinal fold closures in compositional layering (F_{1GH}) (Plate 3.3b) and a transposed layer-parallel biotite-muscovite foliation (S_{1GH}) (Fig. 3.9). S_{1GH} is also preserved as inclusion trails in syn- to post-D_{1GH} garnets (Plate 3.3c). The structures defining the second event are subdivided into D_{2GH} and D_{3GH} (Table 3.2). D_{2GH} is defined by a
very planar biotite-muscovite foliation ($S_{2GH}$) (Fig. 3.8) dipping 38° to the northeast (Fig. 3.12), a well defined but poorly preserved down-dip stretching and kyanite mineral lineation (Fig. 3.11) and ductile folds and crenulations ($F_{2GH}$) to which $S_{2GH}$ is axial planar (Plate 3.3d; Fig. 3.8). The orientations of $S_{2GH}$ and the stretching lineation is a pervasive feature of the Greater Himalaya in central Nepal that has been documented by other workers (Pecher, 1977; Colchen et al., 1986; Macfarlane et al., 1992). $D_{2GH}$ is prominent near the base of Formation I and is represented by southwest-verging folds and crenulations with variably plunging hinge lines that have formed in the prominent $S_{0+1+2GH}$ foliation and by migmatitic layering (Plates 3.3d and 3.4b). The axial planes of $F_{2GH}$ crenulations are often subhorizontal with $S_{2GH}$.

Associated with $D_{2GH}$ is a strain gradient (Fig. 3.13). Typically, in the highest strain zones near the base of Formation I, the following fabric relations are observed: all surfaces are very planar and parallel (Plate 3.1d); $F_{1GH}$ and $F_{2GH}$ hinge lines are parallel to the stretching lineation (Figs. 3.9 & 3.13); sheath folds have developed (Plate 3.1c) (excellent photograph of sheath folds in Colchen et al., 1986); gneisses are medium-grained (Plate 3.4a); and in thin section all fabrics are annealed, although some layers are more finely grained than the surrounding material suggesting that dynamic recrystallization and grain size reduction had occurred (i.e., relict mylonite). Structurally above the planar gneisses, the following fabric relations are observed: $D_{2GH}$ forms a strong crenulation in $S_{2GH}$ (Plate 3.4b), as well as meso- to megascopic folds inferred from dip reversals in $S_{2GH}$ (Map 1); $F_{2GH}$ hinge lines and $S_1$-$S_2$ intersection lineations are subhorizontal (Map 1); and above, gneisses are coarse
grained, planar and more migmatitic (Plate 3.4c). This strain gradient and rotation of $S_2$ hinge lines has also been documented by Pecher (1977). The top of the MCT shear zone is marked by more migmatitic gneisses, where the dominant fabric is composed of $S_{1GH}$ and $S_{2GH}$, which are roughly subparallel but occasionally form an intersection lineation.

Within the MCT shear zone, kinematic indicators such as C-S fabric, asymmetric folds, pressure shadows around garnet, and extended or compressed leucosome all indicate top-to-the-southwest thrusting (Plates 3.4d and 3.5a). Although the base of the Greater Himalaya has been highly strained in the MCT shear zone, as evidenced by the very planar structure, ductile shearing fabrics and apparent reduction in grain size, there is a conspicuous lack of mylonitic textures in outcrop and thin section due to extensive post-$D_{2GH}$ static annealing.

The third deformation event in the MCT shear zone ($D_{4GH}$ for the Greater Himalaya as a whole; Table 3.2) is localized at the base of the Greater Himalaya and is represented by folds in the $S_{2GH}$ foliation, sparse chlorite-grade mylonites and C-S fabrics indicating top-to-the-southwest thrusting (Plate 3.5b; Fig. 3.8). Chlorite-grade mylonitization is not extensive, and thin layers (mm- to cm-scale) of quartz and feldspar that have undergone grain size reduction due to dynamic recrystallization are surrounded by recrystallized $D_{2GH}$ fabrics. Higher in Formation I, e-lamellae and wavy extinction in previously recrystallized quartz are observed (Plate 3.5c).

Formation I in the MCT shear zone has undergone three metamorphic events. The first metamorphic event ($M_{1GH}$) occurred prior to $D_{2GH}$ ductile shearing (Table 3.2)
and produced kyanite?, garnet and the $S_{1GH}$ biotite-muscovite foliation. It is unclear if kyanite grew during this event as it is not observed wrapping around the hinges of $F_{1GH}$ folds. $M_{1GH}$ garnets are up to 10 mm across, are ductilely deformed (Plate 3.5d) and highly fractured, have inclusion-rich cores and were probably syn- to post-$D_{1GH}$ as evidenced by straight and sigmoidal inclusion trails outlined by opaques (Plate 3.3c). The outer rims of $M_{1GH}$ garnets are usually inclusion free.

The second metamorphic event ($M_{2GH}$) occurred synchronously with, but outlasted, $D_{2GH}$ shearing on the MCT (Table 3.2) to produce syn- to post-$D_{2GH}$ kyanite and garnet, as well as the $S_{2GH}$ biotite-muscovite foliation which wraps $M_{1GH}$ garnets. Some samples near the base of Formation I contain syn-kinematic staurolite, epidote and zoisite. $M_{2GH}$ kyanite is oriented parallel to the stretching lineation within the strong $S_{2GH}$ foliation near the base of Formation I and is folded or broken by $D_{2GH}$ folds and crenulations near the top of the MCT shear zone (Plate 3.4b). $M_{2GH}$ kyanite is also observed in quartzo-feldspathic sweats where it is cm-scale in length and are either oriented or random; the kyanite commonly exhibits undulose extinction, and has pull-aparts filled with white mica (Plate 3.6a). $M_{2GH}$ garnets are mm-scale in size, euhedral with few or no inclusions, and relatively pristine with few fractures (Plate 3.6b). Other evidence for metamorphism outlasting deformation also exists: muscovite occurs as a coarse blocky overprint (Plate 3.6c) and Formation I has a strongly annealed texture. The $M_{2GH}$ metamorphic mineral assemblage in Formation I paragneisses (Table 3.1) and the presence of anatetic melt are typical of middle amphibolite facies metamorphism with pressure and temperature ranges of about 6-8
kbar and 600-670°C, respectively (Barker, 1990). M$_{X\text{HI}}$ occurred during the formation of D$_{4\text{GH}}$ mylonites and has locally overprinted the S$_{2\text{GH}}$ biotite foliation with chlorite (Plate 3.5b) and variably replaced and filled fractures in garnet and kyanite with muscovite and chlorite (Plates 3.6d and 3.7a).

Overall, there has been little metamorphic retrogression of the M$_{1\text{GH}}$ and M$_{X\text{HI}}$ mineral assemblages, except near the base of Formation I.

*The Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence*

The MCT shear zone only penetrates to about the top of Formation I (Fig. 3.13), where the effects of ductile shearing and reorientation are less pronounced. In Formation II the fabric relationships between F$_{1\text{GH}}$ and F$_{X\text{HI}}$ folds and S$_{1\text{GH}}$ and S$_{2\text{GH}}$ foliations are clearly visible. At station JN91-15 in the upper reaches of the Ghaleti Khola (Maps 1 & 2), a small leucogranite body and the S$_{1\text{GH}}$ foliation in calc-silicate gneisses have been folded by a shallow plunging (10° toward 340°) F$_{X\text{HI}}$ fold to which S$_{2\text{GH}}$ is axial planar (Plate 3.7b) (this leucogranite has been dated using the U-Pb system and is presented later in the text). The parasitic minor folds on the limbs of the F$_{2\text{GH}}$ structure appear to be interfering with an earlier set of structures (F$_{1\text{GH}}$ folds) to create a "type-2 mushroom" geometry (Ramsay, 1967) (Plate 3.7b & c). There is also a well defined "type-2 mushroom" in a leucosome layer visible adjacent to the F$_{X\text{HI}}$ fold (Plate 3.7c). In addition, complex interference patterns are observed in surrounding gneisses, and the intersection of axial planes of isoclinal folds outlined by S$_{1\text{GH}}$ and the S$_{2\text{GH}}$ foliation is oblique with roughly perpendicular strikes (Map 1).
which would create a "type-2 mushroom".

Higher in formations II and III, $S_{1\text{GH}}$ and $S_{2\text{GH}}$ are generally parallel and can only be distinguished as subhorizontal intersection or crenulation-hinge-line lineations (Map 1). In leucogranite, $S_{2\text{GH}}$ is a well developed biotite-muscovite foliation.

The third deformation event ($D_{3\text{GH}}$ for the Greater Himalaya as a whole; Table 3.2) involved top-down-to-the-northeast ductile shearing on the Annapurna detachment fault in the top-most preserved portion of Formation III. Kinematic indicators include asymmetric folds, C-S fabrics and extended or shortened dikes (see plates 2.2a, b & c). $D_{3\text{GH}}$ was followed by a period of static recrystallization at kyanite-grade conditions. A fifth deformation event ($D_{5\text{GH}}$) involved the formation of broad open warps in the Greater Himalaya and brittle faulting on the Annapurna detachment fault ($D_{5\text{GH}}$), the relative ages of which are unknown (Table 3.2).

The bulk composition of the calc-silicate gneisses in Formation II does not allow the growth of index minerals such as kyanite, but the assemblage garnet-diopside-hornblende (Table 3.1) indicates amphibolite facies metamorphism (Hyndman, 1985). In Formation III, approximately 100 m beneath the contact with the Tibetan sedimentary sequence, kyanite is observed in quartzo-feldspathic sweets from pelitic rocks. Overall, the entire Greater Himalaya in the Kali Gandaki region, from the lower portion of Formation I to the top of Formation III, is at middle amphibolite facies with stable kyanite and muscovite throughout. No sillimanite has been observed.
CORRELATION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE STRUCTURES AND
METAMORPHISM IN THE KALI GANDAKI REGION

The characteristics of deformation and metamorphism observed in the Lesser
Himalaya and the Greater Himalaya were previously described and listed in Table 3.2.
In this section correlations and interpretations of the structural and metamorphic events
within and between the Lesser Himalaya and the Greater Himalaya are made.

In the Lesser Himalaya, the S_{LH} muscovite foliation has been almost
completely transposed into the S_{NH} foliation and is therefore older. Since both of these
foliations are associated with metamorphic events, M_{LH} is older than M_{NH}. Similarly,
in the Greater Himalaya, D_{1GH} structures and metamorphism are clearly older than and
overprinted by the D_{3GH} structures and metamorphism. Therefore, in both the Lesser
Himalaya and the Greater Himalaya, D_1 structures and metamorphism are the oldest
recognizable events. It is unclear if D_{LH} and D_{1GH} are genetically related and therefore
have not been correlated.

D_2 forms the prominent fabrics in both the Lesser Himalaya and the Greater
Himalaya. In the Greater Himalaya, D_{2GH} and D_{3GH} are correlated and considered to
be end members of a single progressive ductile event, where D_{2GH} structures and
fabrics formed during the bulk of the D_2 shearing and D_{3GH} occurred at the very end
of D_2, forming folds in the slightly older D_{2GH} structures. D_{3GH} is interpreted as the
first phase of deformation related to movement on the MCT (D_{1MCT}; Table 3.2). In the
Lesser Himalaya, D_{2LH} also contains southwest-verging structures and shearing fabrics.
The D_{2LH} structures have been refolded by D_{3LH} north-verging folds that do not have
an associated foliation. $D_{4LH}$ consists of southwest-verging structures and a strong crenulation cleavage that have overprinted and refolded all other fabrics. Since $D_{2LH}$ and $D_{4LH}$ structures have the same vergence and metamorphic grade (both have biotite-muscovite foliations), $D_{2LH}$, $D_{3LH}$ and $D_{4LH}$ are considered together as a single progressive ductile event, similar to $D_2$ in the Greater Himalaya (Table 3.2). Based on their similar fabrics and kinematics, $D_{2LH}$, $D_{3LH}$, $D_{4LH}$, $D_{10OH}$ and $D_{20OH}$ are all correlated and interpreted as the first phase of ductile movement on the MCT ($D_{1MCT}$; Table 3.2).

$M_{10GH}$ and $M_{20OH}$ in the Kali Gandaki region are difficult to differentiate. $M_{20OH}$ produced abundant syn- to post-$D_{20OH}$ kyanite. If kyanite grew during $M_{10GH}$ it is now oriented parallel to the stretching lineation and is indistinguishable from $M_{20OH}$ kyanite. Therefore, it is inconclusive as to whether or not $M_{10GH}$ is higher than garnet grade.

$M_{10GH}$ garnets are large with inclusion-rich cores and clear rims. $M_{20OH}$ garnets are smaller and inclusion free and are possibly the same generation as the garnet forming the rims on $M_{10GH}$ garnets. Geothermometry performed on $M_{10GH}$ garnet-bearing Formation I pelitic rocks from the Miristi Khola (Fig. 3.2) have apparent temperatures that increase with depth towards the MCT and abruptly drop adjacent to the contact with the Lesser Himalaya; approximate temperatures and distances above the MCT are 620°C at 3 km, 710°C at 1 km and 672°C at 0.2 km (Le Fort et al., 1987a). $M_{10GH}$ garnets from the Miristi and Modi Kholas (Figs. 3.1 & 3.2) have "reverse" compositional zoning with pyrope-rich cores and almandine-rich rims indicating a decrease in temperature (Arita, 1983; Le Fort et al., 1987a; Pecher, 1989). Also, white mica compositions from the Modi Khola region suggest that muscovite at the base of
the Greater Himalaya is not in equilibrium with the $M_{1GH}$ mineral assemblage and
must have re-equilibrated at a lower temperature (Plate 3.6c) (Arita, 1983). Therefore,
in the Kali Gandaki region, $M_{2GH}$ appears to be a lower-temperature overprint of $M_{1GH}$,
although at kyanite grade.

$M_{2GH}$ in the Kali Gandaki region is an inverted prograde event as evidenced by
the map pattern of isograds and by the metamorphic textures in thin section. In the
Modi Khola region 20 km east of the Kali Gandaki (Fig. 3.1), garnets from the Lesser
Himalaya have a "normal" compositional zoning pattern with spessartine-rich cores
and almandine-rich rims (Arita, 1983), which indicates prograde metamorphism. The
inverted metamorphism seen in the Kali Gandaki region is found throughout the
Himalaya and believed to be the result of a sigmoidal inversion of isograds (similar
geometry to a "drag" fold) related to movement on the MCT (Le Fort, 1975 & 1981;
Pecher, 1989). As the "hot" Greater Himalaya was thrust over the "cold" Lesser
Himalaya, conductive heating caused prograde metamorphism in the Lesser Himalaya
(Arita, 1983) and a temperature drop at the base of the Greater Himalaya (Le Fort,
1981; Le Fort et al., 1987b). Based on the data from the Kali Gandaki region, $M_{2GH}$
and $M_{2GH}$ fit the model of Le Fort (1975 & 1981) and are probably related to ductile
movement on the MCT (i.e., $D_{1MCT}$; Table 3.2).

Associated with $D_{1MCT}$ deformation and metamorphism in the Kali Gandaki
region are pre- to post-tectonic melts that form migmatites and leucogranite bodies.
Field relations and geochemical studies show that the leucogranites are anatetic melts
that were probably derived from Formation I gneisses (Vidal et al., 1982; Deniel et al,
One of the proposed models for leucogranite generation and emplacement is directly related to the hot-over-cold superposition caused by the MCT (Le Fort, 1981 & 1986; Le Fort et al., 1987b; Vidal et al., 1982). During prograde metamorphism in the Lesser Himalaya, dehydration and decarbonation reactions released large volumes of metamorphic fluids. These fluids then rose above the MCT shear zone into the Greater Himalaya. Where temperatures in the Greater Himalaya were above the solidus for a muscovite granite, anatectic melts were produced with the volume of granite being dependant on the volume of fluid. These melts then migrated upwards concentrating at or near the disharmonic break between the Tibetan slab and the overlying Tethyan sediments (Le Fort et al., 1987b).

The Greater Himalaya exposed in the Kali Gandaki region differs from its structural and lithologic equivalent elsewhere in the Himalaya. In many regions, the metamorphic grade in the Greater Himalaya increases structurally up-section to produce an apparent inversion of isograds (sillimanite above kyanite) (Swapp and Hollister, 1991 and references therein) and the relative abundance of migmatite and leucogranite is high; the Manaslu pluton and the sillimanite isograd are roughly 70 km east of the Kali Gandaki. It has been shown that this is not a true inversion but rather a low-pressure, high-temperature Buchan overprint (M3) of an earlier high-pressure, high-temperature Barrovian event (M2) (Brunel and Kienast, 1986; Hodges and Silverberg, 1988; Hodges et al., 1988 and references therein; Swapp and Hollister, 1991). Also, at the base of the MCT in some locations (the Marsyandi valley for example) there is no metamorphic break across the MCT and both the footwall and
hanging wall of the shear zone reach kyanite grade. In contrast with this is the Kali Gandaki region, where: 1) no sillimanite has been observed, in either hand sample or thin section; 2) migmatization is less intense and leucogranites form only small lenses; 3) metamorphism in the footwall of the MCT shear zone is of lower grade than the hanging wall; and 4) the total thickness of the Greater Himalaya is relatively thin. Many of these differences have been explained in terms of a "thick slab" (Manaslu section) and a "thin slab" (Annapurna section) and their relative distance from the tip of the MCT (Pecher, 1989). Far from the tip of the MCT, the Manaslu section is thick and contained enough heat to bring the footwall of the MCT (Lesser Himalaya) to the same temperature (i.e., metamorphic grade) as the hanging wall (Greater Himalaya) and to cause extensive anatetic melting. Closer to the tip of the MCT, the Annapurna section is thinner and contained less heat for metamorphism of the Lesser Himalaya and for anatetic melting in the Greater Himalaya. Near the tip of the MCT, the high-grade Lesser Himalayan nappes had lost all their heat and are separated from essentially non-metamorphosed rocks by a brittle fault. This explanation is satisfactory for observations near the tip and far from the tip, but the Annapurna section is roughly the same distance from the tip of the MCT as the Manaslu section. In a comparison of lithologic sections through the Greater Himalaya (figure 7, p. 95, in Colchen et al., 1986), Formation I in the Kali Gandaki is about one quarter to one third as thick as it is in the Modi Khola, Marsyandi valley and Burhi Gandaki. Therefore, the Greater Himalaya is thinner and probably did contain less heat than thicker sections, but this is more likely a function of the MCT riding at various structural levels within Formation
I, not a function of the distance from the tip of the thrust.

In the Kali Gandaki region, $M_{2GH}$ is prominent in the lower portions of the Greater Himalaya and is probably not a prograde event. As previously mentioned, $M_2$ elsewhere is a high-temperature overprint. The heat source of this high-temperature metamorphism is unresolved, but explanations include frictional heating, delamination of the lithosphere and upwelling of asthenosphere, heat focusing due to thermal conductivity contrasts and heterogeneities in the concentration of minerals that contain radioactive elements (see Hodges et al., 1988 and references therein).

$D_3$ in the Greater Himalaya is localized at the top of Formation III and represents a phase of kyanite-grade normal-sense ductile shearing that occurred on the Annapurna detachment fault; $D_{3GH}$ is not necessarily older than $D_{1MC}$ as deformation and static recrystallization during both events occurred while in the kyanite field (Table 3.2). The shear foliation in leucogranites that underwent ductile deformation on the Annapurna detachment fault is roughly parallel to the regional $S_{2GH}$ foliation and probably only penetrates the top of Formation III to a depth of about 100 m (as deep as normal-sense shear fabrics are observed; see Chapter 2): therefore, $D_{3GH}$ fabrics are local. $D_{3LH}$ also has a northward vergence and may overlap in time with $D_{3GH}$, but these are not correlative events.

$D_{4GH}$ formed chlorite-grade mylonite with a top-to-the-southwest shear sense that is clearly retrogressive and post-dates the earlier $D_{1MC}$ related fabrics (Table 3.2). In the Lesser Himalaya there are no post-$D_{1MC}$ mylonites or retrogressive fabrics that can be correlated with $D_{4GH}$, and it appears that ductile shearing was partitioned within
the Greater Himalaya. Throughout Formation I the localization of secondary muscovite along fractures in kyanite as well as variably chloritized garnet, biotite and kyanite are probably the result of D$_{4GH}$ metamorphism (M$_{3GH}$; Table 3.2), although mylonitization was not extensive. The D$_{4GH}$ structures and metamorphism are interpreted as a second phase of ductile movement on the MCT (D$_{2MCT}$; Table 3.2).

D$_{3}$ in the Lesser Himalaya and D$_{3}$ in the Greater Himalaya are brittle events that overprint ductile fabrics, but they are not correlative. D$_{5GH}$ is a phase of brittle faulting related to the Annapurna detachment fault that has juxtaposed kyanite-grade rocks with biotite-grade rocks. D$_{5LH}$ is a phase of brittle deformation with evidence for southwest-directed thrusting. Although no metamorphic or stratigraphic offsets related to D$_{5LH}$ have been recognized, near the contact between the Lesser Himalaya and the Greater Himalaya north of Dana (Fig. 3.2) there is an imbrication of kyanite-garnet-bearing gneisses and lower-grade pelite and quartzite. This imbrication is probably not related to D$_{1MCT}$ as either a metamorphic retrogression of the gneisses or an equivalent kyanite-grade metamorphism in the pelitic rocks would be expected, and since the gneisses do not contain chlorite-grade mylonites, imbrication is not related to D$_{2MCT}$. D$_{5LH}$ fabrics and brittle imbrication along the contact between the Lesser and Greater Himalaya are interpreted as a third phase of movement on the MCT (D$_{3MCT}$; Table 3.2). Other than imbrication, there are no correlative brittle fabrics in the Greater Himalaya.
GEOCHRONOLOGY

Samples and field relations

Two igneous rocks were collected from the Greater Himalaya to constrain the age of D_{1MCT} deformation and metamorphism using U-Pb geochronometry. Sample JN91-7b (Maps 1 & 2) was collected from a coarse-grained post-tectonic pegmatite that crosscuts the S_{2GH} foliation in Formation I (Plates 3.7d and 3.8a). The pegmatite is not foliated but its margins are slightly strained, and in thin section some quartz grains have sub-grain boundaries. This mild strain probably occurred during D_{2MCT} (Table 3.2), which was concentrated near the base of the Greater Himalaya but strained previously recrystallized quartz throughout Formation I. The sample weighed 3 kg and was predominantly cm-scale plagioclase with quartz, biotite, muscovite, rutile, tourmaline, zircon and monazite (Plate 3.8b & c).

Sample JN91-15 (Maps 1 & 2) is from the small deformed leucogranite body in the upper portion of Formation II described earlier in the structure of the Greater Himalaya (see page 62). The intrusion is multiply deformed and outlines a shallow plunging (10° towards 340°) F_{2GH} fold that contains an axial planar S_{2GH} foliation. Although the interference patterns observed suggest that the leucogranite has been folded twice (Plate 3.7b & c), the leucogranite only contains the S_{2GH} foliation. The lack of S_{1GH} in the leucogranite is problematic but can be explained in several ways: 1) the S_{1GH} foliation has recrystallized as S_{2GH}; 2) the leucogranite was emplaced or injected parallel to a folded metamorphic layering and is only mimicking an earlier phase of folding (i.e., F_{1GH}); 3) the leucogranite was emplaced after D_{2GH} started and is
only mimicking the \( F_{1gh} - F_{2gh} \) interference pattern; or 4) the leucogranite was emplaced and deformed near the end of \( D_{1gh} \) after \( S_{1gh} \) had formed, but before \( D_{2gh} \) began. Explanation (1) is difficult to prove but could have occurred. Explanations (2), (3) are possible, but unlikely as adjacent granitic layers have similar interference patterns (Plate 3.7c), near by \( S_{1gh} \) and \( S_{2gh} \) foliations have the correct orientations for the observed interference pattern (Map 1) and similar interference patterns are observed lower down in the Greater Himalaya (Plate 3.1c). Therefore, the last explanation (4) is favoured.

The sample collected weighed 10 kg and contained quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar, biotite, muscovite, apatite, tourmaline, rutile, garnet, zircon and thorite (Plate 3.8d).

**Analytical procedures**

Heavy mineral separates were obtained from the crushed samples using a standard "Rodger's Table" and heavy liquids at Carleton University. Zircon, monazite and thorite were obtained by "Frantz" magnetic separation and picked in alcohol under reflected and plane polarized light at the Geological Survey of Canada geochronology laboratory in Ottawa. Mineral fractions were chosen based on size, morphology, fractures, inclusions, clarity and visible inheritance seen as cores. Some of the zircon and monazite analyses were air abraded (Table 3.3) following Krogh (1982), and U-Pb analytical methods are those of Parrish et al. (1987). The respective U and Pb blanks measured in picograms were as follows: zircon, <1 and 10-15; monazite, <1 and 20-25;
and thorite, ≤1-3 and 5-15.

**Analytical results**

The U-Pb analytical data for the two samples are given in Table 3.3 and presented on concordia plots in Figs. 3.14, 3.15 and 3.16. Error ellipses are shown at the 2 sigma level.

Abundant euhedral igneous zircon was recovered from samples JN91-15 and JN91-7b. The zircons are generally tabular (2:1 length to width ratio) to equant ranging from 40 to 360 microns in length, are clear yellow (probably due to the extremely high U content; see Table 3.3) and unfractured and have minor inclusions (Plate 3.8b). Under reflected light most zircons have cloudy partially resorbed irregular cores that are overgrown by an outer rim of clear zircon. Many of the crystals that appear to be core free under reflected light reveal ghosts of internal, euhedral cores under plane polarized light. The largest, clearest crystals with no visible cores were chosen for analysis; of these the largest were lightly abraded for 2-3 hours to remove crystal faces. Multigrain fractions from 2 to 20 crystals yielded highly discordant ages (Table 3.3; Figs. 3.14 & 3.15).

Monazite from sample JN91-7b has two morphologies: 1) a thin, clear yellow (minor inclusions) octahedral form that ranges from 50 to 200 microns in diameter (Plate 3.8c); and 2) more abundant, large (200-400 microns) clear to frosty-yellow fragments with some crystal faces (Plate 3.8c). The fragments are probably the remains of much larger crystals (although not visible in the area of a single thin
section) that were broken up during sample crushing. Single- and multi-grain fractions from both morphologies, some with strong abrasion, were analyzed (Table 3.3). Five of the seven fractions form a tight, but reverse discordant cluster (Fig. 3.16).

Thorite crystals recovered from sample JN91-15 are clear, dark green and euhedral with two crystal morphologies: a tabular form 50-100 microns in length; and prisms 100 microns in length (4:1 length to width ratio) (Plate 3.8d). Most crystals have broken edges and minor inclusions. Single- and multi-grain fractions with no abrasion were analyzed (Table 3.3) yielding slightly reverse discordant ages from about 9 to 22.5 Ma (Fig. 3.16).

**Interpretation**

The highly discordant and non-linear pattern of the zircon data from both samples (Table 3.3; Figs. 3.14 & 3.15) indicates that more than two components of inherited radiogenic $^{206}$Pb have been involved in mixing, probably in the form of invisible cores. The two fractions from JN91-15 had 17 and 22 crystals each (JN91-7b fractions contained 2 to 3 crystals); therefore, a single analysis may be the average of several different ages of zircon. Because of the non-linearity in the data set and the possibility of age averaging in a single fraction, the zircon data can only be used to make a rough estimate of the age of inheritance. The upper intercepts of regression lines through ~22 Ma and the zircon data indicate that the possible ages of components of inherited zircon range from Devonian to Proterozoic: 755-1333 Ma and 407-797 Ma for samples JN91-7b and JN91-15, respectively (Figs. 3.14 & 3.15).
inheritance in zircon also helps confirm that the leucogranites are anatetic in nature and were probably derived from partial melting of Formation I gneisses (Vidal et al., 1982; Deniel et al., 1987; Gariepy et al., 1985).

Although the zircon data do not give precise inheritance ages, a strong Proterozoic component can be inferred from sample JN91-7b and possibly a younger Paleozoic-Precambrian component from JN91-15; similar findings elsewhere in the Himalaya indicate that the age of zircon inheritance ranges from roughly 500 to 2300 Ma (Copeland et al., 1988; Parrish and Tirrul, 1989; Scharer et al., 1986 & 1990). The youngest component of the zircon inheritance may be related to a Paleozoic metamorphic and/or igneous event interpreted from inheritance in monazite; the approximate ages of monazite inheritance are 252 and 355 Ma in the Karakorum region (Scharer et al., 1990) and 471 Ma in the Everest region (Copeland et al., 1988). It has been suggested that there is also monazite inheritance in the Manaslu leucogranite (Copeland et al., 1990). A Paleozoic metamorphic event that gave rise to anatetic melting is inferred for the origin of several other granite-orthogneiss bodies in the Himalaya. One of these, the Palung granite (470 +/- 4 Ma), is interpreted to have intruded the Greater Himalaya prior to Himalayan thrusting and is now preserved in a klippe south of Kathmandu (Scharer and Allegre, 1983). The Palung granite is largely undeformed, contains sillimanite-rich inclusions and locally becomes a sheared orthogneiss with a foliation that is concordant with the prominent fabric in the country rocks. This granite is thought to be an anatetic melt that formed in response to regional metamorphism in the Middle Ordovician (Scharer and Allegre, 1983). They
too found a strong Proterozoic inheritance in zircon with minimum ages ranging from 800 to 1700 Ma. Other Paleozoic ages interpreted as metamorphic and melting events come from the Kangmar granite-gneiss northeast of Everest (562 ± 4 Ma; Schärer et al., 1986) and from a country rock gneiss in the Lhasa block of the Tibetan Plateau (531 ±13/−14 Ma; Xu et al., 1985).

On the basis of regional data, it appears that the inheritance observed in the two samples analyzed from the Kali Gandaki is in part due to the partial melting of a sedimentary protolith (probably Formation I) with a strong Proterozoic zircon component and a weaker Paleozoic zircon component. Although Formation I of the Greater Himalaya has been identified as the probable source of the leucogranites, its depositional age is still unclear. Using the zircon data, two simple extreme end-member possibilities exist: 1) Formation I is Proterozoic in age and is composed of Proterozoic components that were metamorphosed in the Paleozoic and again during the Himalayan orogeny, which seems to be the case for the Palung granite; or 2) Formation I is as young as Tertiary in age and was derived from a source area containing Proterozoic igneous rocks and Paleozoic metamorphic and/or igneous rocks, followed by metamorphism during the Himalayan orogeny.

Five of the seven monazite fractions from sample JN91-7b form a tight cluster (Fig. 3.16); the cluster of five had the same morphology, were abraded and except for fraction R, were single-grain analyses (Table 3.3). Fraction K differs from the cluster of five in that it is slightly younger, had a different morphology and was not abraded. Three possible explanations for the slightly younger age of fraction K are: 1) it has
suffered Pb-loss due to high-temperature diffusion; 2) it contains metamorphic crystals that grew after intrusion of the pegmatite; or 3) it has suffered minor Pb-loss due to surface phenomena. Empirical observations indicate that monazite has a closure temperature of about 725 ± 25°C (Parrish, 1990). In the Baltoro region of the Karakoram, high-temperature Pb-loss is thought to have occurred where leucogranites were intruded into metamorphic rocks that had temperatures near the closure of monazite (i.e., sillimanite-grade); monazite ages are about 2-4 Ma younger than the crystallization ages from zircon and thorite (Parrish and Tirrul, 1989). In the Kali Gandaki region, the temperature estimates for Formation I based on the mineral assemblage and geothermometry (Le Fort, 1986) are about 600-700°C. Because the pegmatite sample (JN91-7b) was intruded into rocks which were probably never above the closure temperature of monazite, the younger age of fraction K is probably not due to high-temperature Pb-loss, and, because the pegmatite cuts S2 (the peak metamorphic fabric) the monazite in fraction K is probably not metamorphic. Therefore, fraction K is probably the same age as the fractions in the cluster, but because it was not abraded the effects of minor Pb-loss caused by surface phenomena are apparent.

Fraction J differs from the cluster of five in that it is just slightly older, had four crystals and was not abraded. Although fraction J contained monazite with fresh, broken surfaces, large crystal faces still remained. If fraction J had been abraded, Pb-loss caused by surface phenomena would have been removed, and the analysis may have plotted even further to the right of the cluster. This suggests that there may be a minor component of inherited monazite from the metamorphosed country rock that the
pegmatite intrudes (i.e., $M_{201}$ monazite in Formation I would be slightly older) or that there may be inheritance from the source of the melt. Monazite inheritance has been recognized in other Himalayan leucogranites (Scharer et al., 1990; Copeland et al., 1988; Copeland et al., 1990) and was discussed earlier with the zircon interpretation.

Reverse discordance is a common occurrence in monazite (Parrish, 1990), which has been attributed to an initial excess of $^{230}$Th (Scharer, 1984). A correction for the initial excess $^{230}$Th can be applied to the $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U ratio whereby monazite data are made concordant (Scharer, 1984). The two underlying assumptions of this correction are that: 1) the magma was in radioactive equilibrium before crystallization; and 2) the whole rock Th/U ratio still reflects the Th/U ratio of the magma from which the monazite crystallized. The correction has not been applied in this study because the Th/U ratio of the melt is not accurately known, and for a variety of reasons outlined by Parrish (1990), monazite data commonly do not become concordant after correction. Multi-mineralic studies with zircon, xenotime and monazite have shown that although the $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U ratio may be influenced by an excess of $^{230}$Th, the $^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U ages from monazite still give the correct crystallization age (Scharer, 1984; Scharer et al., 1986; Parrish, 1990). Therefore, in light of the above discussion, the interpreted crystallization age of sample JN91-7b is based on the $^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U ages of the five monazite fractions in the cluster only.

In Figure 3.16 and Table 3.3, the maximum and minimum $^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U ages in the cluster are $21.3 \pm 0.1$ and $22.2 \pm 0.2$ Ma from fractions P and Q, respectively. Fractions N, O and R all have the same age of about 21.8 Ma, which is the average
between fractions P and Q. Therefore, the interpreted crystallization age for the
crosscutting pegmatite dike (sample JN91-7b) is 21.8 ± 0.5 Ma.

The thorite data from sample JN91-15 are slightly reverse discordant and
spread along concordia from ~9 to 22.5 Ma (Figs. 3.15 & 13.16), although the
concentration of data is above 20 Ma with fractions H and I having identical $^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U
ages of 22.5 Ma (Table 3.3). Thorite is not a commonly used mineral for U-Pb
geochemistry. The cause of the reverse discordance has not been identified,
although it may be related to an initial excess of $^{230}$Th, which causes excess radiogenic
$^{206}$Pb, similar to that observed in monazite. In a geochronological study of the Baltoro
granite of northern Pakistan, monazite interpreted to have undergone high-temperature
Pb-loss gives ages younger than the interpreted crystallization age determined from
thorite and zircon (Parrish and Tirrul, 1989). This indicates that thorite has a closure
temperature higher than monazite; therefore, the interpreted age of sample JN91-15
would be that of crystallization, not cooling.

By using air abrasion on zircon it has been shown that there is a positive
correlation between U content and discordance caused by leaching and radiation
damage near the surface of a crystal (Krogh, 1982; Parrish et al., 1992). Thorite has a
Th-U content of 60-80% by weight (from 250,000 to 550,000 ppm U per fraction;
Table 3.3) and can undergo extensive alteration caused by alpha-particle bombardment
from the radioactive decay of U and Th (Frondel, 1958). Pb-loss of this type is usually
used to explain a linear discordance of points along a chord from the interpreted age
to zero. In young rocks (i.e., less than ~50 Ma), however, concordia has virtually no
curvature; therefore, a linear Pb-loss chord will be almost parallel producing several "apparently" concordant ages.

The thorite crystals analyzed were too small to abrade without loss or destruction; therefore, the array of thorite ages presented here is interpreted to be the result of Pb-loss caused by surface phenomena in the same manner as zircon. Thorite fractions H and I have identical $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U}$ ages (Table 3.3) and represent the oldest crystals analyzed, but because abrasion was not done and Pb-loss may have occurred, they may be giving ages slightly younger than the true crystallization age. Based on this, the interpreted minimum age of crystallization for the leucogranite sample JN91-15 is 22.5 ± 0.1 Ma. It is stressed here that the error of ± 0.1 Ma given to this age is an analytical error based on the precision of the technique. Assigning this age as a minimum is an interpretation of the accuracy based on knowledge of U-Pb systematics.

Discussion

Many different types of geochronological studies have been done on Himalayan leucogranites, but with variable success. The Rb-Sr, K-Ar and $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ systems have been used to determine whole-rock and mineral ages for leucogranites in all regions of the Himalaya (Le Fort et al., 1987b; Searle and Fryer, 1986; Searle et al., 1989; see Hubbard and Harrison, 1989 for a review), although there are problems that limit their use and reliability. In the Rb-Sr system, the closure temperatures for commonly dated minerals such as muscovite and biotite are 500°C (Purdy and Jager, 1976) and 300-
350°C (Dodson, 1973), respectively. In the K-Ar system, the closure temperatures of hornblende, muscovite and biotite are $530 \pm 40°C$ (Harrison, 1981), 350°C (Purdy and Jager, 1976) and $280 \pm 40°C$ (Harrison et al., 1985), respectively. This means that all Rb-Sr, K-Ar and 40Ar-39Ar age determinations on primary igneous minerals are strictly cooling ages (Panas, 1991) and do not reflect the much higher temperature emplacement age, unless it is demonstrable or assumed that the pluton cooled quickly. This problem is accentuated in regions such as the Himalaya where leucogranites were emplaced in high-temperature gneisses (i.e., kyanite to sillimanite grade).

Due to the mobility of Rb it is difficult to determine if minerals have remained closed (Shirey, 1991). Also, heterogeneities in the Sr isotopic composition have been described in the Transhimalaya (Scharer et al., 1984) and in the Manaslu leucogranite (Vidal et al., 1982). Such problems can lead to incorrect age determinations due to accidental linear arrays (Scharer et al., 1986), such as in the Everest-Makalu region, where leucogranites have an interpreted whole-rock Rb-Sr emplacement age of 52 Ma (Ferrara et al., 1983), as well as U-Pb monazite ages of 21 and 24 Ma (Scharer, 1984). Similarly, the Bhagirathi leucogranite has an Rb-Sr whole rock age of $64 \pm 11$ Ma and Rb-Sr and K-Ar cooling ages of 19-21 Ma from biotite and muscovite, respectively (Stern et al., 1989). Since no U-Pb data have been obtained it is difficult to determine if this whole-rock age is significant. It is these systematic problems that make the Rb-Sr and K-Ar systems less useful than U-Pb for determining crystallization ages. The current practice is not to rely on Rb-Sr for crystallization ages unless they can be confirmed with a more precise system such as U-Pb (Shirey, 1991).
Very few U-Pb studies exist in the published literature for the Greater Himalayan leucogranites (Table 3.4; Fig. 3.4). The rocks dated in these studies are similar in mineralogy and tectonic setting; garnet-tourmaline-bearing two-mica leucogranites generally intruded along and adjacent to the contact between the Greater Himalaya and the Tibetan sedimentary sequence (i.e., the South Tibetan detachment system; Burchfiel et al., 1992). In Table 3.4 there is a conspicuous grouping of leucogranite ages from about 20 to 25 Ma with errors in the order of less than 1 Ma. Two of the studies suggest that there are two pulses of magmatism, one at about 21-22 Ma and another at about 24-25 Ma (Scharer, 1984; Scharer et al., 1990). Within the 20-25 Ma age range, the leucogranites studied vary from sheared and metamorphosed to undeformed; undeformed leucogranites post-date MCT ductile shearing or are intruded high enough into the Tibetan sedimentary sequence to have escaped ductile normal faulting on the South Tibetan detachment system. On the basis of the regional U-Pb data, all the Greater Himalayan leucogranites were emplaced between ~20 and 25 Ma.

**TIMING CONSTRAINTS IN THE KALI GANDAKI REGION**

Since $M_{ILH}$ in the Kali Gandaki region has not been dated, its relationship, if any, to the Himalayan orogen is unclear. An illite crystallinity study in the Kumaon Himalaya has shown that the regional metamorphic fabric in the Lesser Himalaya (possibly $M_{ILH}$ in the Kali Gandaki region) is Paleozoic-Mesozoic in age and unrelated to the Himalayan orogeny (Johnson and Oliver, 1990). Alternatively, if $M_{ILH}$ occurred
during the Himalayan orogeny, it may be part of a single continuous event, where the
$D_{1LH}$ metamorphic fabric is older than, but related to $D_{2LH}$.

Field relations in the Kali Gandaki region indicate that $D_{1GH}$ and $M_{1GH}$ have
been overprinted by $D_{2GH}$ and $M_{2GH}$ ($D_{1MCT}$; Table 3.2) and that the dated leucogranite
sample JN91-15 was intruded into Formation II post-$M_{1GH}$, near the end of $D_{1GH}$ and
the onset of $D_{2GH}$. Since the leucogranite appears to have been folded by $F_{1GH}$, the very
end of $D_{1GH}$ occurred perhaps as late as $22.5 \pm 0.1$ Ma, outlasting $M_{1GH}$. The same
granite is folded by $F_{2GH}$, therefore, $D_{2GH}$ could be younger than $22.5 \pm 0.1$ Ma. The
intrusion of pre- to post-$D_{2GH}$ anatectic granites suggests that $M_{2GH}$ began just before
and outlasted $D_{2GH}$. $D_{3GH}$ also involved ductile shearing of leucogranites and gneisses
on the Annapurna detachment fault while at kyanite-grade conditions (see Chapter 2).
On the basis of metamorphic grade, similarities in tectonic setting, mineralogy and
ages of other Himalayan leucogranites, a portion of the ductile shearing of Formation
III in the footwall of the Annapurna detachment fault is thought to be synchronous
with $D_{2GH}$.

Using the age of the pegmatite sample (JN91-7b) that crosscuts the $S_{2GH}
foliation in Formation I of the Greater Himalaya, all $D_{2GH}$ kyanite-grade ductile
shearing associated with movement on the MCT ($D_{1MCT}$; Table 3.2) was completed by
$21.8 \pm 0.5$ Ma. Similarly, $D_{3GH}$ kyanite-grade ductile shearing on the Annapurna
detachment fault may have ceased by then as well. On the basis of the correlation of
structures and fabrics between the Lesser Himalaya and the Greater Himalaya ($D_{1MCT}$;
Table 3.2) the progressive $D_{2LH}$ to $D_{4LH}$ event has the same age constraints as $D_{2GH}$ and
Using the age limits presented here, the time span of $D_{1MCT}$ ranges from 0.1 to 1.3 Ma.

At some time after 21.8 Ma, the MCT was reactivated at greenschist-facies forming southwest-verging $D_{4GH}$ chlorite-grade mylonites in the Greater Himalaya ($D_{2MCT}$; Table 3.2). After $D_{4GH}$ the MCT was reactivated at upper crustal conditions superimposing $D_{SLH}$ brittle fabrics on the older $D_{1MCT}$ ductile fabrics in the Lesser Himalaya. The Annapurna detachment fault was also reactivated at upper crustal conditions ($D_{SGH}$) juxtaposing biotite-grade rocks against kyanite-grade rocks.

In summary, based on the structural and geochronological data presented here, the following timing constraints are proposed for the Kali Gandaki region: 1) anatectic melting, leucogranite emplacement and $M_{2GH}$ metamorphism began by at least $22.5 \pm 0.1$ Ma; 2) $M_{1GH}$ is older than $22.5 \pm 0.1$ Ma; 3) the very end of $D_{1GH}$ and the onset of $D_{2GH}$ deformation occurred perhaps as late as $22.5 \pm 0.1$ Ma; 4) all $D_{2GH}$ ductile shearing and kyanite-grade metamorphism associated with $D_{1MCT}$ and possibly ductile movement on the Annapurna detachment fault was over by $21.8 \pm 0.5$ Ma; 5) a portion of the ductile shearing on the Annapurna detachment fault may have been synchronous with $D_{1MCT}$; 6) the time span in which ductile deformation fabrics formed during $D_{1MCT}$ ranges from less than 0.1 to 1.3 Ma; 7) $D_{4GH}$ chlorite-grade shearing ($D_{2MCT}$) occurred after $21.8 \pm 0.5$ Ma; and 8) $D_{SLH}$ brittle faulting ($D_{3MCT}$) and $D_{SGH}$ associated with brittle faulting on the Annapurna detachment fault occurred after $D_{4GH}$ and could be as young as Quaternary.
TECTONIC IMPLICATIONS

In the Kali Gandaki region, the Greater Himalaya has undergone multi-phase deformation and metamorphism as a result of tectonic burial and subsequent tectonic exhumation related to movement on the MCT and Annapurna detachment fault. A tectonic interpretation of the area based on the structural data and timing constraints is presented here.

In the Kali Gandaki region, the field relations and interpretations imply that $D_{1gh}$ and $M_{1gh}$ are younger than ~50 Ma and therefore formed during the Himalayan orogeny. This interpretation supports weak evidence that elsewhere in the Himalaya $M_{1gh}$ is Eocene in age and probably related to continental collision between India and Eurasia (Hodges and Silverberg, 1988; Searle et al., 1989; Searle and Rex, 1989; Treloar and Rex, 1990). An Eocene age would suggest that $D_{1gh}$/$M_{1gh}$ and $D_{imct}$ are part of a single progressive Himalayan event, where $D_{1gh}$ involved the burial and metamorphism of sedimentary rocks (perhaps as young as Paleocene-Eocene) during India-Eurasia continental convergence and $D_{imct}$ represents a younger phase of intense metamorphism, anatexic melting and southwest-directed motion on the MCT that began in Oligocene-Miocene time (~25 Ma).

Of interest is the very short apparent time span in which the $D_{imct}$ event occurred. In the Kali Gandaki region, U-Pb data indicate that $D_{imct}$ occurred over a 0.1-1.3 Ma time span; in the Langtang region the main deformational fabric is believed to have occurred within a few million years of 21 Ma (Parrish et al., 1992); regionally, leucogranite ages indicate that $M_{2}$ metamorphism occurred between ~20
and 25 Ma.

The very short duration of $D_{IMCT}$ deformation determined by this study may be
the result of strain partitioning and diachronous shearing within the MCT shear zone,
and/or it may be possible that the oldest thorite fraction analyzed has suffered some
Pb-loss and has revealed an age a few million years younger than the true
crystallization age. On the basis of regional data, Greater Himalayan leucogranites are
no older than ~25 Ma. Knowing that $D_{IMCT}$ ceased by 21.8 Ma, the ductile fabrics in
the Greater Himalaya probably formed over a time span of less than ~3.2 Ma.

Despite mesoscopic observations that rocks within the MCT shear zone and
footwall of the Annapurna detachment fault have undergone intense ductile shearing,
the entire Greater Himalaya (except near the base of Formation I) has a highly
recrystallized microscopic texture due to static annealing and lacks well preserved
mylonites. The static recrystallization occurred around 21.8 Ma while the Greater
Himalaya was still at kyanite-grade conditions; therefore, $D_{IMCT}$ ductile movement on
the MCT as well as $D_{SOH}$ ductile movement on the Annapurna detachment fault must
have ceased or become negligible while still at middle crustal levels.

In the Kali Gandaki region, the only fabrics that overprint the recrystallized
$D_{IMCT}$ structures are mylonite chlorite-grade shears in the Greater Himalaya ($D_{2MCT}$) and
brittle fabrics in the Lesser Himalaya ($D_{3MCT}$); there are no rocks that indicate
deformation continued through the brittle-ductile transition. Although there are no
mineral cooling ages, the preservation of both a stable, annealed middle-amphibolite-
facies mineral assemblage and an inverted temperature gradient directly above the
MCT in the Kali Gandaki region (Le Fort et al., 1987a) indicate that the Greater Himalaya was quenched and brought to upper crustal levels quickly. Therefore, the kyanite-grade D_{imct} ductile shearing fabrics preserved in Greater Himalaya presently exposed in the Kali Gandaki region were rapidly uplifted and exhumed with virtually no motion on the MCT.

Two uplift mechanisms are considered plausible for the Greater Himalaya of the Kali Gandaki region: 1) development of a ramp and/or 2) development of a duplex along the structurally lower Main Boundary Thrust. In eastern Nepal, field relations indicate that the Main Boundary Thrust post-dates the MCT and in cross sections it is drawn rooting into a crustal-scale ramp structurally beneath a ramp in the MCT (Fig. 3.5) (Schelling, 1992). A cross section through the Kumaon Himalaya also illustrates a ramp in the Main Boundary Thrust as well as a large uplifted region above the MCT (Fig. 3.3) (Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1983). In the Langtang region, a ramp in the Main Boundary Thrust may have caused out-of-sequence thrusting and subsequent brittle duplexing of the MCT zone (Macfarlane et al., 1992).

Exhumation mechanisms include erosion and tectonic denudation. Erosion rates in parts of the Himalayan orogen are believed to have been very rapid (i.e., 1-10 mm/yr) during the Neogene (Copeland and Harrison, 1990). However, erosion in mountainous terrain may occur primarily by back-wasting, which removes material from the valleys not reduce the height of the peaks and may not be a contributing factor (R. L. Brown, pers. comm.). The contact between the Greater Himalaya and Tibetan sedimentary sequence in the Thakkhola region of the upper Kali Gandaki
valley is interpreted as a crustal-scale, ductile-brittle, normal-sense shear zone (the Annapurna detachment fault; see Chapter 2) and is correlated with the South Tibetan detachment system. As previously mentioned, the Greater Himalaya preserves textures indicating quenching and rapid exhumation. Extensional faulting and the generation of normal-sense ductile-brittle shear zones give rise to rapid exhumation of high-grade rocks (Coney, 1980; Armstrong, 1972) and are recognized as important features of convergent orogens. The Annapurna detachment fault is therefore the structure most likely responsible for the bulk of exhumation.

Of interest are the mechanisms that cause the onset of extensional faulting that lead to tectonic denudation and exhumation. Applying critical taper theory (see Dahlen, 1990 for a review; Platt, 1986; Beaumont et al., 1992) extension in the upper crust may occur if the taper of the orogenic wedge becomes supercritical. Some of the key parameters that control critical taper are: 1) internal and basal pore fluid pressures; 2) the internal and basal coefficients of friction; 3) density of the wedge and fluid; 4) the basal slope; 5) erosion rate; and 6) subduction rate. An increase in basal pore fluid pressure or a decrease in basal friction across a preexisting surface, erosion rate, or subduction rate can cause a wedge to become supercritical.

In the Kali Gandaki region, it is possible that the Annapurna detachment fault is superposed on an older thrust fault (see Chapter 2). Also, ductile shearing on the Annapurna detachment fault was accompanied by the emplacement of leucogranites and possibly the migration metamorphic fluids released from the underthrust Lesser Himalaya. Therefore, shearing could have been initiated by an increase in pore fluid
pressure and/or a lowering of the basal friction caused by the emplacement of anatectic melts (Hollister and Crawford, 1986) along a previously existing fault plane. The convergence rate between India and Eurasia has not changed since collision (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975) and is therefore not an important factor. A simple model proposed by Burchfiel and Royden (1985) suggests that extension is the product of gravitationally induced collapse of the Miocene topographic front between the Tibetan plateau and the Indian foreland. Burchfiel et al. (1992) believe that ductile extension at depth may have been triggered by a weakening of the crust due to anatectic melting.

A model for the tectonic evolution of the Kali Gandaki region is as follows. The Greater Himalaya was buried and metamorphosed during Eocene time ($D_{1GH}$ and $M_{1GH}$) in response to the continental collision between India and Eurasia. Between ~25 and 21.8 Ma, a short but intense phase of middle-crustal thrusting accompanied by metamorphism and leucogranite emplacement formed the $D_{1MCT}$ structures and fabrics. During this time there was probably contemporaneous kyanite-grade ductile normal faulting on the Annapurna detachment fault that was induced by anatectic melting, which weakened the crust causing gravitational instability and collapse. At 21.8 Ma ductile motion on the MCT and possibly on the Annapurna detachment fault ceased at middle crustal levels; a period of static recrystallization followed. A lowering of the Miocene topographic surface and attainment of a critical taper may have terminated $D_{1MCT}$ deformation.

Following intense deformation and subsequent recrystallization, the Greater
Himalaya was uplifted and exhumed with only minor shearing at chlorite grade
\(D_{2\text{MCT}}\) and brittle faulting at upper crustal levels \(D_{3\text{MCT}}\). Uplift of the Greater
Himalaya was probably achieved with a crustal-scale ramp and/or a duplex related to
the structurally lower Main Boundary Thrust. Uplift and increased topography led to
supercritical conditions, renewed upper crustal normal faulting on the Annapurna
detachment fault and subsequent exhumation of the Greater Himalaya. Minor phases of
ductile \(D_{2\text{MCT}}\) and brittle \(D_{3\text{MCT}}\) reactivation of the MCT during uplift and
exhumation may have been due to readjustments in the critical taper angle, controlled
by the parameters discussed earlier.

**DISCUSSION**

The evidence for a short but intense phase of \(D_{1\text{MCT}}\) deformation and
metamorphism followed by rapid uplift and erosion in the Kali Gandaki region is
similar to the findings of other structural, petrologic and geochronologic studies in the
Himalaya. In the Everest region, thermobarometric profiling and textural relationships
indicate that \(M_2\) metamorphism is not disrupted and was synchronous with movement
on the MCT (Hubbard, 1989). A syn-tectonic hornblende separate from the MCT shear
zone in the same region has an \(^{40}\text{Ar} - ^{39}\text{Ar}\) release spectra indicating that peak-\(M_2\)
temperatures had cooled through the closure temperature for argon in hornblende by
approximately 21 Ma; therefore, movement on the MCT occurred at that time
(Hubbard and Harrison, 1989). In the Langtang region, U-Pb ages for monazite and
zircon from pelitic schists and leucogranites suggest \(M_2\) metamorphism occurred at
about 21 Ma (Parrish et al., 1992), and collectively, Greater Himalayan leucogranite ages indicate that anatexis occurred between ~20 and 25 Ma. These data imply that MCT deformation, metamorphism and leucogranite emplacement were all roughly coeval.

Some of the movement on a portion of the South Tibetan detachment system has been dated as well. In the Everest region, two syn-tectonic amphibole separates from the footwall of the Qomolangma detachment have release spectra indicating that peak-M2 temperatures had cooled through the closure temperature for argon in hornblende by approximately 21 Ma and that some of the movement on the Qomolangma detachment occurred at that time (Hodges et al., 1989). In the same area, the Rongbuk leucogranite crosscuts the Qomolangma detachment and has a U-Pb zircon age of approximately 20 Ma (Copeland et al., 1988). A more precise U-Pb age of 20.6 ± 0.2 Ma was interpreted from xenotime in the same sample (Parrish, 1990). This age indicates that the bulk of the movement on the Qomolangma detachment was over by 20.6 Ma (Burchfiel et al., 1992).

Rapid uplift of the Greater Himalaya has been interpreted in several studies. Thermobarometric observations from the Greater Himalaya in the central portion of the orogen, such as minimal re-equilibration of the peak metamorphic mineral assemblage during cooling and the apparent preservation of lithostatic pressure gradients and inverted temperature gradients, suggest that rapid uplift and quenching occurred in latest Oligocene to Middle Miocene (Hodges et al., 1988). In the Everest region, $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar cooling ages from K-feldspar, muscovite and biotite are only a few
million years younger than the crystallization ages of the Greater Himalaya leucogranites from which they were recovered and are interpreted to be the result of rapid uplift in Miocene time (Copeland and Harrison, 1987; Maluski et al., 1988).

A direct result of rapid uplift and erosion of the Himalaya was the deposition of sediment in the Bengal Fan, which contains a large component of Early to Middle Miocene age sediment. Fission-track dating of detrital apatite and $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar dating of K-feldspar and muscovite from the Bengal Fan revealed that a large portion of the detritus is essentially the same age as the sediment. The presence of zero-age detritus was interpreted to be the result of rapid uplift, erosion and transportation since ~18 Ma (Corrigan and Crowley, 1990; Copeland and Harrison, 1990; Copeland, 1993). A provenance study of the heavy mineral detritus in the Bengal Fan indicates that the Greater Himalaya was being rapidly eroded between 17.1 and 7.5 Ma (Amano and Taira, 1992, 1993a & 1993b).

CONCLUSIONS

In the Kali Gandaki region, three phases of deformation related to the MCT are recognized. The dominant phase ($D_{1\text{MCT}}$) took place at middle crustal levels and began perhaps after $22.5 \pm 0.1$ Ma, but probably not before $25$ Ma. $D_{1\text{MCT}}$ was completed by $21.8 \pm 0.5$ Ma, followed by static recrystallization at kyanite-grade conditions. Associated with $D_{1\text{MCT}}$ was ductile reorientation of earlier structures ($D_{1\text{OGH}}$: ~Eocene) within the high-strain portion of the shear zone, and a kyanite-garnet-grade metamorphic event ($M_{2\text{OGH}}$), which overprints the earlier metamorphism ($M_{1\text{OGH}}$). A
portion of the ductile normal faulting on the Annapurna detachment fault was probably synchronous with $D_{1\text{MCT}}$ thrusting.

After 21.8 Ma, the Greater Himalaya was rapidly uplifted and exhumed with only minor reactivation of the MCT at chlorite-grade conditions ($D_{2\text{MCT}}$) and at upper crustal conditions ($D_{3\text{MCT}}$). The mechanisms that brought the Greater Himalaya to the surface with very little motion on the MCT were uplift above a crustal-scale ramp and/or a duplex in the structurally lower Main Boundary Thrust and tectonic denudation as a result of renewed movement on the Annapurna detachment fault.
Figure 3.1. Geological map of central Nepal modified after Colchen et al. (1986). Inset in upper left hand corner shows location of field area; box shows location of detail map in Figure 3.2; A-A’ locates line of cross section in Figure 3.6; MCT = Main Central Thrust; ADF = Annapurna detachment fault; TNF = Thakkhola normal fault.
Figure 3.2. Detail of the field area: shaded dashed line follows route of traverses; A-A' locates line of cross section line in Figure 3.6; MCT = Main Central Thrust; ADF = Annapurna detachment fault; TNF = Thakkhola normal fault; stars and station numbers indicate locations of geochronology samples; see Figure 3.1 for legend.
Figure 3.3. Sequence of idealized cross sections from the initial collision of India and Eurasia to present (from Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1983). a) Formation of the Main Central Thrust (MCT) after an assumed 100 km of subduction of part of the northern margin of India. b) Formation of the Main Boundary Fault (MBF; also known as the Main Boundary Thrust) after an assumed 125 km of underthrusting of India along the MCT. Note the marked uplift of material over the MCT. c) Underthrusting of an assumed 125 km of India along the MBF. Note that again pronounced uplift occurs where the MBF changes dip. d) Same as (c) but eroded to present level.
Figure 3.4. Map of the main structural subdivisions in the Himalaya - Transhimalaya (after Le Fort, 1975). Arrows and names indicate the regions where Greater Himalayan leucogranites have been dated using the U-Pb system. See Table 3.4 for the ages of leucogranites in these regions. Small letters are abbreviations for: e, Everest; K, Kathmandu; Kg, Kargil; L, Lhasa; Lh, Leh; m, Manaslu; P, Peshawar; T, Thimpu; X, Xigaze. The following superscripts indicate other commonly used names: (1) Indus-Tsangpo suture zone; (2) Tibetan Sedimentary sequence, Tethys Himalaya; (3) Greater Himalayan leucogranites; (4) Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence, Tibetan Slab, Annapurna Gneiss complex, Vaikrita group, Central Crystalline, Himalayan gneiss zone, Takhtstang gneiss; (5) Lesser Himalayan sedimentary Sequence, Midland formations; (6) Sub-Himalaya, Ganges Plain, Siwalik lowlands.
Figure 3.5. (a) Balanced and (b) restored cross sections of the eastern Nepal Himalaya through the Greater Himalaya north of Melung-Tse and the Ganges Plain south of Sindhuli Bazaar (from Schelling, 1992). Rocks above the MCT belong to the Greater Himalaya. Only the Lesser and Sub-Himalaya beneath and south of the MCT have been restored. Main Central Thrust, MCT; Sun Kosi Thrust, SKT; Main Boundary Thrust, MBT; Main Detachment Fault, MDF; Kamala Thrust, KT; Main Frontal Thrust, MFT.
Figure 3.6. Cross section modified after Colchen et al. (1986). MCT = Main Central Thrust; ADF = Annapurna detachment fault (located as per Caby et al., 1983).
Figure 3.7. Schematic tectonostratigraphic section through the Kali Gandaki region. MCT (Main Central Thrust); ADF (Annapurna detachment fault); TSS (Tibetan sedimentary sequence); GHMS (Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence); LHSS (Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence). See Table 3.1 for description of units.
Figure 3.8. Schematic cross section through the Main Central Thrust (MCT) shear zone in the Kali Gandaki region. View is to the northwest, perpendicular to the stretching lineation. GHMS (Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence); LHSS (Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence). See Figure 3.9 for a schematic cross section perpendicular to this view.
**Figure 3.9.** Schematic cartoon of the lithologic and fabric relationships observed in Formation I gneisses within the Main Central Thrust shear zone. View is towards the northeast down the dip of the S2 foliation, parallel to the stretching lineation.
Figure 3.10. Scatter plot and contour of poles to S2 foliations in the Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence. N, number of points; C. I., contour interval; square indicates mean vector.
Figure 3.11. Scatter plot and contour of stretching lineations in the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence and the Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence. N, number of points; C. I., contour interval; square indicates mean vector.
Poles to $S_{2GH}$

N = 32
C. I. = 2 sigma
Mean vector = 210/52
Great circle = 300/38

Figure 3.12. Scatter plot and contour of poles to S2 foliations in the Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence. N, number of points; C. I., contour interval; square indicates mean vector.
Figure 3.13. Composite cartoon of the strain gradient associated with ductile shearing on the Main Central Thrust (MCT). In the highest strain zones F2 hinge lines are parallel to stretching lineations. Structurally above and below the high strain zones, hinge lines progressively become perpendicular to stretching lineations. See text for details.
Figure 3.14. Concordia plot for sample JN91-7b with York regression lines through the discordant zircon data. The lower intercepts of the lines pass through the interpreted crystallization age of 21.8 Ma and have upper intercepts at 755 and 1033 Ma. Zircons are shown as an "x" and the corresponding letter refers to the fraction in Table 3.3; monazites are shown as tiny ellipses. See Figure 3.16 for a detail of the monazite data.
Figure 3.15. Concordia plot for sample JN91-15 with York regression lines through the discordant zircon data. The lower intercepts of the lines pass through the interpreted crystallization age of 22.5 Ma and have upper intercepts of 407 and 797 Ma. Zircons are represented by a small ellipse, and thorites are shown as an "x"; corresponding letters refer to the fraction in Table 3.3. See Figure 3.16 for a detail of the thorite data.
Figure 3.16. Concordia plot and interpreted crystallization ages for samples JN91-7b and JN91-15. Monazite and thorite are shown as shaded and open ellipses, respectively; corresponding letters refer to fractions in Table 3.3.
Table 3.1. Summary of rock types and mineralogy in the Kali Gandaki region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tectonic Unit</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Lithologies</th>
<th>Mineralogy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>Annapurna</td>
<td>Calcareous psammite to semi-pelitic schist and</td>
<td>Qtz+Cal+Bt+Ms+Chl+Ep ± Ap ± Tur ± Ab ± Mrg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formation III</td>
<td>phyllite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migmatitic quartzofeldspathic gneiss</td>
<td>Qtz+Pl+Bt+Ms ± Tur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pelitic layers</td>
<td>Qtz+Pl+Bt+Ms+Grt+Ky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leucogranite</td>
<td>Qtz+Pl+Kfs+Ms+Bt ± Grt ± Tur ± Zm ± Th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHMS</td>
<td>Formation II</td>
<td>Calc-silicate gneiss</td>
<td>Qtz+Pl+Ms+Bt+Ap ± Hbl ± Di ± Grt ± Tur ± Spn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCT Shear Zone</td>
<td>Formation I</td>
<td>Pelitic and quartzofeldspathic gneiss</td>
<td>Qtz+Pl+Bt+Ms+Grt+Ky ± St ± Chl ± Ap ± Ep ± Zo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>± Rt ± Tur ± Mnz ± Zm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHSS</td>
<td>Midland</td>
<td>Pelitic schist and phyllyte</td>
<td>Bt+Ms+Qtz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>formations</td>
<td>Micaceous metadolomite</td>
<td>Dol+cal+Ms+Bt ± Ep ± Tur ± Grt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clean quartzite</td>
<td>Qtz+Ms+Cal+Bt ± Tur ± Ep ± Pl ± Zo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quartz-rich micaceous carbonates</td>
<td>Cal+Dol+Qtz+Ms+Bt ± Grt ± Pl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
MCT (Main Central Thrust); ADF (Annapurna detachment fault); LHSS (Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence); GHMS (Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence); TSS (Tibetan sedimentary sequence). See Appendix A for mineral abbreviations, Appendix B for a complete list of samples and mineralogy and Appendix C for sample descriptions.
Table 3.2. Characteristic deformation and metamorphism within the Lesser and Greater Himalaya

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LHSS Structure</th>
<th>Metamorphism</th>
<th>GHMS Structure</th>
<th>Metamorphism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>- isoclines parallel to S1 and S2 foliations</td>
<td>- Ms foliation</td>
<td>- isoclines in compositional layering parallel to S1 and S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- (M1)</td>
<td>and S1 as inclusion trails in Grt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>- prominent foliation, S2 stretching lineation and S2, ductile folds and shearing fabrics</td>
<td>- Kyanite (M2)</td>
<td>- prominent foliation, S2 stretching lineation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- north-verging folds and imbrications in S2</td>
<td></td>
<td>- southwest-verging mylonite foliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>- brittle fracturing and imbrication</td>
<td>- Na-Ca-Aluminous foliation and folds associated with the ADF</td>
<td>- ductile shearing fabrics and folds associated with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>- brittle fracturing and imbrication</td>
<td></td>
<td>the ADF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>- brittle fracturing and imbrication</td>
<td>- Ductile mylonite shears</td>
<td>- Chi-grade deformation and retrogressive mineral growth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LHSS: Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence; GHMS, Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence; MCT, Main Central Thrust; ADF, Annapurna detachment fault.

D(1-n), deformation events; S(1-n), surfaces; M(1-n), metamorphic events.

Shaded portions indicate which events have been correlated between the LHSS and the GHMS and/or interpreted as a phase of MCT movement. See text for details.
### Table 3.3 U-Pb isotopic data

| Fraction | Wt. (µg) | U (ppm) | Pb (ppm) | $^{206}$Pb | $^{207}$Pb | $^{208}$Pb | $^{209}$Pb | $^{235}$U (Ma) | $^{238}$U (%) | $^{235}$U Coeff. | $^{238}$U (Ma) | $^{207}$Pb (% | $^{208}$Pb | $^{209}$Pb |
|----------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| IN91-7b: |          |         |          |           |           |           |           |              |              |                |              |             |           |         |         |
| B- 3Zr, 350 | 48       | 1616    | 19       | 3426      | 16        | 12.2      | 0.011264±0.011 | 72.2±0.2   | 0.11558±0.013 | 111.1±0.3   | 0.66         | 0.07442±0.007 | 1052.8±2.6 |
| A- 3Zr, 300 | 40       | 1460    | 24       | 2743      | 22        | 5.6       | 0.016913±0.012 | 108.1±0.2  | 0.14279±0.016 | 135.5±0.4   | 0.75         | 0.06123±0.011 | 647.3±4.5  |
| J- 4Mon, 275, na | 140    | 5689    | 79       | 644       | 293       | 75.9      | 0.003719±0.0022 | 23.9±0.1   | 0.02239±0.032 | 22.5±0.1   | 0.79         | 0.04365±0.020 | -130.2±9.9 |
| K- 9Mon, 150, na | 36    | 9918    | 87       | 819       | 101       | 63.3      | 0.003559±0.013 | 22.9±0.1   | 0.02041±0.027 | 20.5±0.1   | 0.67         | 0.04159±0.021 | -251.2±10.4 |
| N- 1Mon, 300 | 22       | 5379    | 69       | 533       | 33        | 74.4      | 0.003661±0.012 | 23.2±0.1   | 0.02164±0.036 | 21.7±0.2   | 0.63         | 0.04347±0.029 | -140.6±14.6 |
| O- 1Mon, 300 | 34       | 6100    | 78       | 501       | 103       | 73.7      | 0.003700±0.015 | 23.8±0.1   | 0.02160±0.038 | 21.7±0.2   | 0.67         | 0.04233±0.030 | -206.7±14.9 |
| P- 1Mon, 300 | 45       | 6740    | 79       | 695       | 104       | 72.0      | 0.003647±0.014 | 23.5±0.1   | 0.02127±0.029 | 21.4±0.1   | 0.68         | 0.04229±0.022 | -209.0±10.9 |
| Q- 1Mon, 300 | 30       | 5662    | 77       | 447       | 94        | 75.8      | 0.003663±0.014 | 23.6±0.1   | 0.02190±0.041 | 22.0±0.2   | 0.67         | 0.04336±0.033 | -146.7±16.3 |
| R- 3Mon, 120 | 26       | 5776    | 78       | 546       | 68        | 75.4      | 0.003667±0.012 | 23.6±0.1   | 0.02167±0.035 | 21.8±0.2   | 0.64         | 0.04287±0.029 | -175.1±14.2 |
| C1- 2Zr, 250 | 15       | 1752    | 25       | 2428      | 10        | 10.4     | 0.013990±0.010 | 89.5±0.2   | 0.13135±0.15 | 125.3±0.4  | 0.73         | 0.06009±0.010 | 871±42       |
| C2- 2Zr, 250 | 14       | 1558    | 18       | 1412      | 12        | 7.1      | 0.011651±0.011 | 74.7±0.2   | 0.10553±0.020 | 101.9±0.4  | 0.67         | 0.06570±0.015 | 796.7±6.1   |
Table 3.3 U-Pb isotopic data (Concluded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Wt. (µg)</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Pb (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb</th>
<th>Pb (pg)</th>
<th>$^{208}$Pb (%)</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb (%)</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb/ $^{235}$U (%)</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb/ $^{235}$U (%)</th>
<th>Corr. Coeff.</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb (%)</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb (%)</th>
<th>$^{208}$Pb (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IN91-15: folded leucogranite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-17Zr, 120, na</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.01201±0.14</td>
<td>77.0±0.2</td>
<td>0.0874±0.34</td>
<td>85.1±0.6</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.0527±0.27</td>
<td>319.8±12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-22Zr, 100, na</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4386</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.013219±0.16</td>
<td>84.7±0.3</td>
<td>0.1112±0.19</td>
<td>107.1±0.4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.0610±0.12</td>
<td>640.6±5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-3Th, 110, na</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>300714</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>0.001433±0.22</td>
<td>9.2±0.0</td>
<td>0.0090±0.30</td>
<td>9.1±0.0</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.0459±0.18</td>
<td>-2.7±8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-3Th, 100, na</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>564562</td>
<td>2085</td>
<td>3689</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>0.003177±0.39</td>
<td>20.4±0.1</td>
<td>0.0202±0.39</td>
<td>20.3±0.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.0461±0.08</td>
<td>7.0±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-1Th, 150, na</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>260015</td>
<td>988</td>
<td>13893</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0.003281±0.20</td>
<td>21.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.0206±0.20</td>
<td>20.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.0460±0.03</td>
<td>0.8±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-1Th, 100, na</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>275422</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>14685</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.003504±0.19</td>
<td>22.5±0.1</td>
<td>0.0223±0.20</td>
<td>22.5±0.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.0463±0.04</td>
<td>15.4±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-1Th, 100, na</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>358802</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>12111</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.003517±0.27</td>
<td>22.6±0.1</td>
<td>0.0224±0.28</td>
<td>22.5±0.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.0462±0.04</td>
<td>11.9±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J-2Th, 75, na</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>345802</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>9413</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0.003410±0.16</td>
<td>21.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.0217±0.17</td>
<td>21.8±0.1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.0462±0.04</td>
<td>9.7±2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
- First letter is fraction; # is number of grains, Mon = monazite, Th = thorite, Zr = zircon; # is crystal length in microns; na = not abraded (all other fractions are abraded.
- Sample weight error of ± 0.001 mg in concentration uncertainty.
- Radiogenic Pb.
- Corrected for fractionation and spike Pb.
- Total common Pb in analysis in picograms.
- Corrected for blank U and Pb, and common Pb; errors are 1 std. error of the mean in % for ratios and 2 std. errors of the mean in Ma.
- Correlation Coefficient of errors in $^{206}$Pb/$^{235}$U and $^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U.
- Corrected for blank and common Pb; errors are 2 std. errors of the mean in Ma.
Table 3.4. U-Pb leucogranite ages for the regions shown in Figure 3.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Minerals Analyzed</th>
<th>Crystallization Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baltoro</td>
<td>Zr, Mon, Th</td>
<td>21.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Parrish and Tirrul, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karakorum</td>
<td>Zr, Mon</td>
<td>25.5 ± 0.3/0.8</td>
<td>Scharer et al., 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.3/0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanga Parbat</td>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>&lt;10 and &gt;35</td>
<td>Zeitler and Chamberlain, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annapurna</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>21.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Th</td>
<td>22.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manaslu</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>25.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Deniel et al., 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>- 20</td>
<td>Copeland et al., 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langtang</td>
<td>Mon, Xen</td>
<td>b 20.4 to 20.7</td>
<td>Parrish et al., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everest</td>
<td>Zr, Mon</td>
<td>14.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Scharer et al., 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zr, Mon</td>
<td>c 20 ± 1</td>
<td>Copeland et al., 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makalu</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>d 21.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Scharer, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.

- a, multiply deformed; b, sheared and metamorphosed; c, foliated; d, local low-grade shearing; otherwise undeformed and not metamorphosed.

Zr - zircon
Mon - monazite
Th - thorite
Xen - xenotime
Plate 3.1

A) Oriented photomicrograph of recrystallized quartzite from the Lesser Himalaya near Dana (left is to the southwest; shear plane is parallel to the top and bottom of the photograph). "S"-fold in thicker quartz layer and the obliquity of the preferred orientation of quartz and mica with the shear plane indicate top-to-the southwest thrusting.

B) View to the east of folded dolomite layers along the Kali Gandaki, south of Dana (top is to the right). Southwest-verging F₄ folds and crenulations refold the prominent S₂ foliation.

C) View down the dip of the S₂ foliation in semi-pelitic migmatite of Formation 1. Thin leucosome layer is folded by F₁ folds that plunge down dip and is refolded by an F₂ sheath fold.

D) View to the north of compositionally layered, planar quartz-feldspathic and pelitic gneiss of Formation 1 along the Ghaleti Khola north of Narcheng. Pelitic layer (p); arenaceous layer (a); and transposed leucosome (l). Camera case near (a) and hammer in foreground for scale.
Plate 3.2

a) Coarse-grained migmatite layer in calc-silicate gneiss of Formation II (top is to the right). Core of the large porphyroblast (mineral clot) is diopside (d) which is rimmed by hornblende (h) and garnet (g); tourmaline (t) is abundant in the leucosome.

b) Leucogranitic material intruded into calc-silicate gneiss of Formation II.

c) Photomicrograph of calcareous schist from the Lesser Himalaya. Crenulatations in the lower right hand corner are remnants of the $S_1$ foliation, which is transposed into the prominent $S_2$ foliation.

d) Photomicrograph of the $S_4$ crenulation cleavage in dolomite south of Dana. Sample is from the outcrop in Plate 3.1b.
Plate 3.3

A) View to the southeast of interlayered quartzite and pelitic rocks of the Lesser Himalaya near Dana. C-S fabrics defined by the obliquity of the flattening foliation in pelite with the shear plane (taken to be compositional layering) indicate top-to-the-southwest thrusting.

B) An F₁ isocline defined by compositional layering in Formation I gneiss along the Ghaleti Khola (closure above the hammer head).

C) Photomicrograph of an M₁ garnet in migmatitic gneiss of Formation I along the Kali Gandaki. The opaque inclusion trail defines an S₁ foliation.

D) View to the southeast of migmatitic gneiss of Formation I along the Ghaleti Khola (up is to the right; water in the foreground). Outcrop displays planar layers (bottom right), a shallow plunging F₂ "Z"-fold indicating top-to-the-southwest thrusting and steeply plunging F₂ folds (a).
Plate 3.4

A) Medium-grained kyanite-garnet-bearing semi-pelitic gneiss from the high-strain portion of the MCT shear zone. The garnets (g) are related to $M_2$ and are $\sim 1$ mm in size.

B) Crenulated, kyanite(k)-garnet(g)-bearing semi-pelitic gneiss from within the MCT shear zone.

C) Coarse-grained kyanite-garnet-bearing migmatite from the top-most portion of Formation I. Large porphyroblasts are $M_1$ garnets.

D) Photomicrograph of an oriented gneiss from Formation I (left is to the southwest; shear plane is parallel with the top and bottom of the photograph). A syn-tectonic $M_2$ garnet with asymmetric, out-of-plane biotite tails indicates top-to-the-southwest thrusting.
Plate 3.5

A) View to the northwest, perpendicular to the stretching lineation of migmatitic gneiss in the high strain portion of the MCT shear zone. The leucosome originally dipped less steeply than the the shear plane (prominent \( S_2 \) foliation) and is now folded and compressed indicating top-to-the-southwest thrusting.

B) Photomicrograph of oriented \( D_2 \) chlorite-grade mylonite from Formation I near the contact with the Lesser Himalaya (right is to the southwest; shear plane is parallel with the top and bottom of the photograph). C-S fabric indicates top-to-the-southwest thrusting. At the bottom of the photograph, \( S_2 \) biotite is replaced by chlorite (c).

C) Photomicrograph of E-lamellae in previously recrystallized quartz from a gneiss in Formation I.

D) Photomicrograph of a ductilely deformed \( M_1 \) garnet from Formation I.
Plate 3.6

A) Photomicrograph of twinned M₂ kyanite from a quartz-feldspar sweat in the high-strain portion of the MCT shear zone. Fractures in kyanite are filled with white mica.

B) Photomicrograph of pristine syn- to post-tectonic M₂ garnets from the high-strain portion of the MCT shear zone.

C) Photomicrograph of blocky, randomly oriented, post-tectonic M₂ muscovite overprinting the S₂ foliation in Formation I gneiss.

D) Photomicrograph of M₃ chlorite replacing biotite along the rim of a kyanite. Pleochroic halos in biotite are probably the result of M₂ monazite radioactive decay.
Plate 3.7

A) Photomicrograph of M₃ chlorite replacing garnet. Apatite in the lower right corner.

B) View to the southeast of a folded leucogranite in Formation III calc-silicate gneiss along the Ghaleti Khola. Leucogranite (white) contains the S₂ foliation and outlines a shallow plunging F₂ fold. A sample from this intrusion (JN91-15) has been dated using the U-Pb system.

C) Close-up of 3.7b. The hook on the "Z"-fold under the hammer head and mushroom shape of the adjacent leucosome indicate that F₂ is interfering with an earlier phase of folding (F₁).

D) A coarse-grained, post-tectonic plagioclase-quartz pegmatite that cuts the S₂ foliation in Formation I. A sample from this dike (JN91-7b) has been dated using the U-Pb system.
Plate 3.8

A) Photomicrograph of unstrained plagioclase and quartz from sample JN91-7b.

B) Clear, euhedral, unfractured zircons recovered from sample JN91-7b.

C) Euhedral, whole crystals of monazite and fragments of larger monazite recovered from sample JN91-7b.

D) Euhedral, clear thorite crystals recovered from sample JN91-15.
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# Appendix A

## Mineral Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>albite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ap</td>
<td>apatite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>biotite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal</td>
<td>calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl</td>
<td>chlorite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di</td>
<td>diopside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dol</td>
<td>dolomite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ep</td>
<td>epidote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grt</td>
<td>garnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hbl</td>
<td>hornblende</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kfs</td>
<td>K-feldspar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ky</td>
<td>kyanite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrg</td>
<td>margarite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mnz</td>
<td>monazite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>muscovite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>plagioclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz</td>
<td>quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sil</td>
<td>sillimanite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spn</td>
<td>sphene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td>staurolite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>thorite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tur</td>
<td>tourmaline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>zircon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zo</td>
<td>zoisite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Abbreviations after Kretz, 1983; see Chapter 3 references)
### Appendix B. List of samples and mineralogy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thin section and hand sample #</th>
<th>Ab</th>
<th>Ap</th>
<th>Bt</th>
<th>Cal</th>
<th>Chl</th>
<th>Di</th>
<th>Doi</th>
<th>Ep</th>
<th>Grt</th>
<th>Hbl</th>
<th>Kfs</th>
<th>Ky</th>
<th>Mrg</th>
<th>Mnz</th>
<th>Ms</th>
<th>Pl</th>
<th>Qtz</th>
<th>Sil</th>
<th>Spn</th>
<th>St</th>
<th>Tur</th>
<th>Zm</th>
<th>Zo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-2b</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-18</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-9a</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-9c</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formations I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-4a</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-4b</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-6a,b</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-7a</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-7b</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-8</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-16</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(cont'd)
### Appendix B. List of samples and mineralogy (cont'd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thin section and hand sample #</th>
<th>Ab</th>
<th>Ap</th>
<th>Bt</th>
<th>Cal</th>
<th>Chi</th>
<th>Di</th>
<th>Doi</th>
<th>Ep</th>
<th>Grt</th>
<th>Hbl</th>
<th>Kfs</th>
<th>Ky</th>
<th>Mgr</th>
<th>Mnz</th>
<th>Ms</th>
<th>Pl</th>
<th>Qtz</th>
<th>Sil</th>
<th>Spn</th>
<th>St</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>Tur</th>
<th>Zm</th>
<th>Zo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JN91-19a</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-19b</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-21</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-40a</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-41a</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB92-4a</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-11a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-12a</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-14a</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-15a</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-26</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formation III</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-31b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-31c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-31d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN91-31e</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB91-15</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin section and hand sample #</td>
<td>JN91-30a</td>
<td>JN91-30b</td>
<td>JN91-31a</td>
<td>JN91-31b</td>
<td>JN91-31c</td>
<td>JN91-32a</td>
<td>JN91-32b</td>
<td>JN91-33a</td>
<td>JN91-34a</td>
<td>JN91-35a</td>
<td>JN91-36a</td>
<td>JN91-37a</td>
<td>JN91-37b</td>
<td>JN91-39a</td>
<td>JN91-39b</td>
<td>RB92-12</td>
<td>RB92-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ap</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dcl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gnt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hbi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hbl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kfs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mnz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qtz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sii</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sii</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Thin section and hand sample numbers correspond to station locations (see Map 2)
- See appendix A for mineral abbreviations and appendix C for sample descriptions
- x: present in hand specimen
- ? : non positive petrographic identification
- a: oriented sample
- Press.: in thin section
APPENDIX C.

SAMPLE DESCRIPTIONS

Lesser Himalayan sedimentary sequence

JN91-2a
Hand sample - Fine-grained, white, Ms-bearing quartzite with a weak stretching lineation; weakly bedded with right-way-up cross-beds.

Thin section - Qtz is elongate but recrystallized.

Oriented: 265/25

JN91-2b
Hand sample - Medium grained, green, Bt-bearing gabbro.

Thin section - Ep is coarse grained and zoned; Pl has abundant inclusions of Bt and Hbl; has been strained and lacks a good igneous texture.

JN91-18
Hand sample - Cream coloured, dolomitic schist with a strong Bt crenulation cleavage.

Thin section - dominantly dolomite; Ms foliation and layering are crenulated by Bt foliation.

Oriented: 135/65

RB92-1
Hand Sample - Highly strained, Bt-Ms gneiss with mm- to cm-scale Kfs augen (Ulleri augen gneiss).

Thin section - Possible Grt; Kfs contain abundant Pl and Ms inclusions; Qtz rich layers are recrystallized.

RB92-3
Hand sample - Calcareous schist with clots of Bt (green) and Ms.

Thin section - Shear bands and C-S fabrics outlined by micas; Qtz is recrystallized; Cai has a preferred orientation.

RB92-5
Hand sample - Clean, fine grained, white quartzite with a good stretching
lineation.

Thin section - Minor Ms outlining foliation; most Qtz is recrystallized; minor Cal with a preferred orientation.

Oriented: 325/40

RB92-6
Hand sample - Fine-grained quartzite with micaceous layers.

Thin section - Good C-S fabric defined by layering and preferred orientations of Qtz and Bt.

Oriented: 330/50

RB92-9a
Hand sample - Silver-blue, Qtz-mica schist with C-S fabrics and down-dip hinge lines.

Thin section - Recrystallized Qtz.

Oriented: 060/65

RB92-9b
Hand sample - Rusty pelitic schist with a strong crenulation.

Thin section - crenulated Bt-Ms foliation.

RB92-9c
Hand sample - Silver-blue quartzite.

Thin section - Cal and Qtz have a preferred orientation.

Oriented: 295/20

RB92-34
Hand sample - White micaceous carbonate.

Thin section - Dol and Cal.
Greater Himalayan metamorphic sequence

Formation I

JN91-4a

Hand sample - Medium grained, black, siliceous schist with a micaceous foliation.

Thin section - Abundant opaque mineral in Ms foliation; recrystallized but some undulatory extinction.

JN91-4b

Hand sample - Highly sheared, medium grained, Ky-Grt-bearing semi-pelitic schist with a strong Ky-stretching lineation.

Thin section - M$_2$ Grt's with in-plane Bt tails indicating top-to-the-southwest shear sense; minor Chl after Bt and Grt; Mnz halos in Bt.

Oriented: 290/55

JN91-5

Hand sample - Medium grained, flaggy, quartzo-feldspathic gneiss.

Thin section - Abundant sericitic Pl; minor Chl after Bt; Qtz is elongate with undulose extinction.

Oriented: 308/45

JN91-6

Hand sample - Coarse-grained quartzo-feldspathic sweat with cm-scale Ky.

Thin section - Twinned Ky with undulose extinction and pull-aparts filled with sericite and minor Chl.

Oriented: 307/77

JN91-7a

Hand sample - Highly sheared, medium grained, Grt-bearing semi-pelitic schist.

Thin section - M$_2$ Grt's are 1 mm in size with Bt tails indicating a top-to-the-southwest sense of shear; minor Chl after Grt.

Oriented: 303/49
JN91-7b
Hand sample - Coarse grained, Pl-Qtz pegmatite (geochronology sample).

Thin section - Mostly large Pl; no Kfs in section; minor Bt, Ms and Qtz; Pl are unstrained but some Qtz has undulatory extinction; abundant Zrn and Mnz were recovered for dating although they are not present in view of the thin section.

JN91-8
Hand sample - Crenulated, medium grained, Ky-Grt-bearing semi-pelitic gneiss.

Thin section - folded opaque inclusion trails in M1 Grt; Ky are folded and broken; blocky Ms overprints Bt.

JN91-16
Hand sample - Ky-Grt-bearing semi-pelitic schist-gneiss.

Thin section - Minor Chl after Bt; sericite after Pl; C-S fabrics and shear bands; highly recrystallized.

JN91-19a
Hand sample - Small blades of pale blue Ky in Bt-Ms schist.

JN91-19b
Hand sample - Crenulated, medium grained, Bt-Grt semi-pelitic schist.

Thin section - No new cleavage associated with the crenulation; M2 Grt’s are 1 mm in size; tiny Ky?; Chl after Grt.

JN91-21
Hand sample - Coarse grained, Ky rich, semi-pelitic migmatite.

Thin section - Minor Chl and Ms after Bt and Ky; highly recrystallized.

JN91-23
Hand sample - Coarse grained, Ky-Grt-bearing migmatitic gneiss.

Thin section - Ky and Bt wrap M1 Grt’s; Grt’s are up to 10 mm in size and have inclusion trails (inclusions are coarser grained in the matrix) and are ductilely deformed; sericite after Ky and Pl.

JN91-40
Hand sample - Coarse grained, highly sheared, Grt-Sil-bearing quartzofeldspathic gneiss from the Marsyandi River valley.
Thin section - Abundant fibrolite; some prismatic Sil; no Ms; abundant Kfs.

Oriented: 315/30

**JN91-41**
Hand sample - Coarse grained, Grt-Ky-Sil-bearing pelitic migmatite from the Marsyandi.

Thin section - Ms present; fibrolitic Sil.

Oriented: 248/35

**RB92-4**
Hand sample - Coarse grained, Chl altered, Grt-Bt-bearing quartzo-feldspathic mylonite with C-S fabrics.

Thin section - Ms random over Bt; Chl after Bt; C-S fabric outlined by Chl and Bt indicates top-to-the-southwest shear sense; Bt is folded into isoclins.

Oriented: 048/49

**Formation II**

**JN91-11a**
Hand sample - Weakly layered, coarse grained, Di-Hbl-Bt-bearing calc-silicate gneiss.

Thin section - Granoblastic texture; abundant sphene; Qtz is large and irregular with undulose extinction.

**JN91-12**
Hand sample - Medium grained, mm- to cm-scale layered, planar calc-silicate gneiss.

Thin section - Recrystallized; Qtz has undulose extinction; anomalous blue Zo present.

**JN91-14**
Hand sample - Coarse grained, weakly layered, Bt-bearing quartzo-feldspathic gneiss (possible igneous origin).

Thin section - Isoclinal migmatitic layers; granoblastic; minor Cal present.
Oriented: 269/21

JN91-15
Hand sample - Coarse grained, foliated, Tur-bearing, 2-mica leucogranite (geochronology sample).

Thin section - granoblastic texture with minor Chl after Bt; sericite after Pl; no Mnz but abundant Ap.

JN91-26
Hand sample - Medium grained, dark, well layered, planar, semi-pelite to calc-silicate gneiss.

Thin section - Anomalous blue Zo; recrystallized with even extinction.

Formation III

JN91-28b
Hand sample - Foliated 2-mica leucogranite.

Thin section - granoblastic; abundant Ap and Zrn with cores.

JN91-29
Hand sample - Coarse grained, Grt-Tur-bearing quartzo-feldspathic gneiss.

Thin section - Zrn and Mnz present; perthitic Kfs; Bt-Ms foliation and Qtz-feldspar segregations.

JN91-31b
Hand sample - Highly sheared, planar, 2-mica leucogranite.

Thin section - Bt-Ms foliation; broken angular Kfs; many Qtz inclusions in Kfs.

Oriented: 012/29

JN91-31c
Hand sample - Highly sheared, planar, Tur-bearing, 2-mica leucogranite.

Thin section - Bt-Ms foliation; Ms is coarse and blocky.

Oriented: 345/35
JN91-31d
Hand sample - Highly sheared, planar, Grt-Tur-bearing, 2-mica leucogranite with attenuated felsic layers.

Thin section - More sheared than other samples; Bt-Ms foliation wraps Grt; Mnz and Zrn present.

Oriented: 324/39

JN91-31e
Hand sample - Blue Ky in Qtz-feldspar segregation.

RB92-25
Hand sample - Highly sheared, planar, Grt-Tur-bearing migmatitic quartzofeldspathic gneiss; Tur is lineated.

Thin section - pristine M₂ Grt in felsic segregations; Ms is coarse and blocky; recrystallized.

Tibetan sedimentary sequence

JN91-30
Hand sample - Highly sheared, fine grained, tan, mm-scale layered psammite with pods of Qtz.

Thin section - recrystallized with even extinction; zircon in Bt (detrital?).

Oriented: 320/35

JN91-31a
Hand sample - Planar Bt-Ms schist with very coarse Ms in a fracture.

JN91-31f
Hand sample - Very planar, flaggy, mm- to cm-scale layered Bt psammite.

Thin section - Ms is coarse, blocky and post-tectonic; monazite?.

Oriented: 318/30

JN91-31g
Hand sample - Coarse grained, Pl-Qtz-Tur pegmatite. A sample of this pegmatite was crushed but no Mnz or Zrn was recovered for U-Pb dating.
**JN91-32**
Hand sample - Fine grained, white, calcareous schist with random, blocky Bt porphyroblasts.

Thin section - Foliation is mostly Ms with a preferred orientation of Cal; Bt is post-tectonic; Chl after Bt.

Oriented: 065/90

**JN91-34**
Hand sample - Thinly laminated, black phyllite with weathered out pyrite.

Thin section - $S_0$ visible; blocky Bt.

**JN91-35**
Hand sample - Tan phyllite with $S_0$ visible.

**JN91-35b**
Hand sample - Fine grained, light coloured, Qtz-carbonate schist with visible $S_0$ and Bt porphyroblasts.

Thin section - Bt are blocky and random, some completely psuedomorphed by Chl.

Oriented: 228/15

**JN91-37**
Hand sample - Fine grained, black, phyllitic pelite with obvious layering and a well developed foliation.

Thin section - Qtz-Ms-Tur layers; crenulation offsets layering; Chl porphyroblasts.

Oriented: 085/15

**JN91-39**
Hand sample - Dark, fine grained, phyllitic quartzite with visible layering.

**RB92-12**
Hand sample - Grey, micaceous limestone with mm-scale pelitic layers.

Thin section - Mostly Cal; Bt layers outline asymmetric and isoclinal folds with and axial plane cleavage; Ms is random and blocky (post-tectonic); $S_1$ and $S_2$ visible.
RB92-21

Hand sample - Siliceous phyllite with a good crenulation and fine grained, weathered porphyroblasts.

Thin section - Mostly Qtz, Cal and Ms; medium-grained porphyroblasts of Bt, Chl and Ab are random and clearly overprint the foliation; good greenschist facies; Mrg needles are present.