INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

Bell & Howell Information and Learning
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA

UMI[®]
800-521-0600

**FORAMINIFERA AND ARCELLACEANS
FROM NON-MARINE ENVIRONMENTS
IN NORTHERN LAKE WINNIPEGOSIS, MANITOBA**

By

Robert E.A. Boudreau, B.Sc., C.D.

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Studies and Research

in partial fulfilment of

the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Ottawa-Carleton Geoscience Centre and Department of Earth Sciences.

Carleton University

Ottawa, Ontario

August, 1999

©1999 Robert E.A. Boudreau

National Library
of Canada

Acquisitions and
Bibliographic Services

395 Wellington Street
Ottawa ON K1A 0N4
Canada

Bibliothèque nationale
du Canada

Acquisitions et
services bibliographiques

395, rue Wellington
Ottawa ON K1A 0N4
Canada

Your file Votre référence

Our file Notre référence

The author has granted a non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of this thesis in microform, paper or electronic formats.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.

L'auteur a accordé une licence non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de cette thèse sous la forme de microfiche/film, de reproduction sur papier ou sur format électronique.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège cette thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

0-612-48487-4

Canada

The undersigned hereby recommend to
the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research
acceptance of the thesis.

**FORAMINIFERA AND ARCELLACEANS
FROM NON-MARINE ENVIRONMENTS
IN NORTHERN LAKE WINNIPEGOSIS, MANITOBA**

submitted by

Robert E.A. Boudreau. B.Sc., C.D.

in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of Master of Science

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L. P. Taylor', written over a horizontal line.

Chair, Department of Earth Sciences

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Boudreau', written over a horizontal line.

Thesis Supervisor

Carleton University

August, 1999

GENERAL ABSTRACT

This thesis is divided into two chapters, examining the environmental significance of arcellacean and foraminifera in northern Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba, Canada. The northern most occurrence of a live marine foraminifer, *Criboelphidium gunteri* (Cole, 1931), which has survived since the Holocene Hypsithermal in a high stress, non-marine environment in Point River Bay is discussed in Chapter One. The environmental aspects of arcellacean assemblages is studied in Chapter Two, to more tightly constrain their environments through the use of opportunistic species. Specific systems are studied, such as in German Lake where *Lagenodifflugia vas* dominates the assemblage in a low saline (2 - 3‰), high pH (8.0 - 8.3) environment.

DEDICATION

To my daughter Lindsay, who encouraged me to pursue paleontology through her youthful enthusiasm and interest in earth science.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partially funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Operating Grant to R. Timothy Patterson, and funding provided by W. Brian McKillop at the Manitoba Museum of History in Winnipeg, Manitoba.

I would like to thank Tim Patterson for assisting me in my research, both in the lab and with the editing, and also Brian McKillop for his help and guidance in Northern Manitoba. I would also like to thank my field assistant, Andrew Dalby, and George Dix for the use of his microscope and photographic equipment.

Each paper (Chapter one and two) was compiled and written by R.E.A. Boudreau and submitted for comments to R.T. Patterson. The final drafts represented here, encompass style and content edits as suggested by the other contributing authors.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL ABSTRACT	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF PLATES	xi
INTRODUCTION	1
REFERENCES	3
CHAPTER ONE	6
Non-Marine Occurrence of the Foraminifera <i>Criboelphidium gunteri</i> in Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba	
ABSTRACT	6
INTRODUCTION	7
METHODS	9
RESULTS	10
Criboelphidium Assemblage	12
Centropyxid Assemblage	13
Moderate Diversity Assemblage	13
DISCUSSION	14

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		17
SYNONYMY		17
REFERENCES		27
FIGURES		37
TABLES		41
PLATES		44
CHAPTER TWO		46
The Environmental Significance of Arcellaceans and Foraminifera, at a Site Polluted by Natural Salt Springs, in Northern Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba		
ABSTRACT		46
INTRODUCTION		47
Physical Setting		48
Environment		51
Chemistry		53
METHODS		55
RESULTS		57
<i>Criboelphidium</i> Assemblage		59
Centropyxid Assemblage		60
<i>Lagenodifflugia</i> Assemblage		61
Moderate Diversity Assemblage		61
<i>Diffflugia Corona</i> Assemblage		62
<i>Diffflugia</i> Assemblage		62

DISCUSSION		63
Steeprock Bay		65
Point River Bay		65
Salt River Bay		66
German Lake		66
Sausage Lake		67
Salt Spring STB49		67
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		68
SYNONOMY		69
REFERENCES		81
FIGURES		95
TABLES		103
PLATES		109
CONCLUSIONS		112
GLOSSARY		113

LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 1-1. Location of Point River Bay
- Fig. 1-2. Point River Bay sample sites
- Fig. 1-3. Population and Diversity Curves
- Fig. 1-4. Combined Q-mode and R-mode hierarchical diagram
- Fig. 2-1. Location of Dawson Bay
- Fig. 2-2. Steeprock Bay and Steeprock Lagoon sample sites
- Fig. 2-3. German Lake and Sausage Lake sample sites
- Fig. 2-4. Salt River Bay sample sites
- Fig. 2-5. Point River Bay sample sites
- Fig. 2-6. Combined Q-mode and R-mode hierarchical diagram for assemblage
- Fig. 2-7. Combined Q-mode and R-mode hierarchical diagram for chemistry

LIST OF TABLES

- TABLE 1-1. Physical Data for Point River Bay
- TABLE 1-2. Chemical data for Point River Bay
- TABLE 1-3. Statistical Data for Point River Bay
- TABLE 2-1. Physical Data for Dawson Bay
- TABLE 2-2. Chemical data for Dawson Bay
- TABLE 2-3. Stations and Species in Dawson Bay
- TABLE 2-4. Statistical Data for Dawson Bay
- TABLE 2-5. Population abundances and Diversity in Dawson Bay
- TABLE 2-6. Assemblages in Dawson Bay
- TABLE 2-7. Ion concentrations in Dawson Bay

LIST OF PLATES

- Plate 1-1. Arcellaceans and Foraminifera in Point River Bay
- Plate 1-2. *Cribroelphidium gunteri* stained with Rose Bengal
- Plate 2-1. Arcellaceans and Foraminifera in Dawson Bay
- Plate 2-2. Arcellaceans and Foraminifera in Dawson Bay
- Plate 2-3. Live Arcellaceans and Foraminifera in Dawson Bay

INTRODUCTION

This thesis is divided into two chapters, each of which was written as a separate journal article for publication. All data were included in the individual papers with each containing a separate introduction and conclusion. The first chapter deals with an occurrence of the live foraminifer, *Criboelphidium gunteri*, in a non-marine setting within Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba, while the second chapter deals with the environmental aspects of freshwater arcellaceans in a site influenced by salt springs in the same area. Each chapter details the specific aspects of the study as a separate entity.

Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba, is a small remnant of post-glacial Lake Agassiz. At its maximum extent 9000 years BP, this lake was 131 m above the present level (Nielsen and others, 1987). Dawson Bay in the northern part of the lake (Fig. 1) is characterized by extremely hard waters with high concentrations of Na⁺ (up to 1300 mg/L), K⁺ (up to 48 mg/L) and Cl⁻ (up to 2063 mg/L) and salinities up to 36‰ (Wadien, 1984; Nielsen and others, 1987).

The euryhaline marine foraminifera, *Criboelphidium gunteri* (Cole), colonized Dawson Bay during the Holocene Hypsithermal via avian transport (Patterson, 1990; Patterson and others, 1997). After the Hypsithermal, because conditions became too cold, it was assumed that *C. gunteri* died out (Patterson and others, 1997), but current research as

discussed in Chapter One, indicates that this foraminifera is alive and significant because it is living in an inland lake as opposed to its normal marine coastal environments.

Arcellaceans have been used as paleoenvironmental indicators in lacustrine settings (Scott and Medioli, 1983; Patterson and others, 1985; Medioli and Scott, 1988; McCarthy and others, 1995; Ellison, 1995; Patterson and Kumar, 1999 in publication); as bio-environmental indicators for paleoenvironmental reconstruction of late Quaternary-Holocene paleoenvironments (Medioli and Scott, 1983, 1988; Scott and Medioli, 1983; Medioli and others, 1985, 1987, 1990; Patterson and others, 1985; Honig and Scott, 1987; Collins and others, 1990; McCarthy and others, 1995; Asioli and others, 1996; Ellison, 1995); and as pollution level indicators (Collins and others, 1990; Reinhardt and others, 1998; Patterson and others, 1996). Chapter Two discusses The different environments found in northern Lake Winnipegosis, are discussed in Chapter Two with emphasis on key assemblages and indicators in the modern setting.

REFERENCES

- Asioli, A., Medioli, F.S. and Patterson, R.T., 1996. Thecamoebians as a tool for reconstruction of paleoenvironments in some Italian lakes in the foothills of the southern Alps (Orta, Varese and Candia). *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **26(3)**, pp. 248 - 263.
- Collins, E.S., McCarthy, F.M.G., Medioli, F.S., Scott, D.B. and Honig, C.A., 1990. Biogeographic distribution of modern thecamoebians in a transect along the eastern North American coast, in Hemleben, C., Kaminski, M.A., Kuhnt, W. and Scott, D.B., eds., *Paleoecology, Biostratigraphy, Paleoceanography and Taxonomy of Agglutinated Foraminifera*, NATO ASI series, C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences. Amsterdam. Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 783 - 792.
- Honig, C.A. and Scott, D.B., 1987. Post-glacial stratigraphy and sea level change in southwestern New Brunswick. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **24**, pp. 354 - 364.
- Ellison, R.L., 1995. Paleolimnological analysis of Ullswater using testate amoebae. *Paleolimnology*, **13**, pp. 51 - 63.
- McCarthy, F.M.G., Collins, E.S., McAndrews, J.H., Kerr, H.A., Scott, D.B. and Medioli, F.S., 1995. A comparison of postglacial arcellacean ("thecamoebian") and pollen succession in Atlantic Canada, illustrating the paleoclimatic reconstruction, *Journal of Paleontology*, **69**, pp. 980 - 993.
- Medioli, F.S. and Scott, D.B., 1983. Holocene Arcellacea (Thecamoebians) from eastern

Canada: *Cushman Foundation For Foraminiferal Research Special Publication*

21. 63p.

_____. 1988. Lacustrine thecamoebians (mainly arcellaceans) as potential tools for palaeolimnological interpretations. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*. **62**, pp. 361 - 386.

Medioli, F.S., Petrucci, F. and Scott, D.B., 1985. Sulla presenza di Tecamebe in campioni carotati sul fondo del Lago di Garda. in Ghetti, P.R. (Ed.), *L'ambiente Lago: Comunita' del Garda, Civiltà Gardesana. Studii ed Esperienze* **3**, pp. 83 - 104.

Medioli, F.S., Scott, D.B. and Abbott, B.H., 1987. A case study of protozoan interclonal variability, taxonomic implications. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*. **17**, pp. 28 - 47.

Medioli, F.S., Scott, D.B., Collins, E.S. and McCarthy, F.M.G., 1990. Fossil thecamoebians: present status and prospects for the future. in Hemleben, C., Kaminski, M.A., Kuhnt, W. and Scott, D.B., eds., *Paleoecology, Biostratigraphy, Paleoceanography and Taxonomy of Agglutinated Foraminifera*, NATO ASI series, C. Mathematical and Physical Sciences, **327**, pp. 813 - 839.

Nielsen, E., McNeil, D. H. and McKillop, W. B., 1987. Origin and Paleocology of post-Lake Agassiz raised beaches in Manitoba. *Canadian Journal Of Earth Science*. **24**, pp. 1478 - 1485.

Patterson, R.T., 1990. Intertidal benthic foraminiferal biofacies on the Fraser River Delta, British Columbia: Modern distribution and paleoecological importance, *Micropaleontology*, **36(3)**, pp. 229 - 244.

- Patterson, R.T. and Kumar, A., (in press), Use of Arcellacea (Thecamoebians) to Gauge Levels of Contamination and Remediation in Industrially Polluted Lakes. *(To be published as a chapter in a book "Environmental Micropaleontology")*.
- Patterson, R.T., MacKinnon, K.D., Scott, D.B. and Medioli, F.S., 1985. Arcellaceans ("Thecamoebians") in small lakes of New Brunswick and Nova Scotia: modern distribution and Holocene stratigraphic changes. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **15**, pp. 114 - 137.
- Patterson, R.T., Barker, T. and Burbidge, M., 1996, Arcellaceans (Thecamoebians) as proxies of Arsenic and Mercury contamination in Northeastern Ontario lakes. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **26(2)**, pp. 172 - 183.
- Patterson, R. T., McKillop, W. B., Kroker, S., Nielsen, E. and Reinhardt, E. G., 1997. Evidence for rapid avian-mediated foraminiferal colonization of Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba, during the Holocene Hypsithermal. *Journal Of Paleolimnology*, **18**, pp. 131 - 143.
- Reinhardt, E.G., Dalby, A.P., Kumar, A. and Patterson, R.T., 1998, Arcellaceans as Pollution Indicators in Mine Tailings Contaminated Near Cobalt Ontario, Canada, *Micropaleontology*, **44(2)**, pp. 131 - 148.
- Scott, D.B. and Medioli, F.S., 1983. Testate rhizopods in Lake Erie: modern distribution and stratigraphic implications. *Journal of Paleontology*, **57**, 809 - 820.
- Wadien, R., 1984, Geochemistry and hydrogeology of saline spring waters of the Winnipegosis area, southwestern Manitoba, *Bachelor Thesis, University Of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba*, 63 p.

CHAPTER ONE

NON -MARINE OCCURRENCE OF THE FORAMINIFERA *CRIBROELPHIDIUM GUNTERI* IN LAKE WINNIPEGOSIS, MANITOBA

ABSTRACT

*Analysis of sediment samples from the sediment-water interface of Point River Bay, northern Lake Winnipegosis, a very large lake in central Manitoba, indicates that *Criboelphidium gunteri*, a coastal marine foraminifera, is living and has adapted to this northern lake environment in salinities as low as 1 - 2 ‰. In Point River Bay, summer water temperatures reach 15.6 °C marginally above the minimum 14.5 °C required for reproduction by *C. gunteri*. It was known that this benthic foraminifera inhabited saline parts of the lake during the warm Holocene Hypsithermal (6000 - 3500 years BP), but previous analysis of stratigraphic data suggested that *C. gunteri* had died out in this area as conditions became cooler and more hostile. This hypothesis had been corroborated by the post Hypsithermal retreat of the marine range of *C. gunteri* from the Maritimes of Atlantic Canada to the south of Cape Cod, MA. The adaptation of the Holocene*

populations of this species that originally colonized Lake Winnipegosis, often to extremely low salinity values and progressively colder climate in this northern lake, is remarkable. The great abundance of C. gunteri found in sediments in Lake Winnipegosis, in some areas making up most of the sediment, also raises potential concerns about the interpretation of supposed marine sections based exclusively on the presence of foraminifera.

INTRODUCTION

Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba, is a small remnant of post-glacial Lake Agassiz. At its maximum extent 9000 years BP, this lake was 131 m above the present level (Nielsen and others, 1987). Dawson Bay, in the northern part of the lake (Fig. 1-1), is characterized by extremely hard waters with high concentrations of Na⁺ (up to 1300 mg/L), K⁺ (up to 48 mg/L) and Cl⁻ (up to 2063 mg/L) and salinities up to 36‰ (Wadien, 1984; Nielsen and others, 1987). The area surrounding the bay is characterized by highly saline pools and marshes (Patterson and others, 1997) with salinities ranging from 8.3‰ to 61‰ (McKillop and others, 1992). These saline environments are the result of discharge of saline waters from the groundwater system (Downey, 1984) and are hypothesized to originate from either dissolution of Middle Devonian prairie evaporites (van Everdingen, 1971) or from dense brine pools in the Williston Basin in Alberta (Downey, 1984).

The climate of Dawson Bay is continental, with hot summers and cold winters in a semi-arid setting. The wind direction is generally from the west with peak precipitation occurring during the summer months (Patterson and others, 1997). Previous research on Lake Agassiz raised beach deposits, adjacent to Dawson Bay, indicated that conditions in the region were warmer during the Holocene Hypsithermal (6000 to 3500 years BP). The euryhaline marine foraminifera, *Cibicides lobatulus* (Cole, 1931), colonized Dawson Bay by 5430 years BP, early in the Hypsithermal (Patterson, 1990; Patterson and others, 1997). Colonization of *C. lobatulus* was mediated by avian transport and occurred only after an influx of post-glacial brines raised the area salinity. As this foraminifera was not noted stratigraphically either before or after the Hypsithermal, Patterson and others (1997) concluded that conditions were too cold for *C. lobatulus* to live in the area except during this warm episode. This hypothesis was corroborated by the previously observed retreat of Atlantic coastal populations of *C. lobatulus* to the south of Cape Cod following termination of the Hypsithermal and subsequent development of cooler coastal waters in the Maritimes (Patterson and others, 1997; Scott and others, 1987).

Analysis of a set of sediment-water interface samples collected during the summer of 1997 in Point River Bay (Fig. 1-2) identified large populations of pristine *C. lobatulus*. Up to 22.9% of the specimens in these samples stained positively with rose Bengal biological stain (Goldstein and Harben, 1993), suggesting that the species had not become extinct in this area, as first supposed. Further detailed sampling was carried out during the summer of 1998 to confirm the 1997 field season results and to confirm the observed distribution

of *C. gunteri*.

The purpose of this paper is to document the live occurrence of *C. gunteri* and constraints on its distribution in this hostile non-marine environment.

METHODS

Twenty sediment-water interface samples were collected from stations in Dawson Bay (Fig. 1-2) during July 1997 and June 1998 using an Eckman Box Corer. The location of each station was determined using a Trimble Scout Global Positioning System unit and corroborated by triangulation. Water depth, pH, salinity and temperature were recorded at each station (Table. 1-1). To obtain a general idea of the lake conditions in the area, a complete geochemical analysis was carried out by Areco Canada in Nepean, Ontario on one sediment sample and one water sample, acidified with nitric acid, from Station 29. The presence of metals (Table 1-2) was determined by using Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP - AES). Chloride was analyzed using Ion Chromatography, and arsenic and selenium levels were measured using Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption (GFAAS).

The upper 2 - 3 mm of sediment from each Eckman grab were removed and treated with isopropyl alcohol and refrigerated to avoid decay. To detect specimens of foraminifera

and arcellaceans living at the time of collection. samples were stained in the laboratory using Rose Bengal biological stain (Goldstein and Harben, 1993); and only those specimens that had one chamber full of stained protoplasm were counted as alive. This was checked by crushing numerous specimens to ensure that the chamber contained a glob of protoplasm as opposed to being coated on the inside by lightly stained organic material such as bacteria. After standing for 24 hours, the samples were rinsed to remove excess stain and then sieved using a 35-mesh Tyler (500 μm) screen to retain coarse organic material and a 230-mesh Tyler (63 μm) screen to retain foraminifera and arcellaceans. One cc of sample was then subdivided into aliquots for quantitative analysis using a wet splitter (Scott and Hermelin, 1993). The wet aliquots were analyzed under an Olympus SZH10 zoom stereo microscope. Scanning electron micrographs of foraminifera and arcellaceans were obtained using a JEOL 6400 Scanning Electron Microscope at the Carleton University Research Facility for Electron Microscopy (CURFEM). These digital images were compiled into plates using Adobe[®] Photoshop 4.0 (Plate 1-1). A transmitted light photo-micrograph of a stained foraminifera was obtained using a SLR camera mounted on a Leica WILD Macro420 binocular microscope (Plate 1-2).

RESULTS

For a week prior to and during the four days of collection in June of 1998, it rained

almost continuously in the Dawson Bay area. The rain was also accompanied by high winds (20 - 30 knots). The high surf (3 - 4 m swells) resulted in considerable mixing of saline waters of the bay with fresh waters from areas of the lake. The resultant salinity values obtained in the bay were thus probably lower, and the oxygen content higher, than what normally prevails in this area.

Samples collected at all 20 stations examined yielded populations of foraminifera and arcellaceans in statistically significant numbers (Patterson and Fishbein, 1989). Twenty-four species of arcellaceans and one of foraminifera were identified in these samples, for which the relative fractional abundance (X_i) and the standard error (S_{X_i}) associated with each taxonomic unit were calculated using the following equation:

$$S_{X_i} = 1.96 \sqrt{\left[\frac{X_i [1 - X_i]}{N} \right]}$$

Based on the results, five statistically insignificant species were removed from the database and not included in subsequent multivariate analysis (Table 1-3) (Patterson and Fishbein, 1989). The population diversity of each sample was calculated using the Shannon Diversity Index:

$$S.I. = - \sum_{i=1}^S \left(\frac{X_i}{N_i} \right) \times \ln \left(\frac{X_i}{N_i} \right)$$

where $X_i \Rightarrow$ is the abundance of each taxon in a sample,

N_i $\Rightarrow$ is the total abundance of the sample, and
 S $\Rightarrow$ is equal to the species richness of the sample.

High Shannon Diversity index values (2.5 - 3.5) usually indicate conditions of environmental stability, while lower values (0.1 - 1.5) often indicate environmental stress (Sageman and Bina, 1997). In these samples low diversity values were obtained when the fractional abundance of *C. gunteri* was high. Diversity values increased to a maximum of 2.0 with decreasing abundance of *C. gunteri* and increasing populations of centropixid and diffligid arcellaceans (Fig. 1-3).

R-mode cluster analysis was used to determine species relationships (Scott and others, 1980). On the 19 species present in statistically significant populations, Q-mode cluster analysis was carried out using Ward's Minimum Variance method in order to determine the overall statistical similarity between samples. This resulted in a reduced data set recorded as Euclidean distances and arranged in a combined R-mode and Q-mode hierarchical diagram (Fig. 1-4) (see Fishbein and Patterson, 1993; and Westrop and Cuggy, 1999). Three assemblages were recognized based on the results:

- (1) The **Criboelphidium Assemblage** was found in Point River Bay at seven Stations. This assemblage is dominated almost entirely by *C. gunteri* ($X_i \geq 0.90$) (up to 9.3% live *C. gunteri*) and has a very low population diversity (Shannon Diversity Index 0.01 - 0.3). The sediment at these sites was a brown silt

containing a high proportion of shell fragments with evidence of pyritization.

Water depths at these stations varied from two to four metres, with the pH ranging from 4.9 to 8.3 and oxygen content from 8.4 to 11.4 mg/L. Salinity readings were low (0.0 - 1.0 ‰).

- (2) The **Diverse Assemblage** was found in Point River Bay at only Stations 18 and 19. It is dominated by centropyxid species ($X_i \geq 0.53$) with low fractional abundances of *C. gunteri* ($X_i < 0.15$) (no live *C. gunteri*) and a Shannon Diversity Index of 1.8 to 2.0. The sediment characterizing these sites was a fine sand at water depths varying from five to six metres. The pH ranged from 5.8 to 5.9, and oxygen content was high varying between 9.6 and 10.0 mg/L. No salinity readings were available for these stations (No salinity measurements were taken during the July 1997 field season).
- (3) The **Mixed Assemblage** occurred in Point River Bay at ten stations. This assemblage, though dominated by *C. gunteri* ($0.45 < X_i < 0.83$) (2.5 - 22.9% live *C. gunteri*), also contained significant populations of centropyxids ($0.19 < X_i < 0.67$) and difflugids ($0.02 < X_i < 0.22$). The Shannon Diversity Index varied between 0.6 to 1.7. The sediments at these sites were comprised of dark brown gyttja with evidence of pyritization in some shell fragments. Water depths varied from 1.2 to 4.5 metres, with pH ranging from 4.6 to 7.9 and oxygen content from 9.3 to 10.1 mg/L. Salinity readings were negligible (0 ‰).

DISCUSSION

During the Hypsithermal, *C. gunteri* ranged as far north as the Northumberland Strait in Maritime Canada (Scott and others. 1987), but the eurythermal foraminifer had retreated to the south of Cape Cod during subsequent climate cooling (Patterson and others. 1997). Patterson and others (1997) linked the disappearance of *C. gunteri* from post Hypsithermal Lake Winnipegosis sediments to this cooling. The discovery of living *C. gunteri* during this study indicates that the species did not disappear from the lake. It now seems that isostatic uplift and tilting of post-glacial Lake Agassiz caused submergence of the shorelines in the south and a transition of the beaches around Dawson Bay from aquatic to marsh and finally to a terrestrial setting (Nielsen and others. 1987). This would account for the extinction horizon of *C. gunteri* in the cores reported by Patterson and others (1997).

The ability of foraminifera to survive in a particular area is closely linked to its reproductive cycle as many species require specific ranges of temperature to survive. Schafer (1973) investigated a stenothermal site at Chaleur Bay in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, where a power plant outlet provided a source of heat, and found that the proportion of living elphidids decreased with distance from the outfall heat source. Calcareous species, such as *C. excavatum*, tended to dominate the local populations near the outfall, while less heat tolerant agglutinated species, such as *Ammotium cassis* (Parker; Loeblich and Tappan, 1953), tended to become more abundant with distance

(Schafer, 1973). Thus the mechanism employed by temperate shallow water foraminifera to combat thermal stress seems to be avoidance (Seigle, 1975; Schafer and others, 1995), with populations quickly relocating to more ideal habitats. (N.B. The discovery of large numbers of living and dead *C. gunteri* in the Point River Bay, Lake Winnipegosis, modern lake bottom caused us to re-examine the results of Patterson and others, 1997.)

The minimum temperature tolerance for *C. gunteri* (Cole, 1931) has been determined to be 14.5°C (Boltovskoy and Lena, 1969). Mean summertime bottom water temperatures in Point River Bay, Lake Winnipegosis, average 15.6°C, marginally above the reproductive limits for *C. gunteri*, thus permitting reproduction during favorable intervals in an otherwise harsh environment (Bradshaw, 1961). Such favorable intervals occur during the summer in Point River Bay, where temperatures warm just enough to promote reproduction and ensure survival of *C. gunteri*.

Salinity is another important control over the distribution of many foraminiferal species. While conditions in Point River Bay are much less saline than in most marine environments, most elphidid species are euryhaline and able to adapt to a wide array of brackish to hypersaline conditions. For example, elphidid species such as *Criboelphidium gerthi* (van Voorthuysen, 1957) are known to have adapted and survived in ephemeral lakes in Southern Australia, where seasonally extreme salinity variations prevail. These lakes are fed by winter rainfall and then dry out during the summer, resulting in salinities oscillating between 10‰ and 180‰ or more (Cann and De

Deckker, 1981). *Criboelphidium excavatum* lives in freshwater zones of the Quequén Grande River, Argentina, in salinities as low as 0.48‰. These brackish conditions are derived from the occasional high tide penetrating far upriver (Boltovskoy and Boltovskoy, 1968; Wright, 1968; Boltovskoy and Wright, 1976). Similarly, *C. gunteri* presently inhabits low salinity marsh environments of the Fraser River Delta, British Columbia (Jonasson and Patterson, 1992; Patterson, 1990), and shallow brackish waters (< 10 ‰) on the Mississippi Delta (Poag, 1979). *Criboelphidium* is extremely eurytopic as it has also been reported from lagoonal waters of coastal Brazil in salinities of up to 290‰ (Poag, 1978; Patterson and others, 1990, 1997).

Centropyxid arcellaceans, particularly *Centropyxis aculeata* (Ehrenberg 1832), are capable of withstanding low salinities (< 5‰; Decloître, 1953; Scott and Medioli, 1980; Patterson and others, 1985; Honig and Scott, 1987). These taxa occur abundantly with *C. gunteri* in Point River Bay, indicating that the normal salinity range at sites where *C. gunteri* are found are never above 5‰. As *C. gunteri* is also found in portions of Point River Bay with non-centropyxid arcellaceans that are intolerant of saline conditions, the presence of *C. gunteri* indicates that the species survives in portions of Point River Bay with barely detectable salinities.

Criboelphidium gunteri has demonstrated a remarkable ability to adapt and survive in the hostile environments that have existed in the Point River Bay area of Lake Winnipegosis since the Holocene Hypsithermal. These results indicate that the species

has not only survived but, based on the large numbers found, thrived in northern Lake Winnipegosis. This occurrence has pushed the known habitat of this species much farther north than the previously accepted limits of Cape Cod and the Fraser River Delta in North America, in addition to placing it in a lacustrine setting. This occurrence is also significant because it indicates, as has been reported previously (see Patterson 1987 for summary), that finding foraminifera in the fossil record does not necessarily indicate that true marine marsh conditions existed during deposition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partially funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Operating Grant to R. Timothy Patterson, and also by the Manitoba Museum, Manitoba Museum Foundation through W. Brian McKillop.

SYNONYMY

Order FORAMINIFERIDA Eichwald 1830

Superfamily ROTALIACEA Ehrenberg 1839

Family ELPHIDIIDAE Galloway 1933

Subfamily ELPHIDIINAE Galloway 1933

Genus *Criboelphidium* Cushman and Brönnimann 1948

Criboelphidium gunteri (Cole)

Plate 1-1. figures 1 - 8; Plate 1-2

Criboelphidium gunteri (Cole) PATTERSON 1990, pl. 2, figs. 1, 2

Elphidium gunteri (Cole) KNUDSEN in Feyling-Hanssen, Jørgensen, Knudsen and Anderson (eds.) 1971, p. 277, pl. 12, figs. 9, 10; pl. 21, figs. 4 - 7. (not *Elphidium gunteri* Cole 1931)

Numerous arcellacean species have been separated and defined through the years (Medioli and Scott, 1983, 1988). The systematic approaches utilized range from the opinion of Wallich (1864), who believed that all arcellaceans belonged to the same species, to that of some modern specialists who have described new species for almost every variety recognized (Deflandre, 1928). Both approaches may be partially right as several distinct morphological populations can be observed within many arcellacean species. These strains develop in response to different environmental stresses and can be considered ecomorphs. An abbreviated taxonomy as well as photomicrographs of the species discriminated (based on Medioli and Scott 1983) are provided along with diagnoses for infrasubspecific strains. Parentheses have been used to demarcate strains and to emphasize their infrasubspecific designation (Reinhardt and others, 1998).

Order ARCELLINIDA Kent 1880

Superfamily ARCELLACEA Ehrenberg 1830

Family **ARCELLIDAE** Ehrenberg 1830

Genus *Arcella* Ehrenberg 1830

Arcella vulgaris Ehrenberg 1830

Plate 1-1. figure 9

Arcella vulgaris EHRENBURG 1830. p. 40. pl. 1. fig. 6

Arcella vulgaris Ehrenberg 1830 REINHARDT and others 1998. pl. 1. fig. 3

Diagnosis: Test without spines, hyaline and transparent, aperture sub-terminal or occasionally central, circular or oval, invaginated.

Family **CENTROPYXIDIDAE** Deflandre 1953

Genus *Centropyxis* Stein 1859

Centropyxis aculeata (Ehrenberg 1832) strain “aculeata”

Plate 1-1. figure 10

Arcella aculeata EHRENBURG 1832, p.91

Centropyxis aculeata “aculeata” REINHARDT and others 1998. pl. 1. fig. 1

Diagnosis: Test depressed, circular with 1 - 8 spines in postero-lateral margin.

Centropyxis aculeata (Ehrenberg 1832) strain “discoides”

Plate 1-1. figure 11

Arcella discoides EHRENBURG 1843. p.139

Arcella discoides Ehrenberg, EHRENBURG 1872. p.259. pl. 3. fig. 1

Arcella discoides Ehrenberg, LEIDY 1879. p.173. pl. 28 . figs. 14 - 38

Centropyxis aculeata var. *discoides* PENARD 1890. p.151. pl. 5. figs. 38 - 41

Centropyxis discoides Penard [sic], OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980. p.54. pl. 16. figs. A - e

Centropyxis aculeata "discoides" REINHARDT and others 1998. pl. 1. fig. 2

Diagnosis: Test depressed, circular almost "doughnut shaped" without spines.

Centropyxis constricta (Ehrenberg 1843) strain "aerophila"

Plate 1-1. figure 12

Centropyxis aerophila DEFLANDRE 1929

Centropyxis aerophila Deflandre OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980. p. 48 - 49

Cucurbitella [sic.] *constricta* REINHARDT and others 1998. pl. 1. fig. 6

Centropyxis constricta "aerophila" KUMAR and DALBY 1998. pl. fig.

Diagnosis: Test varies from spherical, subspherical to elongated with thick aperural lip at an angle of 45° to 60° with respect to the test. Spines absent.

Centropyxis constricta (Ehrenberg 1843) strain "constricta"

Plate 1-1, figure 13

Arcella Constricta EHRENBURG 1843, p.410, pl. 4, fig. 35; pl. 5, fig. 1

Centropyxis constricta "constricta" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 1, fig. 4

Diagnosis: Test flattened with three or less spines on the fundus.

Centropyxis constricta (Ehrenberg 1843) strain "spinosa"

Plate 1-1, figure 14

Centropyxis spinosa CASH in CASH and HOPKINSON 1905, p. 62, pl. 20, figs. a - d

Centropyxis spinosa Cash. OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 62, pl. 20, figs. a - d

Centropyxis constricta "spinosa" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 1, fig. 5

Diagnosis: Test more flattened than strain "constricta" with three or more spines on the fundus

Family **DIFFLUGIDAE** Stein 1859

Genus *Diffugia* Leclerc in Lamarck 1816

Diffugia bidens Penard 1902

Plate 1-1, figure 16

Diffugia bidens PENARD 1902, p. 264, figs. 1 - 8

Diffugia bidens Penard MEDIOLI and SCOTT 1983, p. 21 - 22, pl. 1, figs. 1 - 5

Diagnosis: Test laterally compressed with two to three short spines. Aperture round and

simple.

Diffflugia corona Wallich 1864

Plate 1-1, figure 17

Diffflugia protaeiformis (sic) Ehrenberg subsp. *D. globularis* (Dujardin) var. *D. corona*

WALLICH 1864, p. 244, pl. 15, fig. 4a - c; pl. 16, figs. 19, 20

Diffflugia corona (Wallich 1864) ARCHER 1866, p. 186

Diffflugia corona Wallich REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 1

Diagnosis: Fundus with one to ten short spines, aperture circular, crenulated by six to 20 indentations forming a thin collar.

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832 strain "bryophila "

Plate 1-1, figure 18

Diffflugia pyriformis var. *bryophila* PENARD 1902, p. 221, text fig. 7

Diffflugia bryophila Penard [sic], OGDEN and ELLISON 1988, p. 234, pl. 1, figs. 1 - 3

Diffflugia oblonga "bryophila" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 9

Diagnosis: Test flask shaped, elongated, pyriform, neck long but sometimes obscure due to coarse agglutination, aperture narrow, circular and without lips. Test is made of conspicuously large sand grains.

Diffflugia oblonga Penard 1902 strain "glans "

Plate 1-1, figure 19

Diffflugia oblonga "glans " PENARD 1902

Diffflugia oblonga "glans " REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 7

Diagnosis: Test oval to ovoid, slightly elongated, fundus rounded, neck absent, aperture circular with smooth lip, test made of fine sand particles.

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832 strain "oblonga "

Plate 1-1, figure 20

Diffflugia oblonga EHRENBURG 1832, p. 90

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832, OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 148, pl. 63, figs. a - c

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832, HAMAN 1982, p. 367, pl. 3, figs. 19 - 25

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832, SCOTT and MEDIOLI 1983, p. 818, figs. 9a - b

Diffflugia oblonga "oblonga " REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 10

Diagnosis: Test, pyriform, elongated to oblong, fundus rounded, neck long, aperture circular without lip, test made of generally fine sand grains

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832 strain "spinosa "

Plate 1-1, figure 21

Diffugia oblonga var. *spinosa* REINHARDT 1998, p. 140, pl. 2, figs. 11a - b

Diagnosis: Test pyriform, elongated, fundus large and with a distinct spine, neck short and constricted, aperture narrow, circular without lip, test made of fine sand grains

Diffugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832 "tenuis "

Plate 1-1, figure 22

Diffugia pyriformis var. *tenuis* PENARD 1890, p. 138, pl. 3, figs. 47 - 49

Diffugia oblonga "tenuis" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 12

Diagnosis: Test elongated, ovoid almost bean shaped, fundus subrounded to subacute, neck indistinct or absent, aperture narrow and circular with crenulated lip, test made of generally medium to fine sand grains.

Diffugia protaeiformis Lamarck 1816 strain "acuminata "

Plate 1-1, figure 23

Diffugia protaeiformis LAMARCK 1816, p. 95 (with reference to material in a manuscript by Leclerc)

Diffugia acuminata EHRENBURG 1830, p. 95

Diffugia acuminata Ehrenberg 1830, OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 118, pl. 4, figs,

a - c

Diffugia acuminata Ehrenberg 1830, SCOTT and MEDIOLI 1983, p. 818, fig. 9d

Diffugia protaeiformis "acuminata" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 5

Diagnosis: Distinguished from *Diffugia protaeiformis* "claviformis" by having a thinner wall which appears transparent under a light microscope.

Diffugia protaeiformis Lamark 1816 strain "claviformis"

Plate 1-1, figure 24

Diffugia protaeiformis LAMARK 1816, p. 95 (with reference to material in a manuscript by Leclerc)

Diffugia pyriformis var. *claviformis* PENARD 1899, p. 25, pl. 2, figs. 12 - 14

Diffugia claviformis OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 126, pl. 52, figs. a - d

Diffugia protaeiformis strain "protaeiformis" ASIOLI and others, 1996, p. 250, pl. 2, fig. 1, a - b

Diffugia protaeiformis "claviformis" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 3

Diagnosis: This strain is similar to "acuminata" except that it has a coarser test made up of medium to coarse grained sand.

Diffugia urceolata Carter 1864 strain "elongata"

Plate 1-1, figure 25

Diffugia urceolata CARTER 1864, p. 27, pl. 1, fig. 7

Diffugia urceolata Carter 1864 REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 2a

Diagnosis: Test elongate; aperture a distinct hanging collar.

Diffugia urceolata Carter 1864 strain "urceolata "

Plate 1-1, figure 26

Diffugia urceolata CARTER 1864, p. 27, pl. 1, fig. 7

Diffugia urceolata Carter 1864 REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 2b

Diagnosis: Test spheroidal to ovoidal; aperture a distinct hanging collar.

Family **HYALOSPHEIIDAE** Schultze 1877

Genus *Cucurbitella* Penard 1902

Cucurbitella tricuspis (Carter 1856)

Plate 1-1, figure 15

Diffugia tricuspis CARTER 1856, p. 221, fig. 80

Cucurbitella tricuspis (Carter 1856) MEDIOLI, SCOTT, and ABBOTT, 1987, p. 42, pls.

1 - 4, text figs. 1 - 4

Cucurbitella tricuspis (Carter 1856) REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 1, fig. 7

Diagnosis: Test varies from spherical, subspherical to elongated. It is characterized by a thick apertural lip.

REFERENCES

- Archer. W.. 1866. *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science*, new series, **6**, 185 - 188.
- Asioli. A., Mediolli. F.S. and Patterson. R.T., 1996. Thecamoebians as a tool for reconstruction of paleoenvironments in some Italian lakes in the foothills of the southern Alps (Orta, Varese and Candia), *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **26(3)**, 248 - 263.
- Boltovskoy. E. and Boltovskoy. A., 1968, Foraminíferos y Tecamebas de la parte inferior del Río Quequén Grande, Prov. De Buenos Aires, Argentina: Mus. Arg. Cienc. Nat., Rev., Hidrobiol., **2(4)**, pp. 126 - 164.
- Boltovskoy, E. and Lena, H., 1969. Seasonal occurrences, standing crop and production in benthic Foraminifera of Puerto Desado. *Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research Contributions*, **20(3)**, pp. 87 - 95.
- Boltovskoy, E. and Wright, R., 1976, Recent Foraminifera. *Dr. W. Junk b.v. - Publishers - The Hague*, 515 p.
- Bradshaw, J.S., 1961, Laboratory experiments on the ecology of foraminifera. *Contributions to the Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research*, **12**, pp. 87 - 106.
- Cann, J. H. and De Deckker, P., 1981, Fossil Quaternary And Living Foraminifera From Athalassic (Non-Marine) Saline Lakes, Southern Australia, *Journal Of Paleontology*, **55(3)**, pp. 660 - 670.
- Carter, H.J., 1856, Notes on the freshwater Infusoria of the island of Bombay, *No. 1*.

Organization. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, series. 2, **18(105)**, 221 - 249.

_____. 1864. On freshwater Rhizopoda of England and India. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, series. 3, 13, 18 - 39.

Cash, J. and Hopkinson, J.. 1905. The British freshwater Rhizopoda and Heliozoa. I. Rhizopoda, part 1. *Ray Society, London*. 151 p.

Cole, W.S.. 1931. The Pliocene and Pleistocene foraminifera of Florida: *Bulletin Florida State Geological Survey*, **6**, 7 - 79.

Cushman, J.A. and Brönnimann, P., 1948. Some new genera and species of foraminifera from brackish water of Trinidad. *Contributions from the Cushman Laboratory for Foraminiferal Research*, **24**, pp. 15 - 21.

Decloitre, L., 1953. Recherches sur les Rhizopodes Thecamoebiens d'A.O.F., *Memoires de l'Institut Francais d'Afrique Noire*, **31**, pp. 1 - 249.

Deflandre, G., 1928. Le genre *Arcella* Ehrenberg. Morphologie - Biologie. Essai phylogénétique et systématique. *Archiv für Protistenkunde*. Protozoen-Algen-Pilze, **64**, pp. 152 - 287.

_____. 1929. Le genre *Centropyxis* Stein: *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, **67**, pp. 322 - 375.

_____. 1953. Ordres des Testaceolobosa (De Saedeleer, 1834), Testaceofilosa (De Saedeleer, 1834), Thalamia (Haeckel, 1862) ou thécamoebiens (Auct.) (Rhizopoda Testacea) in P.-P. Grassé (ed.), *Traité de Zoologie*, Masson, Paris, **1(2)**, 97 - 148.

- Downey, J.S., 1984. Geohydrology of Madison and associated aquifers in parts of Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, *US Geological Survey Professional Paper* 1273-G: 47 p.
- Ehrenberg, C.G., 1830. Organisation, systematik und geographisches Verhältnis der Infusionsthierchen, *Berlin: Druckerei der Königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 108 p.
- _____. 1832. Über die Entwicklung und Lebensdauer der Infusionsthierchen, nebst ferneren Beiträgen zu einer Vergleichung ihrer organischen Systeme, *Königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin Physikalische Abhandlungen*, 1831, 1 - 154.
- _____. 1839. Über die Bildung der Kreidefelsen und des Dreidemergels durch unsichtbare Organismen, *Physikalische Abhandlungen der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 1838, pp. 59 - 147.
- _____. 1843. Verbreitung und Einfluss des mikroskopischen Lebens in Süd- und Nord Amerika, *Königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin Physikalische Abhandlungen*, 1841, 291 - 446.
- _____. 1872. Nachtrag zur Übersicht der organischen Atmosphärien, *Königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin Physikalische Abhandlungen*, 1871, 233 - 275.
- Eichwald, C.E. von, 1830, *Zoologia specialis*, Vilnae: D.E. Eichwaldus, 2, pp. 1 - 323.
- Feyling-Hanssen, R.W., Jorgensen, J.A., Knudsen, K.L. and Andersen, A.-L.L., (eds), 1971. Late Quaternary Foraminifera from Vendysse, Denmark and Sandnes,

- Norway. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark*, **21**, Part 2 - 3.
Copenhagen.
- Fishbein, E. and Patterson, R.T., 1993. Error-weighted maximum likelihood (EWML): a new statistically based method to cluster quantitative micropaleontological data. *Journal Of Paleontology*, **67(3)**, pp. 475 - 485.
- Galloway, J.J., 1933, *A Manual of Foraminifera*, Bloomington: Principia Press.
- Goldstein, S.T. and Harben, E.B., 1993. Taphofacies Implications of Infaunal Foraminiferal Assemblages in a Georgia Salt Marsh, Sapelo Island. *Micropaleontology*, **39(1)**, pp. 53 - 62.
- Haman, D., 1982, Modern Thecamoebiniids (Arcellinida) from the Balize Delta, Louisiana. *Transactions, Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies*, **32**, 353 - 376.
- Honig, C.A. and Scott, D.B., 1987, Post-glacial stratigraphy and sea level change in southwestern New Brunswick. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **24**, pp. 354 - 364.
- Jonasson, K. E. and Patterson, R. T., 1992, Preservation potential of salt marsh foraminifera from the Fraser River Delta, British Columbia. *Micropaleontology*, **38(3)**, pp. 289 - 301.
- Kent, W.S., 1880. A Manual of the Infusoria: including a description of all known Flagellate, Ciliate, and Tentaculiferous Protozoa, British and Foreign, and an Account of the Organisation and Affinities of the Sponges, *London: Bogue*, **1**, 1 - 472.

- Kumar, A. and Dalby, A.P., 1998. Identification Key for Holocene Lacustrine Arcellacean (Thecamoebian) Taxa. *Palaeontologica Electronica*, **1**, Issue 1
- Lamarck, J.B., 1816, Histoire naturelle des animaux sans vertèbres. *Verdière. Paris*, **2**, 1 - 568.
- Loeblich, Jr., A.R. and Tappan, H., 1953, Studies of Arctic foraminifera. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections*, **121**, pp. 1 - 50.
- Leidy, J., 1879. Fresh water rhizopods of North America. *United States Geological Survey of the Territories*, Report 12, 1 - 324.
- McKillop, W.B., Patterson, R.T., Delorme, L.D. and Nogrady, T., 1992. The Origin, Physico-chemistry and Biotics of Sodium Chloride Dominated Saline Waters on the Western Shore of Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba. *The Canadian Field-Naturalist*, **106**, pp. 454 - 473.
- Medioli, F.S. and Scott, D.B., 1983. Holocene Arcellacea (Thecamoebians) from eastern Canada: *Cushman Foundation For Foraminiferal Research Special Publication* **21**, 63p.
- , 1988. Lacustrine thecamoebians (mainly arcellaceans) as potential tools for palaeolimnological interpretations. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **62**, pp. 361 - 386.
- Medioli, F.S., Scott, D.B. and Abbott, B.H., 1987, A case study of protozoan interclonal variability, taxonomic implications. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **17**, pp. 28 - 47.
- Medioli, F.S., Scott, D.B., Collins, E.S. and McCarthy, F.M.G., 1990, Fossil

- thecamoebians: present status and prospects for the future, in Hemleben, C., Kaminski, M.A., Kuhnt, W. and Scott, D.B., eds., *Paleoecology. Biostratigraphy. Paleoceanography and Taxonomy of Agglutinated Foraminifera*, NATO ASI series. C. Mathematical and Physical Sciences, **327**, pp. 813 - 839.
- Nielsen, E., McNeil, D. H. and McKillop, W. B., 1987, Origin and Paleoecology of post-Lake Agassiz raised beaches in Manitoba, *Canadian Journal Of Earth Science*, **24**, pp. 1478 - 1485.
- Ogden, C.G. and Ellison, R.L., 1988, The value of the organic cement matrix in the identification of the shells of fossil testate amoeba, *Journal of Micropalaeontology*, **7(2)**, 233 - 240.
- Ogden, C.G. and Hedley, R.L., 1980, An Atlas of Freshwater Testate Amoeba, *British Museum (Natural History)*, Oxford University Press, 222 p.
- Patterson, R. T., 1987, Arcellaceans and foraminifera from Pleistocene Lake Tecopa, California, *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **15**, pp. 333 - 343.
- _____, 1990, Intertidal benthic foraminiferal biofacies on the Fraser River Delta, British Columbia: Modern distribution and paleoecological importance, *Micropaleontology*, **36(3)**, pp. 229 - 244.
- Patterson, R.T. and Fishbein, E., 1989, Re-Examination of the Statistical Methods used to Determine the Number of Point Counts Needed for Micropaleontological Quantitative Research, *Journal of Paleontology*, **63(2)**, pp. 245 - 248.
- Patterson, R.T., MacKinnon, K.D., Scott, D.B. and Medioli, F.S., 1985, Arcellaceans ("Thecamoebians") in small lakes of New Brunswick and Nova Scotia: modern

- distribution and Holocene stratigraphic changes. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **15**, pp. 114 - 137.
- Patterson, R.T., Scott, D.B. and McKillop, W.B., 1990, Recent Marsh-Type Agglutinated Foraminifera From Lake Winnepegosis, Manitoba, In C. Hemleben, M.A. Kaminski, W. Kuhnt & D. B. Scott (eds), Proceedings of the third International Advanced Course on Paleoecology, Biostratigraphy, Paleoceanography, and Taxonomy of Agglutinated Foraminifera. *NATO Advanced Study Institutes Programme*, Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences **327**, 765 - 781.
- Patterson, R. T., McKillop, W. B., Kroker, S., Nielsen, E. and Reinhardt, E. G., 1997, Evidence for rapid avian-mediated foraminiferal colonization of Lake Winnepegosis, Manitoba, during the Holocene Hypsithermal. *Journal Of Paleolimnology*, **18**, pp. 131 - 143.
- Penard, E., 1890, Études sur les Rhizopodes d'eau douce. *Mémoires de la Société de Physique et d'Histoire Naturelle de Genève*, **31(2)**, 1 - 230.
- _____, 1899, Les Rhizopodes de faune profonde dans le lac du Léman. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie*, **7**, 1 - 142.
- _____, 1902, Faune rhizopodique du Bassin du Léman. *Henry Kundig, Genève*, 714 p.
- Poag, C.W., 1978, Paired foraminiferal ecophenotypes in Gulf Coast estuaries: ecological and paleoecological implications. *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies, Transactions*, **28**, pp. 181 - 196.
- Reinhardt, E.G., Dalby, A.P., Kumar, A. and Patterson, R.T., 1998, Arcellaceans as

- Pollution Indicators in Mine Tailings Contaminated Near Cobalt Ontario, Canada. *Micropaleontology*, **44(2)**, pp. 131 - 148.
- Sageman, B.B. and Bina, C.R., 1997, Diversity and Species Abundance Patterns in Late Cenomanian Black Shale Biofacies, Western Interior, U.S., *Palaios*, **12**, pp. 449 - 466.
- Schafer, C.T., 1973, Distribution of foraminifera near pollution sources in Chaleur Bay, *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, **2**, pp. 219 - 233.
- Schafer, C.T., Winters, G.V., Scott, D.B., Pocklington, P., Cole, F.E. and Honig, C., 1995, Survey of living foraminifera and polychaete populations at some Canadian aquaculture sites: potential for impact mapping and monitoring, *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **25**, pp. 236 - 259.
- Schultze, F.E., 1877, Rhizopodenstudien VI. *Archiv fuer Mikroskopische Anatomie*, **13**, 9 - 30.
- Scott, D.B. and Medioli, F.S., 1980, Quantitative studies of marsh foraminiferal distributions in Nova Scotia: their implications for the study of sea level changes, *Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research Special Publication*, **17**, 58 p.
- _____, 1983, Testate rhizopods in Lake Erie: modern distribution and stratigraphic implications, *Journal of Paleontology*, **57**, 809 - 820.
- Scott, D.B., Medioli, F.S. and Miller, A.A.L., 1987, Holocene sea levels, paleoceanography, and late glacial ice configuration near the Northumberland Strait, Maritime Provinces, *Canadian Journal of Earth Science*, **24**: 668 - 675.
- Scott, D.B. and Hermelin, J.O.R., 1993, A device for precision splitting of

- micropaleontological samples in liquid suspension. *Journal Of Paleontology*, **67**, pp. 151 - 154.
- Scott, D.B., Schafer, C.T. and Medioli, F.S., 1980. Eastern Canadian estuarine foraminifera: a framework for comparison. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **10**, pp. 205 - 234.
- Seigle, G.H., 1975. Foraminifers of Guayamilla Bay and their use as environmental indices. *Revista Espanola de Micropaleontologia*, **7**, pp. 453 - 487.
- Stein, S.F.N., 1859. Über die ihm aus eigener Untersuchung bekannt gewordenen Susswässer-Rhizopoden. *Abhandlungen der Koeniglichen Boehmischen Gesellschaften der Wissenschaften*, **5(10)**, 41 - 43.
- van Everdingen, R.O., 1971. Surface-water composition in southern Manitoba reflecting discharge of saline subsurface waters and subsurface solution of evaporites. *Geological Association Of Canada Special Paper* **9**, pp. 343 - 352.
- van Voorthuysen, J.H., 1957. Foraminiferen aus dem Eemien (Riss-Würm-Interglacial) in der bohrung Amersfoort I (locus typicus). *Mededelingen van de Geologische Stichting, Nieuwe Serie (Netherlands)*, **11**, pp. 27 - 39.
- Wallich, g.c., 1864. On the extent, and some of the principal causes, of structural variation among the diffflugian rhizopods. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, series 3, **13**, 215 - 245.
- Wadien, R., 1984. Geochemistry and hydrogeology of saline spring waters of the Winnipegosis area, southwestern Manitoba. *Bachelor Thesis, University Of Manitoba*, Winnipeg, Manitoba, 63 p.

Manitoba. Winnipeg, Manitoba, 63 p.

Westrop, S.R. and Cuggy, M.B., 1999, Comparative paleoecology of Cambrian trilobite extinctions. *Journal of Paleontology*, **73(2)**, pp. 337 - 354.

Wright, R.C., 1968, Miliolidae (Foraminíferos) recientes del estuario del Río Quequén Grande (Prov. De Bs. As.): *Rev. Mus. Argentino Cienc. Nat., Hidrobiol.*, **2(7)**, pp. 225 - 256.

Figure 1-1. Map showing location of Point River Bay in northern Lake Winnipegosis.
Manitoba.

Figure 1-2. Map showing locations of sample stations in Point River Bay.

Figure 1-3. Shannon Diversity Index vs. abundance of arcellaceans and the foraminifera *Criboelphidium gunteri* (Cole) in Point River Bay.

Figure 1–4. R-mode vs. Q-mode cluster diagram showing abundances of arcellaceans and the foraminifera *Cibicides gunteri* (Cole), and their assemblage relationships in Point River Bay (after Westrop and Cuggy, 1999).

- Diffugia oblonga* "oblonga"
- Diffugia protaeiformis* "acuminata"
- Diffugia protaeiformis* "claviformis"
- Centropyxis constricta* "spinosa"
- Diffugia oblonga* "glans"
- Diffugia urceolata* "urceolata"
- Diffugia oblonga* "bryophila"
- Diffugia bidens*
- Diffugia urceolata* "elongata"
- Diffugia oblonga* "spinosa"
- Diffugia oblonga* "femis"
- Centropyxis aculeata* "aculeata"
- Centropyxis aculeata* "discoides"
- Centropyxis constricta* "aerophila"
- Diffugia corona*
- Centropyxis constricta* "constricta"
- Cribrorhynchium gutteri*

Cribrorhynchium Assemblage

Mixed Assemblage

Table 1-1. Physical data at sample stations in Point River Bay indicating water depth, pH, oxygen content, salinity and temperature (readings indicated by a "--" were from the July 1997 field season, and the values were not available).

Station		Depth (metres)	pH	Oxygen (mg/L)	Salinity (‰)	Temperature (°C)
1997	8	3.0	-	-	-	-
	9	2.0	-	-	-	-
	11	3.0	8.6	9.6	-	13.3
	12	2.0	6.1	11.5	-	12.6
	13	3.0	4.6	9.6	-	13.6
	14	4.0	5.1	9.4	-	13.4
	15	4.0	4.9	8.4	-	13.6
	16	4.0	6.3	9.1	-	13.8
	17	4.5	5.9	10.1	-	13.9
	18	5.0	5.8	10.0	-	13.9
	19	6.0	5.9	9.6	-	14.1
	29	1.2	7.9	9.3	2	15.3
1998	30	2.8	8.3	9.4	1	15.0
	31	3.4	8.3	9.3	0	15.2
	32	4.0	7.0	9.3	0	15.2
	33	3.4	6.9	9.4	0	15.1
	34	4.3	7.0	9.3	0	15.3
	35	1.8	7.0	9.4	0	15.0
	36	2.1	7.0	5.6	0	15.6

Table 1-2. Chemical data from one acidified bottom water sample, and one sediment sample from the sediment-water interface (top 2 - 3 mm), at Station 29 in Point River Bay.

<i>Chemical Species</i>	<i>Bottom water (mg/L)</i>	<i>mean detection limit</i>	<i>Sediment (µg/g)</i>	<i>mean detection limit</i>
Aluminum	0.14	0.05	1240	1
Ammonia (N)	0.07	0.01		
Arsenic			1.4	1
Barium	0.04	0.01	60	1
Boron	0.06	0.01	1.45	0.02
Calcium	56.3	1.0		
Chloride	211	1.0	2962	4
Chromium			9	1
Copper			6	1
Iron	0.12	0.01	6770	2
Manganese	0.034	0.005	374	1
Magnesium	21.1	1.0		
Phosphorous			728	20
Potassium	9.5	1.0		
Sodium	143	1.0		
Strontium	0.24	0.01	740	1
Sulphate	48.7	1.0		
Zinc	0.04	0.02	2	2

Table 1-3. Taxonomic unit counts, Shannon Diversity, Abundances and Standard Error for sample stations in Point River Bay.

Station Taxonomic Counts Diversity	PRB8 516 1.453	PRB9 497 1.752	PRB11 316 0.640	PRB12 286 0.298	PRB13 438 1.461	PRB14 693 0.159	PRB15 636 0.006	PRB16 432 0.302	PRB17 107 0.945	PRB18 69 1.778
<i>Arcella vulgaris</i>	0.002	0.002	0.009	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014
standard error =	0.004	0.004	0.011	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "aculeata"	0.095	0.123	0.038	0.031	0.151	0.022	0.000	0.03	0.187	0.232
standard error =	0.025	0.029	0.021	0.02	0.034	0.011	0.000	0.016	0.074	0.1
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "discoidea"	0.033	0.068	0.022	0.007	0.046	0.009	0.005	0.016	0.196	0.203
standard error =	0.015	0.022	0.016	0.01	0.02	0.007	0.005	0.012	0.075	0.095
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "aerophila"	0.052	0.046	0.013	0.01	0.087	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.019	0.145
standard error =	0.019	0.018	0.012	0.012	0.026	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.026	0.083
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "constricta"	0.037	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.087
standard error =	0.016	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.066
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "spinosa"	0.004	0.002	0.013	0.003	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.005	0.004	0.012	0.007	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Cribroelphidium gunteri</i>	0.591	0.495	0.826	0.916	0.457	0.964	0.994	0.935	0.589	0.159
standard error =	0.042	0.044	0.042	0.032	0.047	0.014	0.006	0.023	0.093	0.086
<i>Curculbitella tricuspidis</i>	0.006	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.058
standard error =	0.007	0.004	0.006	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.055
<i>Diffugia nidens</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
<i>Diffugia corona</i>	0.017	0.04	0.057	0.031	0.205	0.003	0.002	0.016	0.000	0.058
standard error =	0.011	0.017	0.026	0.02	0.038	0.004	0.003	0.012	0.000	0.055
<i>Diffugia fragosa</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia globulus</i>	0.000	0.006	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.007	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "hrvophila"	0.079	0.085	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014
standard error =	0.023	0.024	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "glans"	0.01	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.008	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "lanceolata"	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "oblonga"	0.006	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014
standard error =	0.007	0.01	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "spinosa"	0.002	0.012	0.03	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.01	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "tenuis"	0.017	0.022	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.011	0.013	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "acuminata"	0.006	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.007	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "amphoratis"	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "claviformis"	0.01	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.008	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "elongata"	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "urceolata"	0.027	0.014	0.009	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.014	0.01	0.011	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Lagenodifflugia</i> "vulgaris"	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000

Station Taxonomic Counts Diversity	PRB19 132 2.018	PRB29 443 0.962	PRB30 606 0.162	PRB31 343 0.224	PRB32 65 1.070	PRB33 83 0.797	PRB34 161 0.128	PRB35 401 1.465	PRB36 435 0.848
<i>Arcella vulgaris</i>	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.025	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.024	0.005	0.000
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "aculeata"	0.136	0.077	0.012	0.029	0.108	0.120	0.006	0.120	0.044
standard error =	0.059	0.025	0.009	0.018	0.075	0.070	0.012	0.032	0.019
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "discoides"	0.053	0.032	0.008	0.009	0.138	0.048	0.006	0.035	0.000
standard error =	0.038	0.016	0.007	0.010	0.084	0.046	0.012	0.018	0.000
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "aerophila"	0.091	0.065	0.008	0.015	0.123	0.060	0.000	0.117	0.087
standard error =	0.049	0.023	0.007	0.013	0.080	0.051	0.000	0.031	0.027
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "constricta"	0.258	0.014	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.047	0.060
standard error =	0.075	0.011	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.022
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "spinosa"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000
<i>Embryophidium gunteri</i>	0.008	0.731	0.969	0.939	0.615	0.735	0.963	0.489	0.763
standard error =	0.015	0.041	0.014	0.025	0.118	0.095	0.029	0.049	0.040
<i>Eurcumbitella tricuspidis</i>	0.045	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.007	0.002
standard error =	0.036	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.000	0.008	0.005
<i>Diffugia bidens</i>	0.045	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia corona</i>	0.114	0.072	0.000	0.009	0.015	0.012	0.000	0.142	0.009
standard error =	0.054	0.024	0.000	0.010	0.030	0.023	0.000	0.034	0.009
<i>Diffugia fragosa</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
<i>Diffugia globulus</i>	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "breviphila"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.021
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.013
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "glans"	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "lanceolata"	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "oblonga"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.002
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.005
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "spinosa"	0.068	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005
standard error =	0.043	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "tenuis"	0.053	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.000
standard error =	0.038	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "acuminata"	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "amphoralis"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "claviformis"	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.025	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "elongata"	0.053	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007
standard error =	0.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "urceolata"	0.023	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.025	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Lagenodiffugia vas</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000

Plate 1-1. 1 - 8 *Cribroelphidium gunteri* (Cole, 1931). 1. Side view of an eight chambered specimen showing sutures: x114. 2. Apertural view: x85. 3. A large convoluted nine chambered specimen: x60. 4. Side view of a ten chambered specimen: x88. 5. Side view of a slightly corroded specimen: x95. 6. Dorsal view: x91. 7. Side view of a seven chambered specimen: x112. 8. Specimen with exploded chamber: x111.

9. *Arcella vulgaris* Ehrenberg, 1830: x131. **10.** *Centropyxis aculeata* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "aculeata": x186. **11.** *Centropyxis aculeata* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "discoides": x153. **12.** *Centropyxis constricta* (Ehrenberg, 1843) strain "aerophila": x156. **13.** *Centropyxis constricta* (Ehrenberg, 1843) strain "constricta": x162. **14.** *Centropyxis constricta* (Ehrenberg, 1843) strain "spinosa": x158. **15.** *Cucurbitella tricuspis* (Carter, 1856); x103. **16.** *Diffugia bidens* Penard, 1902; x166. **17.** *Diffugia corona* Wallich, 1864; x200. **18.** *Diffugia oblonga* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "bryophila": x97. **19.** *Diffugia oblonga* (Penard, 1902) strain "glans": x154. **20.** *Diffugia oblonga* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "oblonga": x114. **21.** *Diffugia oblonga* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "spinosa": x90. **22.** *Diffugia oblonga* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "tenuis": x93. **23.** *Diffugia protaeiformis* (Lamarck, 1816) strain "acuminata": x117. **24.** *Diffugia protaeiformis* (Lamarck, 1816) strain "claviformis": x146. **25.** *Diffugia urceolata* (Carter, 1864) strain "elongata": x92. **26.** *Diffugia urceolata* (Carter, 1864) strain "urceolata": x114.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plate 1-1

Plate 1-2. *Cribroelphidium gunteri* (Cole, 1931) specimen stained with Rose Bengal biological stain. Arrow indicates glob of stained protoplasm.

CHAPTER 2

**THE ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE
OF ARCELLACEANS AND FORAMINIFERA,
AT A SITE POLLUTED BY NATURAL SALT SPRINGS,
IN NORTHERN LAKE WINNIPEGOSIS, MANITOBA**

ABSTRACT

*Analysis of sediment-water interface sediment samples collected in June 1998 from northern Lake Winnipegosis, a very large lake in central Manitoba, indicate that arcellaceans and marine foraminifera are living in this northern lake environment in proximity to salt springs which inject waters with salinities up to 60‰ and whose sediments contain chemicals which in some cases exceed the Canadian Guidelines (toxicity tolerances for aquatic life; from Moore, 1991) such as for Arsenic (66.7 µg/g), chloride (6054 µg/g), copper (15 µg/g), phosphorus (1815 µg/g), manganese (129 µg/g) and strontium (403 µg/g). When mixed with the fresh waters of the lake, salinities of 1.0 to 7.0‰, and pH ranging from 4.9 to 8.3 have been noted with high amounts of chloride (2063 mg/L), iron (0.59 mg/L), manganese (0.09 mg/L), sodium (1300 mg/L) and sulfate (161 mg/L). In this naturally stressed environment, the euryhaline foraminifera *Criboelphidium gunteri* and the opportunistic arcellaceans *Centropyxis aculeata*, *Diffugia corona* and *Lagenodiffugia vas* are thriving in low diversity populations.*

INTRODUCTION

Arcellaceans have been used as paleoenvironmental indicators in lacustrine settings (Scott and Medioli, 1983; Patterson and others, 1985; Medioli and Scott, 1988; McCarthy and others, 1995; Ellison, 1995; Patterson and Kumar, 1999 in press), as bio-environmental indicators for paleoenvironmental reconstruction of late Quaternary-Holocene paleoenvironments (Medioli and Scott, 1983, 1988; Scott and Medioli, 1983; Medioli and others, 1985, 1987, 1990; Patterson and others, 1985; Honig and Scott, 1987; Collins and others, 1990; McCarthy and others, 1995; Asioli and others, 1996; Ellison, 1995), and as pollution level indicators (Collins and others, 1990; Reinhardt and others, 1998; Patterson and others, 1996).

Numerous papers have also been written discussing the salinity tolerance of arcellaceans and foraminifera. Some arcellaceans can live in brackish waters (Todd and Brönniman, 1957; Haman, 1982; Hayward and others, 1996), and foraminifera have been used as salinity indicators in combined estuarine and river systems (Dyer, 1973; Scott and others, 1980), and as environmental classification tools in estuaries (Nichols, 1974; Scott and others, 1980). For example, in the Upper Estuarine Zone in Miramichi Bay, New Brunswick, it was noted in the area where river and marine processes met that salinities were less than 20 ‰ (Scott and others, 1980). Here, populations of foraminifera, such as *Criboelphidium excavatum incertum* were found living in close proximity to arcellacean populations that included *Centropyxis aculeata* (Ehrenberg, 1832), *Centropyxis*

constricta (Ehrenberg, 1843). *Diffflugia oblonga* (Penard, 1902) and *Diffflugia urceolata* (Carter, 1864); (Scott and others, 1980). Previous research in Dawson Bay, Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba indicated that four foraminifera and nine arcellacean taxa were found living in close proximity to inland salt springs in marshy areas (Patterson and others, 1990).

Physical Setting

Lake Winnipegosis is a small remnant of post-glacial Lake Agassiz, which at its maximum extent 9000 years BP was 131 m above the present level of the lake (Nielsen and others, 1987). Dawson Bay in northern Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba (Fig. 2-1) is characterized by extremely hard waters with high concentrations of Na^+ (up to 1300 mg/L), K^+ (up to 48 mg/L) and Cl^- (up to 2063 mg/L) and salinities up to 36‰ (Wadien, 1984; Nielsen and others, 1987). The area surrounding the bay is characterized by highly saline pools and marshes (Patterson and others, 1997) with salinities ranging from 8.3‰ to 61‰ (McKillop and others, 1992). These saline environments are the result of discharge of saline waters from the groundwater system (Downey, 1984) and are hypothesized to originate from either dissolution of Middle Devonian halites from prairie evaporites (van Everdingen, 1971), or from dense pools of brine in the Williston Basin in Alberta (Downey, 1984).

The climate of Dawson Bay is continental with hot summers and cold winters, in a semi-arid setting. The wind direction is generally from the west with peak precipitation

occurring during the summer months (Patterson and others, 1997). Previous research on Lake Agassiz raised beach deposits, adjacent to Dawson Bay, indicated that conditions in the region were warmer during the Holocene Hypsithermal (6000 to 3500 years BP). Early in the Hypsithermal (by 5430 years BP), the euryhaline marine foraminifera, *Criboelphidium gunteri* (Cole, 1931) colonized Dawson Bay (Patterson, 1990; Patterson and others, 1997), and has recently been found alive in great abundance in Dawson Bay (see Chapter 1). Another live foraminiferal species, *Annectina viriosa* PATTERSON and MCKILLOP, 1991, and the arcellacean *C. aculeata* were noted in salt marshes in southern Lake Winnipegosis, while other arcellacean species have been found in freshwater parts of the lake (McKillop and others, 1992).

Due to their high abundance and resistance to dissolution in low pH environments (Thibaut and others, 1987; McCarthy and others, 1995), and because of agglutination (Scott and Medioli, 1983), arcellaceans make excellent paleoenvironmental indicators (Scott and Medioli, 1983; Medioli and Scott, 1988; Patterson and others, 1985; McCarthy and others, 1995; Ellison, 1995). Research in Canada and Italy has demonstrated the use of arcellaceans as pollution indicators (Asioli and others, 1996; Reinhardt and others, 1998; Patterson and others, 1996).

Agglutinated arcellaceans are primarily benthic and reflect bottom conditions (Schönborn, 1962; Scott and Medioli, 1983), have a generation time of only a few days, and ultimately develop distinct morphologies in response to environmental stress

(Patterson and Kumar, in press). Most of these lacustrine taxa prefer oligotrophic lakes with mildly acidic waters and are found in reduced numbers in eutrophic lakes (Medioli and others, 1990). Large populations of arcellaceans are present in a wide variety of freshwater habitats including moss, soil, peat, standing waters and sewage treatment systems and are seen in settings from tropical to polar regions (Ogden and Hedley, 1980). A healthy assemblage will be characterized by a Shannon Diversity Index greater than 2.5 with abundances greater than 500 specimens per cc and is usually characterized by various strains of *D. oblonga* (Scott and others, 1998).

Immediately following the retreat of the ice sheets of the Wisconsinan glaciation, the Centropyxid arcellaceans *C. aculeata* and *C. constricta*, and the Diffflugid arcellaceans *D. oblonga*, *D. urceolata* and *Diffflugia corona* (Wallich, 1864) were found to be common in lakes in Canada (McCarthy and others, 1995). During the late Pleistocene to early Holocene cold water conditions were recorded by low diversity assemblages dominated by *D. oblonga* with common *C. aculeata*, which is similar to assemblages seen today in Arctic lakes. As lake assemblages matured during the Holocene, they became more diverse (Collins and others, 1990) as is seen today within parts of Dawson Bay. More recently, when the environment stabilized, biological relationships such as predator-prey, competition or clumping became more important with increasing variability (Scott and Medioli, 1980). In a modern high diversity assemblage can also be found *Lesquereusia spiralis* (Ehrenberg, 1840), *Cucurbitella tricuspis* (Carter, 1856), *Lagenodiffflugia vas* (Leidy, 1874) and *Nebella collaris* (Ehrenberg, 1848) which could reflect conditions of

paludification and eutrophication (Scott and others. 1998).

Environment

In a marine setting, foraminifera will secrete a CaCO_3 test depending on whether the environment is conducive to carbonate preservation (McCrone and Schafer. 1966; Greiner. 1970). Environments where carbonate preservation does not occur tend to happen in areas with low salinity or colder waters, either of which make precipitation of carbonate difficult. Carbonate preservation can also be restricted when the pH is lowered, by the occurrence of high organic carbon or when low oxygen conditions are present in some polluted environments (Schafer. 1973; Schafer and others. 1975; Vilks and others. 1975).

Arcellaceans, like foraminifera, can secrete an autogenous test or form a xenogenous test. For example, *Diffugia* spp. use xenogenous material like silt grains and tend to dominate lacustrine environments where a sediment supply is readily available (Scott and others. 1998). Thecamoebans on the whole eat mostly diatoms and bacteria or in some cases can be cannibalistic (Medioli and Scott, 1983). Some like *C. tricuspis* feed on algal mats during the summer and form autogenous tests, while in the fall they become benthic and form a xenogenous test (Schönborn, 1962; Medioli and others. 1987). *C. tricuspis* which has been associated with eutrophic conditions is often seen in conjunction with the floating algae *spirogyra* (Medioli and Scott. 1988), and probably reflects nutrient loading associated with human occupation. Although organic loading is not usually toxic, it can

often create low oxygen conditions which are toxic to many organisms (Schafer and Cole, 1974).

If an environment is stressed, then the assemblage tends to have low diversity and can be dominated by an "opportunistic species" (Schafer and others, 1991), such as the infrasubspecies *Diffugia protaeiformis* (Lamarck, 1816) strain "claviformis" which can survive in areas where high levels of pollutants such as As, Cd, Cr and Cu would prevent most species from living (Asioli and others, 1996). These infrasubspecies have discriminated their environment better than individual species would (Patterson and others, 1996; Reinhardt and others, 1998). In German Lake, *L. vas* discriminates the environment, occurring in a low diversity assemblage within a high pH setting (pH 8.0 - 8.3). Increasing marine influence, such as that which occurs near the upper limit of tidal activity, decreases species diversity as shown when the only survivors are *Centropyxis* spp. (Scott and others, 1998). Marshes can represent the extreme of the marine environment with large variations of temperature, salinity and pH (Phleger and Bradshaw, 1966), and these marginal marine environments are characterized by assemblages containing relatively euryhaline foraminifera and arcellaceans in a low diversity setting. In a coastal environment, such as estuaries, these assemblages tend to be dominated by foraminifera such as *Elphidium excavatum* that is restricted to shallow waters with low salinities (Loeblich and Tappan, 1953), and can be found with species of the arcellacean genus *Centropyxis* (Decloître, 1953; Scott and others, 1977, 1980; Collins, 1996). This type of assemblage was noted in Point River Bay and in Salt River Bay where *C. gunteri*

and *A. viriosa* are seen with species of Centropyxids and Diffflugids in low diversity settings. A high percentage of *C. aculeata* suggests brackish conditions, while *D. oblonga* dominated assemblages can indicate a freshwater setting (Scott, 1977; Scott and others, 1980b; Collins, 1996). Live *C. gunteri* was found in abundance in the presence of *D. oblonga* and *C. aculeata*, indicating that it can survive in waters of little or no salinity.

Chemistry

Dawson Bay in northern Lake Winnipegosis is a unique environment that is naturally polluted via salt springs that penetrate Devonian dolomites in the area of Dawson Bay. Water flow through the substrate leaches sulfates from the sulfide minerals in the country rock, causing an interaction between the sulfate ions in the leachate and the hydrogen ions in the water, forming sulfuric acid and lowering the pH of the water (Gale, 1990). When these acidic, metal laden waters mix with neutral lake waters the result can be the precipitation of Fe, Mn and Al in the form of hydroxides (Fortin and others, 1993; Tessier and others, 1996). The result of this oxidation is seen in the vicinity of the salt springs where red limonite-stained gravelly sinter was reported by McKillop and others (1992).

In waters contaminated with Fe and Al, where the pH ranges from 2.0 to 5.5, the Shannon Diversity Index tends to be less than 1.0 with less than six species per sample. In this setting, Al can mobilize into biologically useable forms (Burrows, 1977), such as gibbsite, and Fe^{2+} can form oxyhydroxides such as goethite (Morel and others, 1993). In environments where the pH ranges from 6.5 to 7.5 and the Shannon Diversity Index

ranges from 1.5 to 2.5. Al complexes into relatively stable compounds (Plankey and Patterson, 1987, 1988). Fe^{2+} is prevalent in solution when in the presence of sulfides and carbonates. Fe^{2+} oxidizes to Fe^{3+} (Morel and others, 1993). Due to a lower ΔG° of reaction, Mn^{2+} oxidizes at a higher pH ($\text{pH} \geq 7 - 8$) than does Fe^{2+} , not concentrating as much as does Fe^{2+} , allowing more advective mixing before and during formation of particulate material. As the pH becomes more alkaline ($\text{pH} > 7.0$) in the presence of carbonates, more MnCO_3 will precipitate out (Tipping and others, 1984).

Indications are that *Arcella vulgaris* (Ehrenberg, 1830) can dominate with abundances in excess of 90% in polluted waters where the pH is less than 5.5 and forms less than 5% of the assemblage when the pH increases to 7.5. This means that *A. vulgaris* can act as an indicator for a low pH chemically contaminated environment (Patterson and Kumar, in press). *C. aculeata* tends to dominate environments when pH ranges from 5.5 to 7.5 as in the vicinity of Cobalt Ontario (Patterson and others, 1996; Reinhardt and others, 1998). In general, in a lacustrine environment, as the pH rises *D. oblonga* dominates with 25 to 50% of the species in an assemblage and *D. corona*, *L. spiralis*, *D. urceolata* and *Diffugia protaeiformis* (Lamarck, 1816) can occur in significant numbers (Scott and others, 1998).

This paper will investigate assemblages of arcellaceans and foraminifera in order to constrain the various environments in Dawson Bay.

METHODS

Analysis of sediment-water interface samples was carried out on samples collected during July 1997 and June 1998 from the following sites within Dawson Bay:

- Steeprocks Bay, Steeprocks Lagoon (Fig. 2-2), German Lake and Sausage Lake (Fig. 2-3),
- Salt River Bay (Fig. 2-4), and
- Point River Bay (Fig. 2-5).

The week previous and during the four days of collection in June of 1998, it was raining in Dawson Bay accompanied by high winds (20 - 30 knots). This resulted in a high surf (3 - 4 m swells) and ultimately a high level of mixing within the waters of the bay giving salinity readings lower than expected for this area. At some of these sites, large populations of pristine *C. gunteri* were found in proximity to large populations of arcellaceans.

Fifty-two sediment-water interface samples were collected from various bays and lakes in the vicinity of Dawson Bay were obtained using an Eckman Box Corer, and the exact location of each station was determined using a Trimble Scout Global Positioning System unit, and corroborated by triangulation. Water depth, pH, salinity and temperature were recorded at 52 stations in Dawson Bay (Table 2-1).

A complete geochemical analysis was carried out by Areco Canada in Nepean, Ontario, on five sediment-water interface sediment samples; and, five bottom-water samples, acidified with nitric acid, from one station in each of German Lake (GL23), Sausage Lake (SL25), Steeprock Lagoon (STL27), Point River Bay (PRB29) and Salt River Bay (SRB37). In addition, one sediment sample was analyzed from within a salt spring located on Salt Point (STR49). Placed in acid washed jars, the samples were refrigerated for transportation, and analyzed immediately upon return. A variety of metals (Table 2-2) were analyzed using Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP - AES), chloride was analyzed using Ion Chromatography, and arsenic and selenium levels were measured using Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption (GFAAS).

The upper 2 - 3 mm of sediment from each Eckman grab was removed and treated with isopropyl alcohol and refrigerated to avoid decay. To detect specimens of arcellaceans and foraminifera living at the time of collection, samples were stained in the laboratory using Rose Bengal biological stain (Goldstein and Harben, 1993). After standing over night, the samples were rinsed to remove excess stain, and then sieved using a 35-mesh Tyler (500 μm) screen to retain coarse organic material and a 230-mesh Tyler (63 μm) screen to retain foraminifera and arcellaceans. One cc of sample was then subdivided into aliquots for quantitative analysis using a wet splitter (Scott and Hermelin, 1993). The wet aliquots were analyzed under an Olympus SZH10 zoom stereo microscope. Only those specimens that had one chamber full of stained protoplasm were counted as alive. Numerous stained specimens were also crushed to ensure that chamber contained

protoplasm, as opposed to being coated on the inside by lightly stained organic material, such as bacteria. Scanning electron micrographs of picked foraminifera and arcellaceans were obtained using a JEOL 6400 Scanning Electron Microscope at the Carleton University Research Facility for Electron Microscopy (CURFEM). These digital images were compiled into plates using Adobe[®] Photoshop 4.0 (Plates 2-1 & 2-2). Transmitted light photo-micrographs of stained foraminifera and arcellaceans were obtained using a SLR camera mounted on a Leica WILD Macro420 binocular microscope (Plate 2-3).

RESULTS

One cc samples collected from the sediment-water interface at 52 stations examined yielded 50 stations with populations of foraminifera and arcellaceans large enough for statistical analysis (Table 2-3; Patterson and Fishbein, 1989). Arcellaceans were not counted with respect to living populations, because with their rapid regeneration time of only a few days, total populations provide better estimates of standing crops (Scott and Medioli, 1980a), living species were noted throughout the Bay.

Twenty-seven species of arcellaceans and two of foraminifera were identified in these samples, from which the relative fractional abundance (X_i) and the standard error (S_{xi}) associated with each taxonomic unit were calculated using the following equation:

$$S_{X_i} = 1.96 \sqrt{\left[\frac{X_i [1 - X_i]}{N} \right]}$$

Based on the results, three statistically insignificant species, *Diffflugia globulus* (Ehrenberg, 1848), *Diffflugia oblonga* (Leidy, 1879) strain "triangularis", and *N. collaris*; and Stations SRB43 and SRB49, were removed from the database and not included in subsequent multivariate analysis (Table 2-4; Patterson and Fishbein, 1989). The population diversity of each sample was calculated using the Shannon Diversity Index:

$$S.I. = - \sum_{i=1}^S \left(\frac{X_i}{N_i} \right) \times \ln \left(\frac{X_i}{N_i} \right)$$

where X_i = is the abundance of each taxon in a sample.

N_i = is the total abundance of the sample, and

S = is equal to the species richness of the sample.

High Shannon Diversity index values (2.5 - 3.5) usually indicates conditions of environmental stability, while lower values (0.1 - 1.5) often indicate environmental stress (Sageman and Bina, 1997). In Point River Bay, low diversity values were obtained when the fractional abundance of *C. gunteri* was high, and likewise in German Lake where the fractional abundance of *L. vas* was high. Diversity increased to a maximum of 2.4 (moderate diversity 1.6 - 2.4) with decreasing abundance of *C. gunteri* or *L. vas*, and increasing populations of Centropyxid and Diffflugid arcellaceans (Table 2-5).

R-mode and Q-mode cluster analysis were carried out on the 29 species present. R-mode analysis was used to determine which species best characterized an assemblage (Scott and others, 1980a), and Q-mode cluster analysis was carried out to determine the statistically significant populations using Ward's Minimum Variance method. This resulted in a reduced data set recorded as Euclidean distances and arranged in a combined R-mode and Q-mode hierarchical diagram (Fig. 2-6). Also, the six sediment and five water samples analyzed geochemically were clustered using R-mode and Q-mode multivariate analysis and their results arranged in a combined hierarchical diagram (Fig. 2-7; see Fishbein and Patterson, 1993; and Westrop and Cuggy, 1999). Six assemblages were recognized based on the results (Table 2-6):

- (1) The **Cribrorhynchium Assemblage** was found in Point River Bay at eleven Stations and in Salt River Bay at two Stations. This assemblage is dominated almost entirely by *C. gunteri* ($0.73 \leq X_i \leq 0.99$) and has a very low population diversity (Shannon Diversity Index 0.01 - 1.0). Live *C. gunteri*, *A. viriosa* and *C. constricta* were found in a brown silt containing a high proportion of shell fragments with evidence of pyritization. Water depths at these stations varied from two to four metres, with the pH ranging from 4.9 to 8.3, and oxygen content from 8.4 to 11.4 mg/L. Salinity readings were low (0.0 - 1.0 ‰). Chemical analysis of the sediments at Station SRB37 indicated moderate levels of Ba (0.04 mg/g), Mn (0.46 mg/g), Sr (0.33 mg/g) and Cl (0.19 mg/g), while analysis of the bottom waters indicated 0.04 mg/L of Ba. In addition, the Canadian Guidelines

with respect to toxic levels (toxicity tolerances for aquatic life; from Moore, 1991) were exceeded with 0.04 mg/L Zn in the bottom waters (maximum 0.03 mg/L) (Moore, 1991).

- (2) The **Centropyxid Assemblage** occurred in Steeprock Bay at two Stations, Salt River Bay at one Station and in Point River Bay at six stations. This assemblage was dominated by *C. gunteri* ($0.35 < X_i < 0.53$), but also contained significant populations of Centropyxids ($0.22 < X_i < 0.42$) and Diffflugids ($0.00 \leq X_i < 0.46$). The Shannon Diversity Index varied between 0.9 to 1.7. Here, *C. gunteri*, *D. corona*, *C. aculeata*, and *Diffflugia oblonga* (Penard, 1902) strain "bryophila" were found living in sediments comprised of dark brown gyttja with evidence of pyritization in some shell fragments. Water depths varied from 1.2 to 4.5 metres, with pH ranging from 4.6 to 7.9, and oxygen content from 9.3 - 10.1 mg/L. Salinity readings were negligible (0 ‰).
- (3) The **Lagenodifflugia Assemblage** occurred in German Lake at two Stations. This assemblage is dominated by *L. vas* ($0.69 < X_i < 0.76$) and has a low Shannon Diversity Index between 0.6 and 1.0. *L. vas* and *D. corona* were found living in a sediment that consisted of a brown gyttja and occurred in 1.8 metres of water. The pH in this lake was high and ranged between 8.3 and 8.6, and the oxygen content was steady at 8.6 mg/L. The salinity was 3‰. Chemical analysis of the sediments at Station SB23 in German Lake indicated levels of Al (9.93 mg/g), Cr

(0.02 mg/g). Fe (17.2 mg/g), V (0.04 mg/g), Cu (0.02 mg/g) and Zn (0.05 mg/g), while analysis of the bottom waters indicated amounts of Ba (0.04 mg/L) and Zn (0.04 mg/L).

- (4) The **Moderate Diversity Assemblage** was found in Steeprock Bay at six Stations. Steeprock Lagoon at two Stations. Salt River Bay at five Stations. German Lake at one Station and in Point River Bay at one Station. It is dominated by Centropyxids ($0.27 \leq X_i \leq 0.67$) and Diffflugids ($0.00 \leq X_i \leq 0.49$), with low fractional abundances of *C. gunteri* ($X_i < 0.16$), and a Shannon Diversity Index of 0.18 to 2.3. In this assemblage, live *D. corona*, *C. aculeata*, *L. vas.* and *A. vulgaris* were found living in a fine sand at water depths varying from five to six metres. The pH ranged from 5.8 to 5.9, and oxygen content was high varying between 9.6 and 10.0 mg/L. No salinity readings were available for these stations. Chemical analysis of the sediments at Station SB27 in Steeprock Lagoon indicated levels of Al (9.93 mg/g), Cr (0.02 mg/g), Fe (17.2 mg/g), V (0.04 mg/g), Cu (0.02 mg/g) and Zn (0.05 mg/g), while analysis of the bottom waters indicated amounts of Al (0.6 mg/L), Fe (0.59 mg/L) and Mn (0.097 mg/L).
- (5) The ***Diffflugia corona* Assemblage** occurred in Sausage Lake at three Stations and in Steeprock Bay at one Station. This assemblage was dominated by *D. corona* ($0.43 < X_i < 0.79$) and has a low Shannon Diversity Index between 0.09 and 1.7. Live *D. oblonga* were found in a brown gyttja in water depths that varied

between 0.2 and 1.5 metres. The pH ranged between 7.2 and 8.0, the oxygen content varied between 5.9 and 9.0 mg/L and the salinity varied from 1.0 to 7.0‰. Chemical analysis of the sediments at Station SL25 in Sausage Lake indicated moderate levels of Ba (0.04 mg/g), Mn (0.46 mg/g), Sr (0.33 mg/g) and Cl (0.19 mg/g), while analysis of the bottom waters indicated amounts of Cl (2063 mg/L), Na (1300 mg/L), B (0.34 mg/L), K (48 mg/L), Sr (1.02 mg/L), NH_3 - N (0.31 mg/L), SO_4 (161 mg/L) and Mg (53.1 mg/L).

- (6) The **Diffugia Assemblage** occurred in Steeprock Bay at three Stations. Point River Bay at one and Salt River Bay at one Station. This assemblage is dominated by populations of Difflugids ($0.32 < X_1 < 0.60$) and Centropyxids ($0.25 < X_1 < 0.52$). The Shannon Diversity Index varied between 1.2 and 2.4. *C. aculeata* strain "aculeata" and *D. protaeiformis* strain "claviformis" were found living in sediments comprised of a brown gyttja and occurred in water depths that varied between 3.7 to 6.0 metres deep. pH varied from 5.8 to 7.0, while salinity was negligible (0.00‰). The oxygen content varied from 9.6 mg/L at station PRB19 to 3.0 mg/L at station SRB48.

The salt spring at SRB49 on Salt Point near Steeprock Bay indicated high levels of B (0.0047 mg/g), P (1.82 mg/g) and As (0.067 mg/g) and a salinity of 54 ‰. The sediments in the vicinity of the spring were heavily coated with limonite as reported earlier by McKillop and others (1992). After mixing with the waters of the lake, the

major ion concentrations fall between what is observed for aquatic freshwater and marine systems (Table 2-7; Morel and others, 1993).

DISCUSSION

The arcellacean and foraminiferal assemblages found in Dawson Bay cover a range of environments that could be found from marine settings to inland lakes polluted by mine tailings. The **Criboelphidium Assemblage** is dominated by *C. gunteri* (0.73 - 0.99) and is similar to the type of assemblage that could be found in an estuary in a coastal marine intertidal setting (Nichols, 1974; Scott and others, 1980). The **Centropyxid Assemblage** is also dominated by *C. gunteri* (0.35 - 0.59), but contains abundant *C. aculeata* (0.22 - 0.42) and can contain significant Diffflugids (0.00 - 0.42), and is similar to the type of assemblage that could be found in an upper estuary where river and marine processes meet (Scott and others, 1980). The **Lagenodifflugia Assemblage** is dominated by *L. vas* (0.69 - 0.76) and is found in a high pH (8.3 - 8.6) chemically polluted, brackish (3‰) lake. The **Moderate Diversity Assemblage** (Shannon Diversity Index 1.7 - 2.3) is dominated by *C. aculeata* strain "aculeata" (0.38 - 0.76) with abundant *D. corona* (0.20 - 0.43) and is similar to the more diverse assemblages that matured after the Holocene Hypsithermal (Collins and others, 1990). The **Difflugia corona Assemblage** is dominated by *D. corona* (0.43 - 0.79) and occurs in a low diversity setting (Shannon Diversity Index 0.07 - 1.7) as an opportunistic species in the salt spring polluted Sausage

Lake. *D. corona* discriminates its environment similar to what has been reported for polluted lakes elsewhere (Asioli and others, 1996; Patterson and others, 1996; Reinhardt and others, 1998). The **Diffugia Assemblage** is the most diverse assemblage in the area of Dawson Bay (Shannon Diversity Index 2.1 - 2.4) with many Centropyxid and Difflugid species represented. This assemblage is similar to modern mature assemblages which can be found in many Canadian lakes consisting of *C. aculeata*, *C. constricta*, *D. oblonga*, *D. urceolata* and *D. corona* (McCarthy and others, 1995). No assemblage was found in the samples obtained from the salt spring. These springs, however, bring a marine component into the surrounding bays and lakes, by injecting brines and metals into the waters. This has made the area a good natural laboratory within which to study the range of conditions from marine to marsh to freshwater, polluted or non-polluted. The environments in Steeprock Bay, Point River Bay, Salt River Bay, German Lake and Sausage Lake will be discussed with respect to the assemblages found in Dawson Bay.

Steeprock Bay

Previous research in Dawson Bay indicated that Steeprock Bay has numerous salt springs in and around (McKillop and others, 1992) it that provide brines (up to 60‰ at STR54) to the freshwater mix. Within this system, a range of environments exist that indicate Shannon Diversity indices from zero to 2.4. Not counting the toxic waters found at the salt springs, four assemblages were found in this bay, the Centropyxid, Moderate Diversity, Diffugia Corona and Diffugia assemblages. Salinities in the bay were close to zero except with the Moderate Diversity Assemblage in Steeprock Lagoon where it

ranged up to 4‰. pH ranged from 6.8 at STR54 to 7.8 in Steeprock Lagoon and the oxygen content within the bay ranged from 4.5 in Steeprock Lagoon to 8.6 at STR54. all within the Moderate Diversity Assemblage which encompassed the greatest part of Steeprock Bay. Here, *C. aculeata* and *D. corona* were abundant with common *C. constricta*, *C. tricuspidis* and *D. oblonga*. High levels of Fe and Mn are present, and high abundances of Centropyxids suggest conditions ranging from brackish (Scott, 1977; Scott and others, 1980b; Collins, 1996) to those chemically stressed (Patterson and others, 1996; Reinhardt and others, 1998). The Centropyxid domination of the Moderate Diversity Assemblage ties into what is expected with respect to pH ranges from 5.5 to 7.5.

Point River Bay

The environment in Point River Bay is similar to what can be found in estuaries (Scott and others, 1980) in a coastal or marine setting and is almost entirely dominated by the Cribroelphidium and Centropyxid Assemblages which show low diversity (0.01 - 1.75) and high abundances of *C. gunteri*. Live *C. gunteri* and *A. viriosa* were found with live Centropyxids in both assemblages, and also with live *D. oblonga* within the Centropyxid Assemblage. Here is a low salinity environment (0 - 2‰) with a large range of pH (5.1 - 8.3) and a wide range of oxygen (5.6 - 11.5 mg/L).

Salt River Bay

Salt River Bay indicates low populations of arcellaceans and foraminifera in a low

diversity setting (0.07 - 1.83). The bay is dominated by the Moderate Diversity Assemblage with stable pH (6.9 - 7.0), low salinity (0.0 - 1.0‰) and variable oxygen content (2.7 to 9.6 mg/L). Under near anaerobic conditions, assemblages tended to be small with low diversity such as at SRB44 and SRB47 and were dominated by *C. aculeata*. Although the populations of Centropyxids, Diffflugids and foraminifera are variable, the only live occurrence in Salt River Bay was *C. gunteri*.

German Lake

The major assemblage in German Lake, the *Lagenodifflugia* Assemblage, is dominated almost entirely by the opportunistic species *L. vas* (0.69 - 0.76) in a low diversity high pH environment (8.3 - 8.6). Found in waters 1.8 m deep, with a constant salinity of 3‰, this shallow lake, found among salt pans, showed higher temperatures (17.0°C) which combined with the high pH to create a unique niche. *L. vas* has monopolized this environment similar to the way *A. vulgaris* has dominated and indicates a low pH environment (Patterson and Kumar, in press).

Sausage Lake

Sausage Lake is a chemical mix consisting of abundant amounts of dissolved Cl, Na, B, K, SO₄, Sr, NO₃ - N and Mg in solution, and is dominated by *D. corona* (0.43 - 0.79) in a low diversity setting (0.09 - 0.80). No live arcellaceans were found within the *D. corona* Assemblage, and counts of Centropyxids were low (0.00 - 0.15). Environmental stress caused by pollutants in lacustrine ecosystems can cause eutrophication and acidification

of lake waters and sediments as was observed in Peterson and Crosswise lakes in northern Ontario (Patterson and others, 1996). As opposed to this, in Sausage Lake where the low diversity *D. corona* Assemblage exists in high pH, brackish waters, with low oxygen conditions.

Salt Spring STB49

C. aculeata, can withstand a variety of hostile conditions such as salinities up to 5‰ (Decloître, 1953; Scott and Medioli, 1980b; Patterson and others, 1985; Honig and Scott, 1987), cold temperatures (Decloître, 1956), low nutrient levels and oligotrophic conditions (Schönborn, 1984) and sites heavily contaminated with metals such as As (Patterson and others, 1996). In polluted oxic waters, arsenate is most common, while under anoxic conditions arsenite is common. Arsenic is often adsorbed onto iron and manganese oxyhydroxides present in oxic sediments (Cullen and Reimer, 1989). Most arcellaceans are benthic organisms which live at the sediment-water interface and tend to be good indicators of arsenic contamination (Patterson and others, 1996; Reinhardt and others, 1998). This is seen in the sediments of the salt spring located within Salt Point at Station 49, where As is in excess of current guidelines as indicated in Table 2-2, but unlike what was noted in the above studies, the environment at this site is hostile to benthic organisms, and the samples investigated were barren.

The overall aquatic environment at the sediment-water interface in Dawson Bay ranges from quite stressed, showing no live arcellaceans in the vicinity of the salt springs, to

chemically stressed sites where few species are living, such as in Sausage Lake, to less stressed sites like German Lake, which has assemblages dominated by the opportunistic species *L. vas.* to brackish sites in Salt River Bay and Point River Bay which are dominated by the foraminifera *C. gunteri* and finally after mixing, to moderate diversity sites in Steeprock Bay which are dominated by Centropyxids and Diffflugids.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partially funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Operating Grant to R. Timothy Patterson, and also by the Manitoba Museum, Manitoba Museum Foundation through W. Brian McKillop.

SYNONYMY

Numerous arcellacean species have been separated and defined through the years (Medioli and Scott, 1983, 1988). The systematic approaches utilized range from the opinion of Wallich (1864) who believed that all arcellaceans belonged to the same species to that of some modern specialists who have described new species for almost every variety recognized (Deflandre, 1928). Both approaches may be partially right as several distinct morphological populations can be observed within many arcellacean species. These strains develop in response to different environmental stresses, and can be considered ecomorphs. An abbreviated taxonomy as well as photomicrographs of the species discriminated (based on Medioli and Scott 1983) is provided along with diagnoses for infrasubspecific strains. Parentheses have been used to demarcate strains and to emphasize their infrasubspecific designation (Reinhardt and others, 1998).

Order ARCELLINIDA Kent 1880

Superfamily ARCELLACEA Ehrenberg 1830

Family **ARCELLIDAE** Ehrenberg 1830

Genus *Arcella* Ehrenberg 1830

Arcella vulgaris Ehrenberg 1830

Plate 2-1. figure 1; Plate 2-3. figure 3

Arcella vulgaris EHRENBURG 1830, p. 40, pl. 1, fig. 6

Arcella vulgaris Ehrenberg 1830 REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 1, fig. 3

Diagnosis: Test without spines, hyaline and transparent, aperture sub-terminal or occasionally central, circular or oval, invaginated.

Family **CENTROPYXIDIDAE** Deflandre 1953

Genus *Centropyxis* Stein 1859

Centropyxis aculeata (Ehrenberg 1832) strain "aculeata"

Plate 2-1. figures 2 - 3

Arcella aculeata EHRENBURG 1832, p.91

Centropyxis aculeata "aculeata" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 1, fig. 1

Diagnosis: Test depressed, circular with 1 - 8 spines in postero-lateral margin.

Centropyxis aculeata (Ehrenberg 1832) strain "discoides"

Plate 2-1. figure 4

Arcella discoides EHRENBURG 1843, p.139

Arcella discoides Ehrenberg, EHRENBURG 1872, p.259, pl. 3, fig. 1

Arcella discoides Ehrenberg, LEIDY 1879. p.173. pl. 28 , figs. 14 - 38

Centropyxis aculeata var. *discoides* PENARD 1890. p.151. pl. 5. figs. 38 - 41

Centropyxis discoides Penard [sic], OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980. p.54. pl. 16. figs. A - e

Centropyxis aculeata "discoides" REINHARDT and OTHERS 1998. pl. 1. fig. 2

Diagnosis: Test depressed. circular almost "doughnut shaped" without spines.

Centropyxis constricta (Ehrenberg 1843) strain "aerophila"

Plate 2-1. figures 5 - 7

Centropyxis aerophila DEFLANDRE 1929

Centropyxis aerophila Deflandre OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980. p. 48 - 49

Cucurbitella [sic.] *constricta* REINHARDT and others 1998. pl. 1. fig. 6

Centropyxis constricta "aerophila" KUMAR and DALBY 1998. Issue 1, fig. 5-1

Diagnosis: Test varies from spherical. subspherical to elongated with thick aperural lip at an angle of 45° to 60° with respect to the test. Spines absent.

Centropyxis constricta (Ehrenberg 1843) strain "constricta"

Plate 2-1. figure 8

Arcella Constricta EHRENBURG 1843. p.410, pl. 4, fig. 35; pl. 5, fig. 1

Centropyxis constricta "constricta" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 1, fig. 4

Diagnosis: Test flattened with three or less spines on the fundus.

Centropyxis constricta (Ehrenberg 1843) strain "spinosa"

Plate 2-1, figure 9

Centropyxis spinosa CASH in CASH and HOPKINSON 1905, p. 62, pl. 20, figs. a - d

Centropyxis spinosa Cash. OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 62, pl. 20, figs. a - d

Centropyxis constricta "spinosa" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 1, fig. 5

Diagnosis: Test more flattened than strain "constricta" with three or more spines on the fundus

Family **DIFFLUGIDAE** Stein 1859

Genus *Diffugia* Leclerc in Lamarck 1816

Diffugia bidens Penard 1902

Plate 2-1, figure 10

Diffugia bidens PENARD 1902, p. 264, figs. 1 - 8

Diffugia bidens Penard MEDIOLI and SCOTT 1983, p. 21 - 22, pl. 1, figs. 1 - 5

Diagnosis: Test laterally compressed with two to three short spines. Aperture round and simple.

Diffugia corona Wallich 1864

Plate 2-1, figures 11 - 12

Difflugia protaeiformis (sic) Ehrenberg subsp. *D. globularis* (Dujardin) var. *D. corona*

WALLICH 1864, p. 244, pl. 15, fig. 4a - c; pl. 16, figs. 19, 20

Difflugia corona (Wallich 1864) ARCHER 1866, p. 186

Difflugia corona Wallich REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 1

Diagnosis: Fundus with one to ten short spines, aperture circular, crenulated by six to 20 indentations forming a thin collar.

Difflugia fragosa HEMPEL 1898

Plate 2-1, figure 13

Difflugia fragosa HEMPEL 1898, p. 320, text-figs. 1-2

Difflugia fragosa Penard 1902, p. 573, fig. 2

Difflugia fragosa Averintsev 1906, p. 216

Difflugia fragosa Schoutenden 1906, p. 344

Difflugia fragosa Harnisch 1958, p. 40, pl. 8, fig. 21 (after Penard, 1902)

Difflugia fragosa Scott and Medioli, 1983, p. 818, fig. 90

Difflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832 strain "bryophila "

Plate 2-1, figures 14 - 15

Difflugia pyriformis var. *bryophila* PENARD 1902, p. 221, text fig. 7

Difflugia bryophila Penard [sic], OGDEN and ELLISON 1988, p. 234, pl. 1, figs. 1 - 3

Diffugia oblonga "bryophila" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 9

Diagnosis: Test flask shaped, elongated, pyriform, neck long but sometimes obscure due to coarse agglutination, aperture narrow, circular and without lips. Test is made of conspicuously large sand grains.

Diffugia oblonga Penard 1902 strain "glans"

Plate 2-1, figures 16 - 18

Diffugia oblonga "glans" PENARD 1902

Diffugia oblonga "glans" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 7

Diagnosis: Test oval to ovoid, slightly elongated, fundus rounded, neck absent, aperture circular with smooth lip, test made of fine sand particles.

Diffugia oblonga Penard 1890 strain "lanceolata"

Plate 2-1, figure 19

Diffugia lanceolata PENARD 1890, p. 145, pl. 4, figs 59 - 60

Diffugia lanceolata Penard - OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 140, pl. 59, figs. A - d

Diffugia oblonga "lanceolata" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 6

Diagnosis: Test elongate, pyriform and smooth, fundus rounded, neck long, aperture circular without lip.

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832 strain "oblonga"

Plate 2-1, figures 20 - 21

Diffflugia oblonga EHRENBURG 1832, p. 90

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832, OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 148, pl. 63, figs. a - c

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832, HAMAN 1982, p. 367, pl. 3, figs. 19 - 25

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832, SCOTT and MEDIOLI 1983, p. 818, figs. 9a - b

Diffflugia oblonga "oblonga" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 10

Diagnosis: Test, pyriform, elongated to oblong, fundus rounded, neck long, aperture circular without lip, test made of generally fine sand grains

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832 strain "spinosa"

Plate 2-1, figures 22 - 23

Diffflugia oblonga var. *spinosa* REINHARDT 1998, p. 140, pl. 2, figs. 11a - b

Diagnosis: Test pyriform, elongated, fundus large and with a distinct spine, neck short and constricted, aperture narrow, circular without lip, test made of fine sand grains

Diffflugia oblonga Ehrenberg 1832 "tenuis"

Plate 2-1, figures 24 - 25

Diffflugia pyriformis var. *tenuis* PENARD 1890, p. 138, pl. 3, figs. 47 - 49

Diffugia oblonga "tenuis" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 12

Diagnosis: Test elongated, ovoid almost bean shaped, fundus subrounded to subacute, neck indistinct or absent, aperture narrow and circular with crenulated lip, test made of generally medium to fine sand grains.

Diffugia protaeiformis Lamarck 1816 strain "acuminata"

Plate 2-1, figure 26

Diffugia protaeiformis LAMARCK 1816, p. 95 (with reference to material in a manuscript by Leclerc)

Diffugia acuminata EHRENBERG 1830, p. 95

Diffugia acuminata Ehrenberg 1830, OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 118, pl. 4, figs.

a - c

Diffugia acuminata Ehrenberg 1830, SCOTT and MEDIOLI 1983, p. 818, fig. 9d

Diffugia protaeiformis "acuminata" REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 5

Diagnosis: Distinguished from *Diffugia protaeiformis* "claviformis" by having a thinner wall which appears transparent under a light microscope.

Diffugia protaeiformis REINHARDT and others 1998 strain "amphoralis"

Plate 2-1, figure 27

Diffugia amphoralis HOPKINSON in CASH and HOPKINSON 1909, p. 43, pl. 21, fig.

Diagnosis: Test almost biconical. elongated. fundus subangular tapering to form a spine. neck absent. aperture circular. narrow without lip. test smooth almost hyaline and small.

Diffflugia protaeiformis Lamark 1816 strain "claviformis "

Plate 2-1. figures 28 - 29

Diffflugia protaeiformis LAMARK 1816, p. 95 (with reference to material in a manuscript by Leclerc)

Diffflugia pyriformis var. *claviformis* PENARD 1899, p. 25, pl. 2, figs. 12 - 14

Diffflugia claviformis OGDEN and HEDLEY 1980, p. 126, pl. 52, figs. a - d

Diffflugia protaeiformis strain "protaeiformis" ASIOLI and others. 1996, p. 250, pl. 2, fig. 1, a - b

Diffflugia protaeiformis "claviformis " REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 3

Diagnosis: This strain is similar to "acuminata" except that it has a coarser test made up of medium to coarse grained sand.

Diffflugia urceolata Carter 1864 strain "elongata "

Plate 2-2, figure 1

Diffflugia urceolata CARTER 1864, p. 27, pl. 1, fig. 7

Diffflugia urceolata Carter 1864 REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 2a

Diagnosis: Test elongate; aperture a distinct hanging collar.

Diffflugia urceolata Carter 1864 strain "urceolata "

Plate 2-2. figures 2 - 3

Diffflugia urceolata CARTER 1864, p. 27, pl. 1, fig. 7

Diffflugia urceolata Carter 1864 REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 2, fig. 2b

Diagnosis: Test spheroidal to ovoidal; aperture a distinct hanging collar.

Genus *Lagenodifflugia* MEDIOLI and SCOTT 1983

Lagenodifflugia vas (Leidy 1874)

Plate 2-2. figures 4 - 7

Lagenodifflugia vas MEDIOLI and SCOTT 1983, p. 33, pl. 2, figs. 18 - 23, 27, 28

Family **HYALOSPHEENIIDAE** Schultze 1877

Genus *Cucurbitella* Penard 1902

Cucurbitella tricuspis (Carter 1856)

Plate 2-2, figures 8 - 10

Diffugia tricuspis CARTER 1856, p. 221, fig. 80

Cucurbitella tricuspis (Carter 1856) MEDIOLI, SCOTT, and ABBOTT, 1987, p. 42, pls.

1 - 4, text figs. 1 - 4

Cucurbitella tricuspis (Carter 1856) REINHARDT and others 1998, pl. 1, fig. 7

Diagnosis: Test varies from spherical, subspherical to elongated. It is characterized by a thick apertural lip.

Order FORAMINIFERIDA Eichwald 1830

Superfamily ROTALIACEA Ehrenberg 1839

Family ELPHIDIIDAE Galloway 1933

Subfamily ELPHIDIINAE Galloway 1933

Genus *Criboelphidium* Cushman and Brönnimann 1948

Criboelphidium gunteri (Cole, 1931)

Plate 2-2, figures 11 - 15; Plate 2-3, figure 1

Criboelphidium gunteri (Cole) PATTERSON 1990, pl. 2, figs. 1, 2

Elphidium gunteri (Cole) KNUDSEN in Feyling-Hanssen, Jørgensen, Knudsen and

Anderson (eds.) 1971, p. 277, pl. 12, figs. 9, 10; pl. 21, figs. 4 - 7. (not *Elphidium gunteri* Cole, 1931)

Family AMMODISCIDAE Reuss, 1862

Subfamily AMMOVERTELLININAE Saidova. 1981

Genus *Annectina* Suleymanov. 1963

Annectina viriosa PATTERSON and MCKILLOP. 1991

Plate 2-2. figures 16 - 17; Plate 2-3, figure 2

Annectina viriosa PATTERSON and MCKILLOP. 1991, p. 36, fig. 3, 1 - 7

REFERENCES

- Archer. W., 1866. *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science*, new series, **6**, pp. 185 - 188.
- Asioli. A., Medioli, F.S. and Patterson. R.T., 1996, Thecamoebians as a tool for reconstruction of paleoenvironments in some Italian lakes in the foothills of the southern Alps (Orta. Varese and Candia). *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **26(3)**, pp. 248 - 263.
- Averintsev. S., 1906. Rhizopoda prësnykh vod. *Imperatorskoe Sankt-Peterburgskoe Obshchestvo Estestvoispytatelei Trudy*, **36(2)**, pp. 1 - 346.
- Burrows. W.D., 1977. Aquatic aluminum: chemistry, toxicology and environmental prevalence, *CRC Critical Review Environmental Control*, **7**, pp. 167 - 216.
- Carter. H.J., 1856, Notes on the freshwater Infusoria of the island of Bombay, *No. 1. Organization. Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, series. 2, **18(105)**, pp. 221 - 249.
- _____. 1864. On freshwater Rhizopoda of England and India. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, series. 3, 13, pp. 18 - 39.
- Cash, J. and Hopkinson, J., 1905, The British freshwater Rhizopoda and Heliozoa. I. Rhizopoda, part 1. *Ray Society, London*, 151 p.
- _____. and _____, 1909, The British freshwater Rhizopoda and Heliozoa, *Ray Society, London*, **2(2)**, pp. 1 - 166.
- Cole, W.S., 1931, The Pliocene and Pleistocene foraminifera of Florida: *Bulletin Florida*

- State Geological Survey*, 6, pp. 7 - 79.
- Collins, E.S., 1996. Marsh-estuarine benthic foraminiferal distributions and Holocene sea-level reconstructions along the South Carolina coastline, *Ph.D. Thesis*, *Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada*, 240 p.
- Collins, E.S., McCarthy, F.M.G., Medioli, F.S., Scott, D.B. and Honig, C.A., 1990. Biogeographic distribution of modern thecamoebians in a transect along the eastern North American coast, in Hemleben, C., Kaminski, M.A., Kuhnt, W. and Scott, D.B., eds., *Paleoecology, Biostratigraphy, Paleoceanography and Taxonomy of Agglutinated Foraminifera*, NATO ASI series, C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Amsterdam, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 783 - 792.
- Cullen, W.R. and Reimer, K.J., 1989. Arsenic speciation in the environment, *Chemical Reviews*, 89, pp. 713 - 764.
- Cushman, J.A. and Brönnimann, P., 1948. Some new genera and species of foraminifera from brackish water of Trinidad, *Contributions from the Cushman Laboratory for Foraminiferal Research*, 24, pp. 15 - 21.
- Decloître, L., 1953. Recherches sur les Rhizopodes Thecamoebiens d'A.O.F., *Memoires de l'Institut Français d'Afrique Noire*, 31, pp. 1 - 249.
- _____. 1956. Les thécamoebiens de l'Ege (Gröenland): Expéditions Polaires Françaises Missions Paul-Emile Victor VIII, *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles* 1242, 100 p.
- Deflandre, G., 1929, Le genre *Centropyxis* Stein, *Archiv für Protistenkunde*, 67, pp. 322 - 375.

_____. 1953, Ordres des Testaceolobosa (De Saedeleer. 1834). Testaceofilosa (De Saedeleer. 1834), Thalamia (Haeckel. 1862) ou thécamoebiens (Auct.) (Rhizopoda Testacea) in P.-P. Grassé (ed.). *Traité de Zoologie*. Masson, Paris, 1(2), pp. 97 - 148.

Downey, J.S., 1984, Geohydrology of Madison and associated aquifers in parts of Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, *US Geological Survey Professional Paper* 1273-G: 47 p.

Dyer, K.R., 1973. Estuaries: a physical introduction. *London England, John Wiley and Sons Ltd.*

Ehrenberg, C.G., 1830, Organisation, systematik und geographisches Verhältnis der Infusionsthierchen. *Berlin: Druckerei der Königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 108 p.

_____. 1832, Über die Entwicklung und Lebensdauer der Infusionsthierchen, nebst fernerer Beiträgen zu einer Vergleichung ihrer organischen Systeme. *Königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin Physikalische Abhandlungen*, 1831, pp. 1 - 154.

_____. 1839, Über die Bildung der Kreidefelsen und des Dreidemergels durch unsichtbare Organismen, *Physikalische Abhandlungen der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 1838, pp. 59 - 147.

_____. 1840, das grössere Infusorienwerke, *Königliche Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin Bericht*, pp. 198 - 219.

_____. 1843, Verbreitung und Einfluss des mikroskopischen Lebens in Süd-und

- Nord Amerika. *Königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin Physikalische Abhandlungen*, 1841, pp. 291 - 446.
- _____. 1848. Fortgesetzte Beobachtungen über jetzt herrschende atmosphärische mikroskopische Verhältnisse. *Bericht über die zur Bekanntmachung geeigneten Verhandlungen der Königlichen Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 13, pp. 370 - 381.
- _____. 1872. Nachtrag zur Übersicht der organischen Atmosphärien. *Königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin Physikalische Abhandlungen*, 1871, pp. 233 - 275.
- Eichwald. C.E. von. 1830. *Zoologia specialis*, Vilnae: D.E. Eichwaldus, 2, pp. 1 - 323.
- Ellison, R.L., 1995. Paleolimnological analysis of Ullswater using testate amoebae. *Paleolimnology*, 13, pp. 51 - 63.
- Fishbein, E. and Patterson, R.T., 1993. Error-weighted maximum likelihood (EWML): a new statistically based method to cluster quantitative micropaleontological data. *Journal Of Paleontology*, 67(3), pp. 475 - 485.
- Fortin, D., Leppard, G.G. and Tessier, A., 1993. Characteristics of lacustrine diagenetic iron oxyhydroxides, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 57, pp. 4391 - 4404.
- Gale, P., 1990. James Lake, Northland Pyrite Mine, *Ontario Department of the Environment Technical Memorandum*, Sudbury, Ontario, 15 p.
- Galloway, J.J., 1933, *A Manual of Foraminifera*, Bloomington: Principia Press.
- Goldstein, S.T. and Harben, E.B., 1993, Taphofacies Implications of Infaunal Foraminiferal Assemblages in a Georgia Salt Marsh, Sapelo Island,

- Micropaleontology*, **39(1)**, pp. 53 - 62.
- Greiner, G.O.G., 1970, environmental factors causing distributions of Recent foraminifera, *Ph.D. thesis. Case Western Reserve*, reproduced by University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA, 194 p. + charts.
- Haman, D., 1982, Modern Thecamoebinids (Arcellinida) from the Balize Delta, Louisiana, *Transactions, Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies*, **32**, pp. 353 - 376.
- Harnisch, O., 1958, II Klasse: Wurzelfüssler, rhizopoda, in, Brohmer, P. and Ulmer, G., eds., *Die Tierwelt Mitteleuropas: Band 1. Urtiere-Hohltiere-Würmer: Lieferung lb*, pp. 1 - 75.
- Hayward, B.W., Grenfell, H., Cairns, G. and Smith, a., 1996, Environmental controls on benthic foraminiferal and thecamoebian associations in a New Zealand tidal inlet, *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **26(2)**, pp. 150 - 171.
- Honig, C.A. and Scott, D.B., 1987, Post-glacial stratigraphy and sea level change in southwestern New Brunswick, *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **24**, pp. 354 - 364.
- Hempel, A., 1898, A list of the Protozoa and rotifera found in the Illinois River and adjacent lakes at Havana, Illinois, *Illinois State Laboratory of Natural History Bulletin*, **5(6)**, pp. 301 - 388.
- Kent, W.S., 1880, A Manual of the Infusoria: including a description of all known Flagellate, Ciliate, and Tentaculiferous Protozoa, British and Foreign, and an Account of the Organisation and Affinities of the Sponges, *London: Bogue*, **1**, pp.

1 - 472.

- Kumar, A. and Dalby, A.P., 1998. Identification Key for Holocene Lacustrine Arcellaceans (Thecamoebian) Taxa. *Palaeontologica Electronica* 1.
http://www.earthsci.carleton.ca/paleo/1998_1/dalby/issue1.htm. fig. 5-1.
- Lamarck, J.B., 1816. Histoire naturelle des animaux sans vertèbres. *Verdière, Paris*, 2, pp. 1 - 568.
- Leidy, J., 1874. Notice of some Rhizopods. *Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia Proceedings*, 3(1874), pp. 155 - 157.
- _____. 1879. Fresh water rhizopods of North America. *United States Geological Survey of the Territories*. Report 12, pp. 1 - 324.
- Loeblich, A.R., Jr. and Tappan, H., 1953. Studies of Arctic Foraminifera. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collection*, 121(7), pp. 1 - 150.
- McCarthy, F.M.G., Collins, E.S., McAndrews, J.H., Kerr, H.A., Scott, D.B. and Medioli, F.S., 1995. A comparison of postglacial arcellacean ("thecamoebian") and pollen succession in Atlantic Canada, illustrating the paleoclimatic reconstruction. *Journal of Paleontology*, 69, pp. 980 - 993.
- McCrone, A.W. and Schafer, C.T., 1996. Geochemical and Sedimentary environments of foraminifera in Hudson River estuary, New York, *Micropaleontology*, 12, pp. 505 - 509.
- McKillop, W.B., Patterson, R.T., Delorme, L.D. and Nogrady, T., 1992. The Origin, Physico-chemistry and Biotics of Sodium Chloride Dominated Saline Waters on

- the Western Shore of Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba. *The Canadian Field-Naturalist*. **106**, pp. 454 - 473.
- Medioli, F.S. and Scott, D.B., 1983. Holocene Arcellacea (Thecamoebians) from Eastern Canada. *Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research, Special Publication* **21**, pp. 1 - 63.
- _____. 1988. Lacustrine thecamoebians (mainly arcellaceans) as potential tools for paleolimnological interpretations. *Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology and Paleoecology*. **62**, pp. 361 - 386.
- Medioli, F.S., Petrucci, F. and Scott, D.B., 1985. Sulla presenza di Tecamebe in campioni carotati sul fondo del Lago di Garda, in Ghetti, P.R. (Ed.), *L'ambiente Lago: Comunita' del Garda. Civiltà Gardesana, Studi ed Esperienze* **3**, pp. 83 - 104.
- Medioli, F.S., Scott, D.B. and Abbott, B.H., 1987. A case study of protozoan interclonal variability, taxonomic implications. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*. **17**, pp. 28 - 47.
- Medioli, F.S., Scott, D.B., Collins, E.S. and McCarthy, F.M.G., 1990. Fossil thecamoebians: present status and prospects for the future, in Hemleben, C., Kaminski, M.A., Kuhnt, W. and Scott, D.B., eds., *Paleoecology, Biostratigraphy, Paleoceanography and Taxonomy of Agglutinated Foraminifera*, NATO ASI series, C. Mathematical and Physical Sciences, **327**, pp. 813 - 839.
- Moore, J.W., 1991. Inorganic Contaminants of Surface Water: Research and Monitoring Priorities, New York, *Springer-Verlag*, 334 p.
- Morel, F.M.M. and Hering, J.G., 1993, Principles and Applications of Aquatic

- Chemistry, *John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York*, 588 p.
- Nichols, M.M., 1974, Foraminifera in estuarine classification, in Odum, H.T., Copeland, B.J. and McMahan, E.A., eds., *Coastal Ecosystems of the United States*, **1**, pp. 85 - 103.
- Nielsen, Erik, McNeil, David H. and McKillop, W. Brian, 1987, Origin and Paleoecology of post-Lake Agassiz raised beaches in Manitoba, *Canadian Journal Of Earth Science*, **24**, pp. 1478 - 1485.
- Ogden, C.G. and Ellison, R.L., 1988, The value of the organic cement matrix in the identification of the shells of fossil testate amoeba, *Journal of Micropalaeontology*, **7(2)**, pp. 233 - 240.
- Ogden, C.G. and Hedley, R.L., 1980, An Atlas of Freshwater Testate Amoeba, *British Museum (Natural History)*, Oxford University Press, 222 p.
- Patterson, R. Timothy, 1990, Intertidal benthic foraminiferal biofacies on the Fraser River Delta, British Columbia: Modern distribution and paleoecological importance, *Micropaleontology*, **36(3)**, pp. 229 - 244.
- Patterson, R.T., Barker, T. and Burbidge, S.M., 1996, Arcellaceans (Thecamoebians) as Proxies of Arsenic and Mercury Contamination in Northeastern Ontario Lakes, *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **26(2)**, pp. 172 - 183.
- Patterson, R.T. and Fishbein, E., 1989, Re-Examination of the Statistical Methods used to Determine the Number of Point Counts Needed for Micropaleontological Quantitative Research, *Journal of Paleontology*, **63(2)**, pp. 245 - 248.
- Patterson, R.T. and Kumar, A., (in press), Use of Arcellacea (Thecamoebians) to Gauge

Levels of Contamination and Remediation in Industrially Polluted Lakes. (*To be published as a chapter in a book "Environmental Micropaleontology"*).

- Patterson, R.T., MacKinnon, K.D., Scott, D.B. and Medioli, F.S., 1985. Arcellaceans ("Thecamoebians") in small lakes of New Brunswick and Nova Scotia: modern distribution and Holocene stratigraphic changes. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **15**, pp. 114 - 137.
- Patterson, R. Timothy and McKillop, W. Brian. 1991. Distribution and Possible Paleocological Significance of *Annectina viriosa*, a New Species of Agglutinated Foraminifera from Nonmarine Salt Ponds in Manitoba. *Journal Of Paleontology*, **65(1)**, pp. 33 - 37.
- Patterson, R..T., Barker, T. and Burbidge, M... 1996. Arcellaceans (Thecamoebians) as proxies of Arsenic and Mercury contamination in Northeastern Ontario lakes. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **26(2)**, pp. 172 - 183.
- Patterson, R. Timothy, McKillop, W. Brian, Kroker, Sid, Nielsen, Erik and Reinhardt, Eduard G.. 1997, Evidence for rapid avian-mediated foraminiferal colonization of Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba, during the Holocene Hypsithermal, *Journal Of Paleolimnology*, **18**, pp. 131 - 143.
- Patterson, R.T., Scott, D.B. and McKillop, W.B., 1990, Recent Marsh-Type Agglutinated Foraminifera From Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba, In C. Hemleben, M.A. Kaminski, W. Kuhnt & D. B. Scott (eds), Proceedings of the third International Advanced Course on Paleoecology, Biostratigraphy, Paleoceanography, and Taxonomy of Agglutinated Foraminifera, *NATO Advanced Study Institutes*

- Programme*, Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences **327**, pp. 765 - 781.
- Penard, E., 1890, Études sur les Rhizopodes d'eau douce, *Mémoires de la Société de Physique et d'Histoire Naturelle de Genève*, **31(2)**, pp. 1 - 230.
- _____. 1899. Les Rhizopodes de faune profonde dans le lac du Léman. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie*, **7**, pp. 1 - 142.
- _____. 1902. Faune rhizopodique du Bassin du Léman. *Henry Kundig, Genève*, 714 p.
- Phleger, F.B. and Bradshaw, J.S., 1966, Sedimentary environments in a marine marsh. *Science*, **154**, pp. 151 - 153.
- Plankey, B.J. and Patterson, H.H., 1987, Kinetics of aluminum fulvic acid complexation in acidic waters. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **21**, pp. 595 - 601.
- _____. 1988. Effect of fulvic acid on the kinetics of aluminum fluoride complexation in acidic waters. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **22**, pp. 1454 - 1456.
- Reinhardt, E.G., Dalby, A.P., Kumar, A. and Patterson, R.T., 1998, Arcellaceans as Pollution Indicators in Mine Tailings Contaminated Near Cobalt Ontario, Canada. *Micropaleontology*, **44(2)**, pp. 131 - 148.
- Reuss, a.e., 1862, Entwurf einer systematischen Zusammenstellung der Foraminiferen, *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Class*, **44**, pp. 355 - 396.
- Sageman, B.B. and Bina, C.R., 1997, Diversity and Species Abundance Patterns in Late Cenomanian Black Shale Biofacies, Western Interior, U.S., *Palaaios*, **12**, pp. 449 -

466.

- Saidova, Kh.M., 1981. O sovremennom sostyanii sistemy nadvidovykh taksonov Kaynozoysskikh bentosnykh foraminifer (On an up-to-date system of supraspecific taxonomy of Cenozoic benthonic foraminifera), *Institut Okeanologii P. P. Shirrshova, Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Moscow*, 73 p.
- Schafer, C.T., 1973. distribution of foraminifera near pollution sources in Chaleur Bay. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, **2**, pp. 219 - 233.
- Schafer, C.T. and Cole, F.E., 1974. Distribution of benthonic foraminifera: their use in delimiting local nearshore environments. *Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 74-30*, **1**, pp. 103 - 108.
- Schafer, C.T., Collins, E.S. and Smith, J.N., 1991. Relationships of Foraminifera and thecamoebian distributions to sediments contaminated by pulp mill effluent: Saguenay Fyord, Quebec, Canada. *Marine Micropaleontology*, **17**, pp. 255 - 283.
- Schafer, C.T., Wagner, F.J.E. and Ferguson, C., 1975. Occurrence of foraminifera, molluscs and ostracods adjacent to the industrialized shoreline of Canso Strait, Nova Scotia. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, **5**, pp. 79 - 96.
- Schönborn, W., 1962. Über Planktismus und Zyklomorpose bei *Diffugia limnetica* (Lavender) Penard. *Limnologica*, **VI**, pp. 21 - 34.
- _____. 1984. Studies on remains of Testacea in cores of the Great Woryty Lake (NE-Poland), *Limnologica*, (Berlin), **16**, pp. 185 - 190.
- Schouteden, H., 1906. Les Rhizopodes testacés d'eau douce d'après la Monographie du Prof. A. Awerintzew. *Annales de Biologie lacustre*, **1(3)**, pp. 327 - 382.

- Schultze, F.E., 1877, Rhizopodenstudien VI, *Archiv fuer Mikroskopisvhe Anatomie*, **13**, pp. 9 - 30.
- Scott, D.B., 1977, Distributions and population dynamics of marsh-estuarine Foraminifera with applications to relocation Holocene sea-level: *Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia. Ph.D. thesis*, 252 p.
- Scott, D.B. and Medioli, F.S., 1980a, Quantitative studies of marsh foraminiferal distributions in Nova Scotia: their implications for the study of sea level changes. *Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research. Special Publication 17*, 58 p.
- _____. 1980b, Living vs. total foraminiferal populations: their relative usefulness in paleoecology, *Journal of Paleontology*, **54**, pp. 814 - 834.
- _____. 1983, Testate rhizopods in Lake Erie: modern distribution and stratigraphic implications, *Journal of Paleontology*, **57**, pp. 809 - 820.
- Scott, D.B., Medioli, F.S. and Miller, A.A.L., 1987, Holocene sea levels, paleoceanography, and late glacial ice configuration near the Northumberland Strait, Maritime Provinces, *Canadian Journal of Earth Science*, **24**: pp. 668 - 675.
- Scott, D.B., Medioli, F.S., and Schafer, C.T., 1977, Temporal changes in foraminiferal distributions in Miramichi River estuary, New Brunswick, *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **14**, pp. 1566 - 1587.
- Scott, D.B. and Hermelin, J.O.R., 1993, A device for precision splitting of micropaleontological samples in liquid suspension, *Journal Of Paleontology*, **67**, pp. 151 - 154.
- Scott, D.B., Reinhardt, E.G., McCarthy, F.M.G. and Patterson, R.T., eds., 1998, with

- contributions from Mediolio, F.S., Schafer, C.T., Collins, E.S., Tobin, R. and Asioli, A., Detecting Environmental Effects Using Benthic Foraminifera and Thecamoebians. *GSA Short Course*, Toronto, 118 p.
- Scott, D.B., Schafer, C.T. and Mediolio, F.S., 1980. Eastern Canadian estuarine foraminifera: a framework for comparison. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, **10**, pp. 205 - 234.
- Stein, S.F.N., 1859. Über die ihm aus eigener Untersuchung bekannt gewordenen Süsswässer-Rhizopoden. *Abhandlungen der Koeniglichen Boehmischen Gesellschaften der Wissenschaften*, **5(10)**, pp. 41 - 43.
- Suleymanov, I.S., 1963. Novyy rod i dva novykh vida iz semeystva Ammodiscidae (A new genus and two new species of the family Ammodiscidae). *Doklady Akademii Nauk Uzbekiston SSR. Fanlar Akademiyasining, Tashkent*, **11**, pp. 41 - 43.
- Tessier, A., Fortin, D., Belzile, N., DeVitre, R.R. and Leppard, G.G., 1996, Metal sorption to diagenetic iron and manganese oxyhydroxides and associated organic matter: Narrowing the gap between field and laboratory measurements. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **60(3)**, pp. 387 - 404.
- Thibaudieu, S.A., Mediolio, F.S. and Scott, D.B., 1987. Carboniferous marginal-marine Rhizopods: a morphological comparison with recent correspondents. *Abstracts with Programs, Geological Society of America, Annual Meeting, Phoenix*, 866 p.
- Tipping, E., Thompson, D.W. and Davidson, W., 1984, Oxidation products of Mn(II) in lake waters. *Chemical Geology*, **44**, 359 - 383.
- Todd, R. and Brönnimann, P., 1957. Recent Foraminifera and Thecamoebina from the

- eastern Gulf of Paria. *Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research, Special Publication 3*, pp. 1 - 43.
- van Everdingen, R.O., 1971, Surface-water composition in southern Manitoba reflecting discharge of saline subsurface waters and subsurface solution of evaporites, *Geological Association Of Canada Special Paper 9*, pp. 343 - 352.
- Vilks, G., Schafer, C.T. and Walker, D.A., 1975, Influence of a causeway on Oceanography and foraminifera in the Strait of Canso, Nova Scotia, *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **12**, pp. 2086 - 2102.
- Wallich, g.c., 1864, On the extent, and some of the principal causes, of structural variation among the difflugian rhizopods, *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, series 3, **13**, pp. 215 - 245.
- Wadien, R., 1984, Geochemistry and hydrogeology of saline spring waters of the Winnipegosis area, southwestern Manitoba, *Bachelor Thesis, University Of Manitoba*, Winnipeg, Manitoba, 63 p.
- Westrop, S.R. and Cuggy, M.B., 1999, Comparative paleoecology of Cambrian trilobite extinctions, *Journal of Paleontology*, **73(2)**, pp. 337 - 354.

Figure 2-1. Map showing the location of Dawson Bay in northern Lake Winnipegosis.
Manitoba.

Figure 2-2. Map showing the locations of sample Stations in Steeprock Bay and Steeprock Lagoon.

Figure 2-3. Map showing the locations of sample Stations in German Lake and Sausage Lake.

Figure 2-4. Map showing the locations of sample Stations in Salt River Bay.

Figure 2-5. Map showing the locations of sample Stations in Point River Bay.

Figure 2-6. R-mode vs. Q-mode cluster diagram showing abundances for arcellaceans and foraminifera with assemblage relationships in Dawson Bay. Red circle indicates live arcellaceans or foraminifera within the population abundance (after Westrop and Cuggy, 1999).

Figure 2-7. Combined Q-mode and R-mode hierarchical diagram for chemical species in Dawson Bay, for both bottom waters and sediment at the sediment-water interface (after Westrop and Cuggy, 1999).

Table 2-1. Physical data at sample Stations in Dawson Bay including depth, pH, oxygen content, salinity and temperature. No data was available for variables indicated by "--".

Station		Depth (metres)	pH	Oxygen (mg/L)	Salinity (‰)	Temperature (°C)
1997	STR1	1.0	-	-	-	-
	STR2	2.0	-	-	-	-
	STR3	3.0	-	-	-	-
	STR4	3.0	-	-	-	-
	STR5	1.5	-	-	-	-
	STR6	5.0	-	-	-	-
	STR7	2.0	-	-	-	-
	PRB8	3.0	-	-	-	-
	PRB9	2.0	-	-	-	-
	PRB11	3.0	8.6	9.6	-	13.3
	PRB12	2.0	6.1	11.5	-	12.6
	PRB13	3.0	4.6	9.6	-	13.6
	PRB14	4.0	5.1	9.4	-	13.4
	PRB15	4.0	4.9	8.4	-	13.6
	PRB16	4.0	6.3	9.1	-	13.8
	PRB17	4.5	5.9	10.1	-	13.9
	PRB18	5.0	5.8	10.0	-	13.9
	PRB19	6.0	5.9	9.6	-	14.1
1998	GL20	0.2	8.2	8.5	1	17.0
	GL23	1.8	8.6	8.6	3	17.0
	GL24	1.8	8.3	8.6	3	17.0
	SL22	0.2	8.0	9.0	6	17.0
	SL25	1.2	7.7	7.2	7	16.6
	SL26	1.2	7.8	7.2	6	16.6
	STL27	1.2	7.8	5.3	2	16.5
	STL28	2.7	7.7	6.9	4	16.7
	PRB29	1.2	7.9	9.3	2	15.3
	PRB30	2.8	8.3	9.4	1	15.0
	PRB31	3.4	8.3	9.3	0	15.2
	PRB32	4.0	7.0	9.3	0	15.2
	PRB33	3.4	6.9	9.4	0	15.1
	PRB34	4.3	7.0	9.3	0	15.3
	PRB35	1.8	7.0	9.4	0	15.0
	PRB36	2.1	7.0	5.6	0	15.6
	SRB37	0.9	7.0	9.6	0	14.0
	SRB38	1.2	6.9	9.2	0	15.1
	SRB39	2.0	6.9	9.3	1	15.2
	SRB40	2.4	6.9	9.4	0	15.7
	SRB41	3.0	7.0	9.2	0	15.6
	SRB42	3.7	6.9	9.5	0	15.7
	SRB43	3.7	6.9	9.2	0	15.8
	SRB44	4.3	6.9	2.7	0	15.8
	SRB45	1.2	6.9	6.5	0	12.8
	SRB46	2.4	6.9	6.5	2	12.9
	SRB47	3.0	7.0	3.0	1	14.5
	SRB48	3.7	7.0	3.0	0	14.5
	STR49	0.0	6.8	-	57	-
	STR50	2.7	7.3	4.5	3	14.5
	STR51	1.5	7.2	7.5	1	14.5
	STR52	1.5	7.2	5.9	1	15.4
	STR53	1.2	7.2	6.6	0	14.9
	STR54	0.9	6.8	8.6	60	13.6

Table 2-2. Chemical analysis of sediment and water samples from selected Stations in Dawson Bay (after Moore, 1991)

<i>Chemical Species</i>	<i>Bottom water (mg/L)</i>						<i>Sediment (µg/g)</i>						<i>MDL</i>	<i>CG (µg/g)</i>
<i>Stations</i>	23	25	27	29	37		23	25	27	29	37	49		
Aluminum	0.06	0.34	0.6	0.14	0.1	0.05	11100	4360	9930	3430	1240	245	1	
Ammonia (N)					0.07	0.01								2.5
Arsenic							4.9	0.8	2.8	1.4	0.3	66.7	1	50
Barium	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.01	77	40	66	60	41	16	1	1000
Boron	0.03	0.34	0.13	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.41	1.42	1.43	1.45	0.47	4.68	0.02	5000
Calcium	26.5	97.3	66.7	56.3	56.5	1.0								
Chloride	19.9	2063	679	211	221	1.0	46	16860	3852	2962	193	6054	4	
Chromium							23	10	21	9	6		1	2
Copper							14	8	15	6		2	1	0.2 - 0.4
Iron	0.11	0.27	0.59	0.12	0.2	0.01	15500	5320	17200	6770	1805	24	2	3000 - 1000
Manganese	0.031	0.026	0.097	0.034	0.022	0.005	121	163	480	374	465	129	1	
Magnesium	12.5	53.1	21.8	21.1	21.4	1.0								
Phosphorous														
Potassium	1.3	48	16.5	9.5	9.9	1.0	408	286	630	728	207	1815	20	
Sodium	13.7	1300	448	143	153	1.0								
Strontium	0.04	1.02	0.48	0.24	0.25	0.01	37	535	175	740	331	403	1	
Sulphate	3.2	161	67.5	48.7	49.3	1.0								
Zinc	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	93	27	54	22	2	64	2	30

Table 2-3. Total Stations and Species investigated in Dawson Bay.

Site	Stations	Insignificant Stations	Total Species	Insignificant Species	Diversity
Steeprock Bay	13	1	29	3	1.27 - 2.36
Steeprock Lagoon	2	-	25	2	2.06 - 2.18
German Lake	3	-	17	-	0.59 - 1.79
Sausage Lake	3	-	9	1	0.21 - 0.80
Salt River Bay	12	1	20	1	0.00 - 1.84
Point River Bay	19	-	24	1	0.01 - 2.02
Dawson Bay	52	1	29	3	0.56

Table 2-4. Taxonomic unit counts, Shannon Diversity, Abundances and Standard Error for sample stations in Dawson Bay.

Station Taxonomic Counts Diversity	SB1 199 1.701	SB2 535 1.783	SB3 595 2.181	SB4 523 2.254	SB5 59 1.274	SB6 539 2.365	SB7 210 1.344
<i>Arcella vulgaris</i>	0.111	0.022	0.010	0.011	0.068	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.044	0.013	0.008	0.009	0.064	0.004	0.000
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "aculeata"	0.342	0.292	0.091	0.042	0.085	0.030	0.124
standard error =	0.066	0.039	0.023	0.017	0.071	0.014	0.045
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "discoides"	0.126	0.090	0.020	0.002	0.119	0.020	0.057
standard error =	0.046	0.024	0.011	0.004	0.083	0.012	0.031
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "aerophila"	0.000	0.021	0.047	0.050	0.017	0.061	0.014
standard error =	0.000	0.012	0.017	0.019	0.033	0.020	0.016
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "constricta"	0.070	0.034	0.131	0.331	0.136	0.130	0.062
standard error =	0.036	0.015	0.027	0.040	0.087	0.028	0.033
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "spinosa"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Cribrorhynchium gunter</i>	0.010	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.288	0.000	0.510
standard error =	0.014	0.004	0.005	0.000	0.116	0.000	0.068
<i>Curcubittella tricuspis</i>	0.040	0.123	0.111	0.050	0.017	0.139	0.005
standard error =	0.027	0.028	0.025	0.019	0.033	0.029	0.009
<i>Diffugia bacillarum</i>	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.004	0.000
<i>Diffugia bidens</i>	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia corona</i>	0.241	0.241	0.129	0.107	0.000	0.020	0.081
standard error =	0.059	0.036	0.027	0.027	0.000	0.034	0.037
<i>Diffugia fragosa</i>	0.005	0.007	0.003	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.010	0.007	0.005	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia globulus</i>	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "bryophila"	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.017	0.000	0.006	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.011	0.000	0.006	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "gigas"	0.000	0.009	0.005	0.008	0.000	0.007	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.008	0.006	0.007	0.000	0.007	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "lanceolata"	0.000	0.002	0.013	0.008	0.000	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.009	0.007	0.000	0.004	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "linearis"	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "oblonga"	0.005	0.007	0.034	0.034	0.000	0.045	0.000
standard error =	0.010	0.007	0.014	0.016	0.000	0.017	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "spinosa"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "tenuis"	0.000	0.024	0.165	0.185	0.017	0.078	0.014
standard error =	0.000	0.013	0.030	0.033	0.033	0.023	0.016
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "triangularis"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "acuminata"	0.025	0.013	0.027	0.010	0.000	0.022	0.000
standard error =	0.022	0.010	0.013	0.008	0.000	0.012	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "amphoralis"	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.023	0.000	0.011	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.005	0.013	0.000	0.009	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "claviformis"	0.000	0.000	0.025	0.025	0.000	0.048	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.013	0.000	0.018	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "elongata"	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.027	0.000	0.048	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.014	0.000	0.018	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "urceolata"	0.020	0.015	0.029	0.025	0.000	0.043	0.024
standard error =	0.019	0.010	0.013	0.013	0.000	0.017	0.021
<i>Lagenodiffugia vas</i>	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.023	0.000	0.087	0.033
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.013	0.000	0.024	0.024
<i>Nebella collaris</i>	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Station Taxonomic Counts Diversity	SB50 523 2.337	SB51 537 2.056	SB52 612 1.604	SB53 539 1.559	SB27 540 2.061	SB28 556 2.176	SB49 0 0
<i>Arcella vulgaris</i>	0.042	0.022	0.031	0.035	0.089	0.074	0.000
standard error =	0.017	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.024	0.022	0.000
<i>Centropyxis aculeata "aculeata"</i>	0.216	0.276	0.222	0.299	0.278	0.318	0.000
standard error =	0.035	0.038	0.033	0.039	0.038	0.039	0.000
<i>Centropyxis aculeata "discoides"</i>	0.031	0.056	0.034	0.056	0.094	0.049	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.019	0.014	0.019	0.025	0.018	0.000
<i>Centropyxis constricta "aerophila"</i>	0.054	0.069	0.039	0.069	0.026	0.047	0.000
standard error =	0.019	0.021	0.015	0.021	0.013	0.018	0.000
<i>Centropyxis constricta "constricta"</i>	0.090	0.037	0.041	0.007	0.006	0.050	0.000
standard error =	0.025	0.016	0.016	0.007	0.006	0.012	0.000
<i>Centropyxis constricta "spinosa"</i>	0.004	0.000	0.013	0.013	0.000	0.020	0.000
standard error =	0.005	0.000	0.009	0.010	0.000	0.009	0.000
<i>Cribrorhynchium gunteri</i>	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.011	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.009	0.000
<i>Curcubotella tricuspidis</i>	0.103	0.030	0.056	0.030	0.065	0.011	0.000
standard error =	0.026	0.014	0.018	0.014	0.021	0.018	0.000
<i>Diffugia bacillarum</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.005	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia bidens</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia corona</i>	0.201	0.268	0.431	0.295	0.174	0.088	0.000
standard error =	0.034	0.037	0.039	0.039	0.032	0.024	0.000
<i>Diffugia fragosa</i>	0.002	0.017	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.011	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia globulus</i>	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.006	0.004	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "bryopsis"</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.046	0.014	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.010	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "glans"</i>	0.002	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "lanceolata"</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "linearis"</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.002	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.004	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "oblonga"</i>	0.033	0.069	0.010	0.009	0.012	0.076	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.021	0.008	0.008	0.027	0.022	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "spinosa"</i>	0.023	0.019	0.002	0.000	0.011	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.013	0.011	0.003	0.000	0.009	0.004	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "tenuis"</i>	0.023	0.032	0.003	0.007	0.007	0.038	0.000
standard error =	0.013	0.015	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.020	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "triangularis"</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis "acuminata"</i>	0.023	0.004	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.020	0.000
standard error =	0.013	0.005	0.003	0.000	0.005	0.012	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis "amphoratus"</i>	0.034	0.009	0.016	0.015	0.000	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.016	0.008	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.004	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis "claviformis"</i>	0.044	0.019	0.000	0.002	0.022	0.038	0.000
standard error =	0.018	0.011	0.000	0.004	0.012	0.016	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata "elongata"</i>	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.000	0.007	0.005	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.007	0.006	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata "urceolata"</i>	0.020	0.015	0.029	0.006	0.011	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.019	0.010	0.013	0.006	0.009	0.000	0.000
<i>Lagenodiffugia was</i>	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.050	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.000
<i>Nebella collaris</i>	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000

Station Taxonomic Counts Diversity	SB54 180 2.061	SB20 217 1.788	SB23 135 0.587	SB24 240 1.039	SB22 25 0.208	SB25 91 0.801	SB26 28 0
<i>Arcella vulgaris</i>	0.033	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.036
standard error =	0.026	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.069
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "aculeata"	0.256	0.253	0.037	0.021	0.040	0.022	0.036
standard error =	0.064	0.058	0.032	0.018	0.077	0.030	0.069
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "discoides"	0.006	0.101	0.022	0.021	0.080	0.011	0.000
standard error =	0.011	0.040	0.025	0.018	0.106	0.064	0.000
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "aerophila"	0.000	0.161	0.007	0.033	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.049	0.014	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "constricta"	0.000	0.041	0.022	0.050	0.040	0.011	0.036
standard error =	0.000	0.027	0.025	0.028	0.077	0.021	0.069
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "spinosa"	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.017	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Cribrorhynchium gunteri</i>	0.000	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Curcubittella tricuspis</i>	0.006	0.000	0.007	0.004	0.040	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.011	0.000	0.014	0.008	0.077	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia bacillarum</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia bidens</i>	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia corona</i>	0.028	0.203	0.119	0.158	0.076	0.505	0.107
standard error =	0.024	0.053	0.055	0.046	0.167	0.103	0.115
<i>Diffugia fragosa</i>	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.088	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.058	0.000
<i>Diffugia globulus</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "hryophila"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "glans"	0.000	0.018	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.018	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "lanceolata"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "linearis"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "oblonga"	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "spinosa"	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.036
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.069
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "tenuis"	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "triangularis"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "acuminata"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "amphoralis"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "claviformis"	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "elongata"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "urceolata"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Lagenodiffugia vas</i>	0.000	0.166	0.756	0.692	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.049	0.072	0.058	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Nebella collaris</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Station Taxonomic Counts Diversity	SRB37 20 0.95	SRB38 136 0.963	SRB39 66 0.864	SRB40 50 0.96	SRB41 9 0.333	SRB42 4 0.5	SRB44 5 0.6	SRB45 26 0.962	SRB46 494 0.979	SRB47 12 0.583	SRB48 28 0.643
<i>Arcella vulgaris</i>	0.000	0.000	0.030	0.000	0.222	0.250	0.200	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.041	0.000	0.272	0.424	0.351	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "aculeata"	0.000	0.103	0.303	0.540	0.111	0.250	0.600	0.038	0.328	0.333	0.107
standard error =	0.000	0.051	0.111	0.138	0.205	0.424	0.429	0.074	0.041	0.267	0.115
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "discoidea"	0.000	0.007	0.015	0.040	0.333	0.500	0.200	0.000	0.028	0.083	0.071
standard error =	0.000	0.014	0.029	0.054	0.308	0.490	0.351	0.000	0.015	0.156	0.095
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "aerophila"	0.000	0.088	0.165	0.222	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.140	0.167	0.143
standard error =	0.000	0.048	0.090	0.115	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.031	0.211	0.130
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "constricta"	0.000	0.029	0.045	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.051	0.167	0.143
standard error =	0.000	0.028	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.019	0.211	0.130
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "spinosa"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.000	0.000
<i>Cribroelphidium gunteri</i>	0.950	0.529	0.152	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.962	0.038	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.096	0.084	0.087	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.074	0.017	0.000	0.000
<i>Curcubittella tricuspis</i>	0.000	0.007	0.076	0.000	0.222	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.045	0.000	0.071
standard error =	0.000	0.014	0.064	0.000	0.272	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.000	0.095
<i>Diffugia bacilliarum</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia cornu</i>	0.000	0.213	0.165	0.200	0.111	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.269	0.250	0.214
standard error =	0.000	0.069	0.090	0.111	0.205	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.039	0.245	0.152
<i>Diffugia fragosa</i>	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.096	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia globulus</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.036
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.069
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "hryophila"	0.000	0.007	0.045	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.014	0.050	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "glans"	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "oblonga"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "tenuis"	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.022	0.000	0.143
standard error =	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.130
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "claviformis"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.071
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.095
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "elongata"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "urceolata"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.000
<i>Lagenodiffugia vas</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000

Station Taxonomic Counts Diversity	PRB8 516 1.453	PRB9 497 1.752	PRB11 316 0.640	PRB12 286 0.298	PRB13 438 1.461	PRB14 693 0.159	PRB15 636 0.006	PRB16 432 0.302	PRB17 107 0.945	PRB18 69 1.778
<i>Arcella vulgaris</i>	0.002	0.002	0.009	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014
standard error =	0.004	0.004	0.011	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
<i>Centropyxis aculeata "aculeata"</i>	0.095	0.123	0.038	0.031	0.151	0.022	0.000	0.03	0.187	0.232
standard error =	0.025	0.029	0.021	0.02	0.034	0.011	0.000	0.016	0.074	0.1
<i>Centropyxis aculeata "discoides"</i>	0.033	0.068	0.022	0.007	0.046	0.009	0.005	0.016	0.196	0.203
standard error =	0.015	0.022	0.016	0.01	0.02	0.007	0.005	0.012	0.075	0.095
<i>Centropyxis constricta "aerophila"</i>	0.052	0.046	0.013	0.01	0.087	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.019	0.145
standard error =	0.019	0.018	0.012	0.012	0.026	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.026	0.083
<i>Centropyxis constricta "constricta"</i>	0.037	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.087
standard error =	0.016	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.066
<i>Centropyxis constricta "spinosa"</i>	0.004	0.002	0.013	0.003	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.005	0.004	0.012	0.007	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Cribroelphidium gunteri</i>	0.591	0.495	0.826	0.916	0.457	0.964	0.994	0.935	0.589	0.159
standard error =	0.042	0.044	0.042	0.032	0.047	0.014	0.006	0.023	0.093	0.086
<i>Curculitella tricuspidis</i>	0.006	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.058
standard error =	0.007	0.004	0.006	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.055
<i>Diffugia bidens</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
<i>Diffugia corona</i>	0.017	0.04	0.057	0.031	0.205	0.003	0.002	0.016	0.000	0.058
standard error =	0.011	0.017	0.026	0.02	0.038	0.004	0.003	0.012	0.000	0.055
<i>Diffugia fragosa</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia globulus</i>	0.000	0.006	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.007	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "bryophila"</i>	0.079	0.085	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014
standard error =	0.023	0.024	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
<i>Diffugia oblonga "glans"</i>	0.01	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.008	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "lanceolata"</i>	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "oblonga"</i>	0.006	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014
standard error =	0.007	0.01	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028
<i>Diffugia oblonga "spinosa"</i>	0.002	0.012	0.03	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.01	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga "tenuis"</i>	0.017	0.022	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.011	0.013	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis "acuminata"</i>	0.006	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.007	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis "amphoralis"</i>	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis "claviformis"</i>	0.01	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.008	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata "elongata"</i>	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata "urceolata"</i>	0.027	0.014	0.009	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.014	0.01	0.011	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Lagenodiffugia vas</i>	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000

Station Taxonomic Counts Diversity	PRB19 132 2.018	PRB29 443 0.962	PRB30 606 0.162	PRB31 343 0.224	PRB32 65 1.070	PRB33 83 0.797	PRB34 161 0.128	PRB35 401 1.465	PRB36 435 0.848
<i>Arcella vulgaris</i>	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.025	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.024	0.005	0.000
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "aculeata"	0.136	0.077	0.012	0.029	0.108	0.120	0.006	0.120	0.044
standard error =	0.059	0.025	0.009	0.018	0.075	0.070	0.012	0.032	0.019
<i>Centropyxis aculeata</i> "discoidea"	0.053	0.032	0.008	0.009	0.138	0.048	0.006	0.035	0.000
standard error =	0.038	0.016	0.007	0.010	0.084	0.046	0.012	0.018	0.000
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "aerophila"	0.091	0.065	0.008	0.015	0.123	0.060	0.000	0.117	0.087
standard error =	0.049	0.023	0.007	0.013	0.080	0.051	0.000	0.031	0.027
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "constricta"	0.258	0.014	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.047	0.060
standard error =	0.075	0.011	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.021	0.022
<i>Centropyxis constricta</i> "spinosa"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000
<i>Cribrorhynchium gunteri</i>	0.008	0.731	0.969	0.939	0.615	0.735	0.963	0.489	0.763
standard error =	0.015	0.041	0.014	0.025	0.118	0.095	0.029	0.049	0.040
<i>Curculionella tricuspidis</i>	0.045	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.007	0.002
standard error =	0.036	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.023	0.000	0.008	0.005
<i>Diffugia biuensis</i>	0.045	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia corona</i>	0.114	0.072	0.000	0.009	0.015	0.012	0.000	0.142	0.009
standard error =	0.054	0.024	0.000	0.010	0.030	0.023	0.000	0.034	0.009
<i>Diffugia fragosa</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
<i>Diffugia globulus</i>	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "breviphila"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.021
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.013
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "glans"	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "lanceolata"	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "oblonga"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.002
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.005
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "spinosa"	0.068	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005
standard error =	0.043	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006
<i>Diffugia oblonga</i> "tenuis"	0.053	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.000
standard error =	0.038	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "acuminata"	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.015	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "amphoratus"	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia protaeiformis</i> "claviformis"	0.023	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.025	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "elongata"	0.053	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007
standard error =	0.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008
<i>Diffugia urceolata</i> "urceolata"	0.023	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
standard error =	0.025	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>Lagenodiffugia vas</i>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000
standard error =	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000

Table 2-5. Population abundances of arcellaceans and foraminifera with respect to diversity in Dawson Bay.

Site	Diversity	<i>Cribroelphidium gunteri</i>	Centropxyxids	Diffugids	<i>Lagenodifflugia vas</i>
Steeprock Bay	low	0.39 - 0.55	0.26 - 0.77	0.00 - 0.11	0.04
	moderate	0.00 - 0.02	0.25 - 0.56	0.22 - 0.51	0.01 - 0.08
German Lake	low	0.00	0.04 - 0.14	0.12 - 0.15	0.69 - 0.76
	moderate	0.00 - 0.02	0.56	0.22	0.17
Sausage Lake	low	0.00	0.00 - 0.15	0.43 - 0.79	0.00
	moderate	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Salt River Bay	low	0.15 - 0.96	0.00 - 0.47	0.00 - 0.36	0.00
	moderate	0.04	0.56	0.34	0.00
Point River Bay	low	0.46 - 0.99	0.00 - 0.40	0.00 - 0.22	0.00
	moderate	0.01 - 0.50	0.28 - 0.67	0.10 - 0.41	0.00
SS23	low	0.00	0.77	0.08	0.00
	moderate	-	-	-	-

Table 2-6. Assemblages in Dawson Bay, based on the results of multivariate analysis.

Site	Assemblage	Diversity	% <i>Cirriroelphidium gunteri</i>	% <i>Centropysids</i>	% <i>Diffugiids</i>	% <i>Lagenodiffugia vas</i>
Point River Bay	<i>Cirriroelphidium</i>	0.01 - 1.0	0.73 - 0.99	0.00 - 0.18	0.00 - 0.07	0.00
	Centropysid	0.95 - 1.75	0.46 - 0.59	0.22 - 0.38	0.00 - 0.41	0.00
	Moderate Diversity	1.8	0.16	0.67	0.06	0.00
	Diffugia	2.1	0.00	0.52	0.32	0.00
Steeprock Bay	Centropysid	1.5 - 1.6	0.35 - 0.51	0.24 - 0.42	0.00 - 0.46	0.09
	Moderate Diversity	1.7 - 2.3	0.00 - 0.02	0.38 - 0.61	0.07 - 0.49	0.00 - 0.06
	Diffugia corona	1.7	0.00	0.37	0.49	0.01
	Diffugia	2.3 - 2.4	0.00	0.25 - 0.42	0.51 - 0.60	0.01 - 0.09
German Lake	Lagenodiffugia	0.6 - 1.0	0.00	0.04 - 0.14	0.12 - 0.16	0.69 - 0.76
	Moderate Diversity	0.18	0.02	0.56	0.22	0.17
	Diffugia corona	0.09 - 0.80	0.00	0.00 - 0.15	0.43 - 0.79	0.00
	Cirriroelphidium	0.07 - 0.57	0.73 - 0.93	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sausage Lake	Centropysid	1.2	0.53	0.21	0.21	0.00
	Moderate Diversity	0.31 - 1.83	0.00 - 0.15	0.27 - 0.76	0.00 - 0.34	0.00
	Diffugia	1.2	0.00	0.29	0.36	0.00

Table 2-7. Major ion concentrations in Dawson Bay compared to freshwater and seawater (after Morel and others, 1993; *McKillop and others, 1992).

Ion	Freshwater (mg/L)	Dawson Bay (mg/L)	Marine (mg/L)
HCO ₃ ⁻	52.47	*120 - 438	145.22
SO ₄ ²⁻	6.63	3.2 - 161	2708.78
Cl ⁻	5.67	19.9 - 2063	19321.88
Ca ²⁺	13.23	26.5 - 97.3	408.79
Mg ²⁺	3.65	12.5 - 53.1	1293.03
Na ⁺	5.29	13.7 - 1300	10759.32
K ⁺	1.17	1.3 - 48	398.79

Plate 2-1. 1. *Arcella vulgaris* Ehrenberg, 1830; x136. 2 - 3. *Centropyxis aculeata* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "aculeata"; 2. Apertural view with few spines; x172. 3. Apertural view with six spines; x221. 4. *Centropyxis aculeata* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "discoides"; x164. 5 - 7. *Centropyxis constricta* (Ehrenberg, 1843) strain "aerophila"; 5. Apertural view; x155. 6. 3/4 view; x174; 7. Side view; x243. 8. *Centropyxis constricta* (Ehrenberg, 1843) strain "constricta"; x158. 9. *Centropyxis constricta* (Ehrenberg, 1843) strain "spinosa"; x182. 10. *Diffugia bidens* Penard, 1902; x142. 11 - 12. *Diffugia corona* Wallich, 1864; 11. Specimen showing spines; x187. 12. Close up of crenulated aperture; x436. 13. *Diffugia fragosa* Hempel, 1898; x162. 14 - 15. *Diffugia oblonga* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "bryophila"; 14. Side view; x104. 12. Side view; x110. 16 - 18. *Diffugia oblonga* (Penard, 1902) strain "glans"; 16. Side view; x 124. 17. Side view; x 169. 18. Apertural view; x159. 19. *Diffugia oblonga* (Penard, 1890) strain "lanceolata"; x264. 20 - 21. *Diffugia oblonga* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "oblonga"; 20. Apertural view; x135. 21. Side view; x79. 22 - 23. *Diffugia oblonga* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "spinosa"; 22. Side view; x91. 23. Apertural view; x119. 24 - 25. *Diffugia oblonga* (Ehrenberg, 1832) strain "tenuis"; 24. Side view; x96. 25. Side view; x101. 26. *Diffugia protaeiformis* (Lamarck, 1816) strain "acuminata"; x122. 27 - 29. *Diffugia protaeiformis* (Lamarck, 1816) strain "claviformis"; 27. Side view; x93. 28. Side view; x104. 29. Side view; x94.

1 2 3 4 5

6 7 8 9 10

11 12 13 14 15 16

17 18 19 20 21 22

23 24 25 26 27 28 29

Plate 2-1

.Plate 2-2. 1. *Diffflugia urceolata* (Carter, 1864) strain "elongata"; x99. 2 - 3. *Diffflugia urceolata* (Carter, 1864) strain "urceolata": 31. Side view; x122. 32. Side view; x101. 4 - 7. *Lagenodiffflugia vas* Leidy, 1874: 33. Side view; x121. 34. Side view; x130. 35. Side view; x115. 36. Apertural view; x139. 8 - 10. *Cucurbitella tricuspis* (Carter, 1856); 37. Side view; x146. 38. Attached to a branch; x150. 39. Apertural view; x200. 11 - 15. *Criboelphidium gunteri* (Cole, 1931). 40. Side view of a convoluted specimen; x141. 41. Side view; x121. 42. Side view; x92. 43. Side view; x113. 44. Side view; x73. 16 - 17. *Annectina viriosa* Patterson and McKillop, 1991: 45. Corroded specimen; x82. 46. Side view; x134.

Plate 2-3. Specimens stained with Rose Bengal biological stain showing globs of protoplasm. **1.** *Cribroelphidium gunteri* (Cole, 1931). **2.** *Annectina viriosa* Patterson and McKillop, 1991. **3.** *Arcella vulgaris* Ehrenberg, 1830.

Plate 23

CONCLUSION

This research has placed a live foraminifera, *Criboelphidium gunteri* (Cole, 1931) into a non-marine, inland setting where previous research had concluded that it was present in the fossil record, but, had not survived the cooler temperatures since the Holocene Hypsithermal warm interval. This is significant because it indicates that this foraminifera is not be restricted to marine environments, or that salt marsh conditions existed when *C. gunteri* was deposited in Point River Bay. This highly adaptable foram is able to adapt to low salt conditions, while being dependant upon a specific range of temperature to reproduce. This research has placed *Criboelphidium gunteri* Cole, 1931 further north than any other known occurrence of this species in the world.

The range of environments presented in this natural laboratory set in northern Lake Winnipegosis, Manitoba, have given us an opportunity to investigate assemblages found elsewhere in a variety of environments. Moderately diverse freshwater assemblages, such as the **Moderate Diversity** and **Diffflugia Assemblages**, were dominated by centropyxids and diffflugids in Steeprock Bay; while brackish environments showed estuarine assemblages, such as ***Criboelphidium* and Centropyxid Assemblages**, and were dominated by *Criboelphidium gunteri* in Point River Bay and Salt River Bay. In addition, some stressed environments had assemblages dominated by opportunistic species, *Lagenodiffflugia* *vas* Leidy, 1874, a high pH indicator represented the ***Lagenodiffflugia* Assemblage** in German Lake. The ***Diffflugia Corona* Assemblage** in

Sausage Lake, dominated by *Diffugia corona* Wallich, 1864, lives in a low diversity, chemically stressed environment.

This research has shown that Dawson Bay includes a variety of environments, found usually in separate geographical settings, that indicate diverse assemblages. Using this study as a baseline, a time line should be investigated in order to determine what assemblages and corresponding environments existed back to the Wisconsin Glaciation.

GLOSSARY

Hostile	High stressed environment with small numbers of species of arcellaceans and or foraminifera due to any number of chemical or physical parameters mentioned in this report
Lake	Northern Lake Winnipegosis in the vicinity of Dawson Bay, including the bays and smaller lakes in this report, unless specified otherwise
Polluted	Any physical or chemical parameter that puts stress on an assemblage and restricts the number of species or taxa within that assemblage
Population	Number of taxa within an assemblage
Taxon	Number of species within an assemblage