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Abstract

This thesis examines three Roman Catholic congregations of women, the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph, and the Sisters of Providence, and the services they provided between 1841 and 1874 in Kingston, Canada West and Ontario. A careful reading of the personal written records of Kingston’s women religious, informed by gender analysis, reveals their role in the building of hospitals, schools, and orphanages, and their dedication to bettering the lives of the disadvantaged and indigent. These contributions aligned with the larger goals of the provincial government and ecclesiastical authorities. Kingston’s women religious established multiple institutions, faced unspeakable risks to their health, and overcame overt anti-Catholicism in carrying out and expanding the city’s social services.
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Introduction

If a person had been walking down Brock Street in Kingston, Canada West, on a dark December evening in 1847, she might have chanced upon a young postulant flying out the doors of the Religious Hospitalisers of Saint Joseph’s monastery. The chimney of the men’s hospital ward was on fire and, dispatched to get help, she ran straight across the street to the Catholic secondary school, college, and seminary, Regiopolis College.\(^1\) She rounded up a group of young men and they hurried back together. The hospital’s new wing had been erected over the past year and was still under construction. The men climbed out the highest window and, after clambering onto the roof and the hospital’s unfinished third floor, managed to douse the fire. Taking further precautions, Father Chrisholm shoved his head through a hole in the ceiling to check for sparks in the garret. Without dormer windows, a ladder, or bench, he was forced to climb up the linen shelves until they collapsed beneath him. Before he and the linen tumbled down, however, he managed to ensure that there were no stray embers. It had already been a long year of crises and, exhausted from their exertions battling the typhus epidemic, the nuns were grateful that their patients, staff, and buildings were safe (Fig. 1.1).\(^2\)

Montréal’s Religious Hospitalisers of Saint Joseph, better known as the Hôtel-Dieu nuns, had arrived in Kingston in 1845 to establish a monastery and hospital at the request of Bishops Gaulin and Phelan. They were not the first group of women religious in the city. The Sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame had been invited by Bishop Gaulin

---


**HÔTEL DIEU AT KINGSTON.**

A Large and Commodious Wing, Three Stories high, is to be erected immediately, by means of Subscribers, and the Donations of the charitable and humane, for the relief and benefit of the

**DESTITUTE SICK OF ALL DENOMINATIONS,**

Upon a Lot of land adjoining the present Hospital, granted by the Executors of the late Right Reverend Bishop McDonell, for that purpose.

Much praise is due to Captain Hunter, the President of the Sailors' Society in this City, for his zeal and generosity in first promoting this useful and Philanthropic undertaking, for the use of Sick and Distressed Sailors, and others. The sum of at least Four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars being required to complete this Building, the Clergy of the Diocese of Kingston, and all the Captains of Steamboats, Vessels, Barges, &c., and all the Foremen of Public Works, are therefore earnestly requested to act as Collectors and Pursers, in their respective departments, and to remit the amount collected for the purpose, with the names of the Subscribers, to the Right Rev. Bishop Phelan, or to any of the Catholic Clergy of Kingston.

The amount of Subscriptions already obtained is as follows, viz:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscriber</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Right Rev. Bishop Phelan</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain Hunter</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. J. Questel, Montreal</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jos. Masson, Do.</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminary of Montreal</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Joseph Comte, Montreal</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Donegan, Esq., Montreal</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Berthelet, Do.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Berthelet, Esq., Do.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Parc, Esq., Do.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcisse Valois, Esq., Do.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Delorme, Do.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Toupin, Do.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. St. Jean, Esq., Do.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Dolagrange, Do.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Aeneas McDonald, Cornwall</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total .................................. $8808

Kingston, July 14, 1846.

[Signature]

---

Fig. 1.1 List of subscribers for the new wing of the Hôtel-Dieu Hospital, Kingston, dated July 14, 1846. Archives of the Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph, St. Joseph Region, Kingston, 84.5/03.007.
to open a school for girls and had arrived in November of 1841. By 1860, Kingston’s subsequent bishop, Edward John Horan, required more sisters to care for the varied needs of the dispossessed. For his diocese, Horan requested Émilie Tavernier Gamelin’s Sisters of Providence, founded less than two decades previously in Montréal. Four sisters took up residence in December of 1861. Legally, they were the Sisters of Charity of the House of Providence, Kingston, but commonly known as the Sisters of Providence.³

This thesis examines three Roman Catholic religious institutes of consecrated women,⁴ the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph, and the Sisters of Providence, who established educational and charitable institutions in mid-nineteenth-century Kingston, Canada West.⁵ This study will explore the type of work the sisters’ performed, how their religious missions intersected with the aims of both the provincial government and ecclesiastical authorities, and how these women religious encountered, and were affected by, obstacles, both real and imagined, between the years 1841 and 1874. These were unique years of nation building in English Canada, and the Catholic Church was determined to play a major part in Canada West’s construction.

---

³ The congregation legally changed its name to the Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul on May 15, 1952, by which name it is currently known.
⁴ For the purposes of clarity and consistency, ‘religious institutes,’ that is, groups of canonically approved women religious, will be referred to as ‘congregations’ throughout this thesis. Technically, the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph were cloistered nuns, and the Notre-Dame and Sisters of Providence, because their ministry meant they were active in the world, were considered sisters. Again, for the sake of clarity, they will be grouped together as ‘sisters’ or ‘women religious’ unless the discussion centers on the Hôtel-Dieu nuns. In the nineteenth-century, confusion often reigned among the public, and all female religious were referred to as either nuns or sisters, and their homes called convents, nunneries, or monasteries. For an explanation of technical terms used to describe religious life in the Roman Catholic Church, see Michel Thériault, The Institutes of Consecrated Life in Canada From the Beginning of New France up to the Present: Historical Notes and References (Ottawa: National Library of Canada, 1980), 13–44.
⁵ Between 1841 and 1867, Canada West formed one half of the Province of Canada, which until 1840 had been Upper Canada. In 1867, Canada West became the Province of Ontario.
Catholic sisters helped shape the mix of public and private charitable aid broadly available during this period in Canada’s history.\(^6\)

In 1841, Kingston was named the new capital of Canada West, and the city’s future appeared bright. The shine quickly faded. The following decades were marked by decline: the loss of the designation as capital in 1844, the weakening shipping industry with the emergence of the railroad, and the loss of residents to Toronto as locals moved in search of job opportunities.\(^7\) Coupled with a lack of immigration, growth slowed. In these same years, however, Catholic women religious made a lasting impact on the City of Kingston through their institution building. Sisters and nuns responded to social needs on several fronts: education, healthcare, and a variety of related services. They cared for orphaned children and the elderly, provided financial support to the most economically vulnerable, and visited incarcerated women. Their success can be partly attributed to the generosity of their social networks, which were interwoven with other religious congregations, friends, family, benefactors, local community members, Catholic priests and prelates, and transnational colleagues. The individuals connected to these religious congregations and the City of Kingston provided the financial assistance that enabled the sisters’ charitable endeavors when their income was insufficient.

---


Yet, Catholic women religious earned their own income, fundraised, and went on ‘begging tours.’ The Church’s evangelical mission provided sisters admission into public spaces usually perceived as morally suspect for respectable women. It was common practice for sisters to go door-to-door on ‘begging tours’ in unknown town and cities, sometimes for weeks at a time, collecting money and goods to aid their charitable work. Had other “respectable” women engaged in similar endeavours, they would have been declared vagrants. Empowered, intrepid, and hardworking, sisters affected societal change and were equally affected by their environment and society. Religious life offered other opportunities to nineteenth-century Ontario women. Sisters avoided unhappy marriages with little chance of annulment or divorce, the uncertainties of childbirth, the need to care for aging parents, or being shuttled between relatives. At a time when less than 10% of English Canadian women remained single, a woman’s ceremonial entrance into the novitiate signaled the beginning of a spiritual union with Jesus Christ, and an attendant boost in social standing among Catholics.

The work performed by married, single, and widowed women in mid-nineteenth-century Ontario was diverse, but generally badly paid and often unrecognized. Women were employed as washerwomen, charwomen, domestic servants, dressmakers, seamstresses, milliners, and teachers. They also worked caring for children, and sick or

---

8 Women who wanted their marriages dissolved by the Catholic Church, required an annulment, which was possible if there was evidence of bigamy or an unfulfilled marriage contract. See Marcel Martel, *Canada the Good: A Short History of Vice Since 1500* (Waterloo, Ontario: Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 2014), 36–7. Women who preferred to go through the secular courts could attempt a divorce, but royal assent was required, and the first divorce granted in Upper Canada was in Kingston in 1840. Between 1867 and 1907, only 431 divorces were recorded in Canada. See Elizabeth Abbott, *A History of Marriage* (Toronto: Penguin Canada, 2010), 205–6, 228.

eldest relatives, producing cloth, doing farm labour, cooking, and providing hospitality in boarding houses or inns, even if these jobs were unpaid and not captured in the 1851 census. Much changed in Ontario following Confederation, including a broad shift towards industrial manufacturing and new paid employment opportunities for women.

Nursing and teaching evolved into largely female professions in the late nineteenth-century, and the professionalization of paid social work, also a largely female profession, followed in the early twentieth-century.

---


Although the retrospective lens of women’s history allows us to appreciate that Roman Catholic sisters and nuns were at the forefront of women’s professions in nineteenth-century Ontario, the period’s virulent anti-Catholic literature depicted vowed women religious “as either half-imbecile, or wasting their lives in useless and frivolous employments.”13 Sisters were condemned for living ‘unnaturally’ outside the domestic sphere and protective guidance of a husband. Without husbands and children, the thinking went, sisters’ lives were disordered. Celibate priests were further depicted as unable to control their sexual urges and, instead of praising women for their chastity as was the norm in other contexts, vowed religious were held out as objects of suspicion. For many non-Catholics, the Church’s approach to sexuality and the convent’s closed doors provoked curiosity and, at times, outright hostility.14

In her essay about Protestantism in mid-nineteenth century Brantford Ontario, Marguerite Van Die suggests: “Nineteenth-century central Canada offers a particularly interesting field for exploring the extent to which religious belief and practice acted as a bridge between public and private life for women.”15 Die argues that “to do justice to women’s influence on nineteenth-century life outside the home, the traditional distinction between the private female domestic sphere and the public male sphere of ‘work’ needs to be redefined to include the public locus of the church.”16 This thesis demonstrates how,

---

through their labours, religious sisters conducted their lives far more in the male public sphere than in the traditionally domestic, and private, female sphere. Numerous scholars have argued that the concept of ‘separate spheres’ and the gendered private-public dichotomy set out by early historians of women is an oversimplification.\textsuperscript{17} In the case of sisters, they used their spiritual and temporal works to interact with men in public spaces. This would not have been deemed respectable for secular women. Moreover, Catholic sisters and nuns were always able to fall back on their vows and the male-led hierarchal structure of the Catholic Church to claim respectability. Through their labour and identity as vowed religious, Kingston’s Catholic sisters and nuns acted as a bridge between the public and private.

This study will explore the opportunities sisters seized on to improve the Kingston diocese and the local community, and illustrate how they changed Kingston. This study extends beyond the dominant themes of the scholarly literature in asking how these congregations of sisters established respected and respectable institutions administrated entirely by women, and how through their work they contributed to Kingston’s social services, furthered politico-societal goals, and moderated anti-Catholic sentiments.

\textbf{Literature Review}

Women’s history in Ontario has developed considerably since the 1980s,\textsuperscript{18} and there has been an increase in scholarship about nuns. Initially, feminist historians were


\textsuperscript{18} For example, see Catherine Carstairs and Nancy Janovicek, eds., \textit{Feminist History in Canada: New Essays on Women, Gender, Work, and Nation} (Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press, 2013).
mainly concerned with restoring nuns to the historical record. More recently, Jan Noel, also a Canadian historian of New France, explained another shift in scholarship:

“Feminists have been studying nuns in recent decades, drawn to the possibilities that these well-organized, financially viable communities offered for feminine self-expression and leadership.” From there, historians traced the history of individual religious congregations and sisters. Elizabeth Rapley, also a Canadian historian of seventeenth and eighteenth-century French women religious, explains that, “It was only in the later twentieth century that historians began to supply the syntheses that treat religious women as members of an identifiable countrywide group, sharing many of the same ideals, objectives, and problems.” More recently, in the past two decades, regional, global, and transnational histories of nuns have been undertaken, including thematic histories tracing sisters’ clothing and work.

Several scholarly studies have examined the contribution Canadian Catholic sisters made to the formal education of young women and children through private and parochial schooling, Canadian healthcare, and the establishment of social services in Canada. In her ground-breaking work, *Taking the Veil: An Alternative to Marriage, Motherhood and Spinsterhood in Quebec, 1840–1920* (1987), Marta Danylewycz argued

---

that convent life, as seen through an analysis of two congregations of Québécois sisters, the Congrégation de Notre-Dame and the Sisters of Misericorde, was a viable option for ambitious young women seeking a life outside of the limited opportunities available to secular women.23 Danylewycz investigated the ways in which women responded to their roles as Catholic sisters and why their work was not valued in comparison to men’s work in nineteenth-century Québec. Her decision to study these two congregations was partly determined by access to the convent’s archives, and available census data from provincial and national records.24

In her multiple studies of vowed women religious, Elizabeth Smyth has written extensively about the influence of sisters and nuns on Canada’s education systems in Ontario and Québec. In “World Within Worlds: Canadian Women Religious, International Connections, Ecclesiastical Webs and the Secular State,” an essay published in 2014, Smyth illustrated how sisters ascended to their positions running (female) single-sex institutions, the importance of their transnational social networks, and their heavy involvement in Canada’s emerging social services, particularly education.25 Smyth’s scholarship consistently represents sisters as pioneers in the professionalization of women’s work.26 In The Congrégation de Notre-Dame, Superiors, and the Paradox of

---

24 Danylewycz, Taking the Veil, 18.
Power, 1693–1796 (2007), Colleen Gray explored the power dynamics of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame during the eighteenth-century. She pointed out how mother superiors were invested with power over their sisters, but their power coexisted with subservience to bishops. Through her analysis of the Montréal Congrégation de Notre-Dame, Gray determined that the sisters were empowered through their structured religious life, despite the restrictive conditions their bishop placed on them, and that this enabled them to maintain their commitment to serve the public.\footnote{Colleen Gray, The Congrégation de Notre-Dame, Superiors, and the Paradox of Power, 1693–1796. (Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2007).} Imbalanced power relations between religious and bishops is neither new nor surprising to historians, and a significant secondary literature exists on the subject.\footnote{For example, see Morrow, Persons of Colour and Religious at the Same Time, 179–205; Leslie L. Liedel, “Indomitable Nuns and an Unruly Bishop: Property Rights and the Grey Nuns’ Defense Against the Arbitrary Use of Diocesan Power in Nineteenth-Century Cleveland,” The Catholic Historical Review 86, 3 (July 2000): 459–79.} In her 2010 article, “Who Counts and Why? Nuns, Work, and the Census of Canada, 1871-2001,” Heidi MacDonald contributed significant research about the impact of Canadian sisters’ work, analyzing Canadian census data, and asking why women religious were omitted from census records. Her research has furthered our understanding of the ways sisters’ work was often devalued and rendered invisible.\footnote{Heidi MacDonald, “Who Counts and Why? Nuns, Work, and the Census of Canada, 1871-2001,” Social History 86 (November 2010): 369–91.}

women religious in Canada is Smyth’s 2007 edited collection of essays, *Changing Habits: Women Religious in Canada.* Although there have been several studies of specific ethnic communities of Catholic sisters in nineteenth-century America, as well as a number of studies that have examined how nuns have influenced American culture, these topics have not yet been addressed in any Canadian historical studies.

That said, there are useful studies that tell part of the story. Terence J. Fay has written an excellent historical overview of Canadian Catholics, which includes Catholic sisters, and there is an abundance of scholarly and pious congregational histories of Canadian sisters. Nancy McMahon has written about the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph and Kingston’s outbreak of typhus in the 1840s, (Sister) Calista Begnal about the Congrégation de Notre-Dame in nineteenth-century Kingston, and Elizabeth Smyth about two sisters in leadership roles with the Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul in the nineteenth-century. In addition, commissioned hagiographies of Kingston’s Catholic

---

35 These are too numerous to list, but almost every Canadian congregation of women religious has commissioned a history.
Church are useful for timelines and basic data. However, there have been no comparative studies of the three congregations as they carried out social work in the City of Kingston from the 1840s to the 1870s. To do so is the purpose of this thesis.

This study of Kingston’s Roman Catholic sisters’ rests on extensive use of primary source material. In an historical era where women often did not leave abundant written records about their lives, sisters wrote detailed accounts of both their work and the challenges they faced in reports to General Superiors, personal correspondence, directives, financial accounts, and annals. The sisters’ private letters, annals, and obituaries in the Archives of the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph and Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul were a particularly rich source of information as they revealed much about individual sisters and their understanding of, and commitment to, the demands of religious life. Many of these documents provided a clearer picture of the sisters’ lives, and their relationships with one another and the Kingston community. The Archives of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame provided fewer written accounts due to a fire several years ago that destroyed most of the records relating to their Kingston mission. The Kingston newspaper, The British Whig, was useful in gaining a contemporary sense of where the Catholic Church fit into the wider community. Government records proved invaluable, particularly the Journals of the Legislative Assembly, and Egerton Ryerson’s correspondence and provincial reports about the state


of education in the Province of Ontario. Anti-Catholic literature provided a fascinating window into the religious and social upheavals of the nineteenth-century.

This study of women’s work allows us to appreciate as never before the opportunities afforded Canadian women who opted for the religious life. Although sisters could not escape prevailing ideas about femininity and the importance of women’s restricted public roles, religious life offered women possibilities to move beyond their separate sphere that were uncommon for the time. Even before nursing became a profession, for example, nuns and sisters established and operated hospitals where they maintained high standards of care and enjoyed a reputation for cleanliness. Sisters offered girls and young women an education that qualified them for post-secondary study and professions, as they both became available. In the course of carrying out their nursing, charitable, and educational missions, sisters gained considerable administrative authority over their finances, homes, and the institutions they created, built, and nurtured over the years. These institutions delivered many of the services that would be provided by the state a century later.
Chapter 1: Whose Vision Would Prevail?: Faith and State Intertwined

In his effort to persuade the Montréal Congrégation de Notre-Dame to open a mission in Kingston, Bishop Gaulin emphasized that its schools could be used to promote the Catholic Church to non-Catholics in positions of power: “Kingston, according to all appearances, being in the way of becoming the capital of Canada, it is exceedingly urgent that the Catholic religion may, by the appearance and the number of her institutions of education and of charity, make an impression, an effective impression, on those who judge mainly by the exterior.” 38 The year was 1841 and Bishop Bourget of Montréal and Bishop Gaulin of Kingston both foresaw the intensive government involvement and financial investment that would result from the unification of the Canadas. 39 They anticipated that women religious would be enmeshed in Canada West’s rapid development, including its changing policies, regulations, and laws, and hoped that their presence in the capital would prove advantageous to the Church. 40 This chapter will explore how the interests of the Provincial Government and the overarching vision of Kingston’s bishops intersected with the congregational aims of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph, and the Kingston’s Sisters of Providence, including the sisters’ ambitions for those they served.

Because the English Poor Law was never enacted in Upper Canada, known as Canada West as of 1841, the earliest social services were provided by private religious

and charitable organizations.\textsuperscript{41} Despite Upper Canada's \textit{House of Industry Act}, which passed on March 4, 1837,\textsuperscript{42} very few of these Dickensian workhouses were built in Canada West. By 1872, only four workhouses had been established in the Province of Ontario, including in Kingston, that received provincial funding.\textsuperscript{43} Houses of Industry were not popular with ‘inmates,’ many of whom reported the preference of spending a season in jail to the poorhouse.\textsuperscript{44} Even with the state’s sporadic, albeit increasing involvement in aiding the “deserving poor,” the majority of poor relief still fell to private charities and churches up until the late nineteenth-century.\textsuperscript{45} Indeed, prior to

\begin{footnotesize}


\end{footnotesize}
Confederation, a mere 9% of Ontario’s colonial budget was spent on “charities, welfare, and education.”

Prejudice towards people living in poverty was prevalent during the Victorian era. Many thought poverty indicative of a person’s character, and that “the poor brought misfortunes upon themselves as a result of sloth, dissipation, or other moral lapses.”

This absence of state involvement placed the Catholic Church in a strong position to petition the provincial government for financial assistance, particularly when the Church’s services were urgently required during public healthcare crises. The typhus epidemic was Kingston’s most dramatic healthcare crisis, and it became a time when the government intervened to provide short-term financial assistance to Catholic nuns coping with an influx of orphaned children.

From Montréal to Kingston

Between 1841 and 1861, Kingston’s respective bishops, Rémi Gaulin, Patrick Phelan, and John Edward Horan, recruited sisters and nuns from Montréal. They wanted women religious to establish schools, care for the sick, and serve the poor, especially the destitute, widowed, orphaned, elderly, homeless, and incarcerated women at Kingston Penitentiary (KP). Because many of Montréal’s professed sisters were fluent in French,

---

they could be of great benefit to Kingston’s Anglophone and Francophone communities.

But although Catholic bishops held ultimate power in matters of morals and doctrine, they could not force religious congregations to move from one diocese to another. Bishops had to negotiate with nuns and sisters in ways other men in Canada’s Victorian era rarely did with the women in their lives. ⁵⁰ When Bishop Horan first contacted Reverend Mother Philomène, the Mother Superior of Montréal’s Sisters of Providence, requesting that she send three or four of her sisters to Kingston, for example, she refused. ⁵¹ There were no sisters who wanted to start a new mission there, and besides, there were no sisters to spare. ⁵² Church protocol required religious congregations to be invited into a diocese and for the transfer of religious personnel to be mutually agreed upon by all parties. Diocesan priests had to be “incardinated” when permanently appointed to a new diocese. ⁵³ That is, they had to be officially placed under the jurisdiction of the diocesan bishop to which they were transferred. Religious communities of men and women required the same permission from their respective bishops to transfer and attach themselves to a new diocese and jurisdiction of its bishop. ⁵⁴ Given that their vows ensured nuns and sisters were dedicated and obedient, and that the structure of their

⁵² Ibid., 35.
⁵³ For a full definition of incardination, see Michael Walsh, The Cardinals: Thirteen Centuries of the Men Behind the Papal Throne (Grand Rapids, Michigan; Cambridge, United Kingdom: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2010), 2.
⁵⁴ For different examples and case studies about incardination and transferring dioceses, see Rose McDermott, The Consecrated Life: Cases, Commentary, Documents, Readings (Alexandria, VA: Canon Law Society of America, 2006).
religious communities meant they were self-governed and usually financially independent, sisters and nuns were considered a valuable resource for any diocese.\textsuperscript{55}

Each religious community had a distinctive “charism” that underpinned how they lived out their vocations. Sisters considered their charism a “gift of the holy spirit” that determined their “identity, way of life, spirit and spirituality, structures, and mission.”\textsuperscript{56} The Rule, also known as the Constitutions, was specific to their charism and defined the roles and duties of each sister, their spirituality and their vows; it also outlined what was expected of them as religious.\textsuperscript{57} Constitutions laid out a congregation’s “mission” or its goals, and how the congregation was to manage itself. Essentially, each community’s rule was the blueprint for how to live as a religious in that congregation. The Customary provided rules regarding the women’s daily schedule and how they were to live, including their dress.\textsuperscript{58} The Ceremonial described the vows and the ceremony involved in praying and attending Mass, that is, when and where they were to sit, stand, kneel, and bow. The charism of these congregations was established by the original vision and work of the founders. Constitutions, however, were often written in consultation with ecclesiastical authorities and were only truly valid after having received the bishop’s approval and papal approbation. The founding spirit of a congregation can be discovered in its constitutions.

\textsuperscript{55} For example, see Kathryn Burns, Colonial Habits: Convents and the Spiritual Economy of Cuzco, Peru (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1999), 86-90.
\textsuperscript{57} For example, see the Archives of the Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph, Rules and Constitutions for the Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph (Kingston: William Bailie, Printer, King Street, 1872).
\textsuperscript{58} For example, see The Customary and Little Rules of the Religious Hospitallers of the Congregation of St. Joseph (E. Jourdain, La Flèche: 1850; English trans., Hôtel-Dieu, Kingston: 1901).
A congregation’s charism, and therefore its constitutions, were dependent on the vision of its founder(s). These three religious institutes can properly be said to have multiple founders; however, only the key women will be discussed here. The three main ‘foundresses’ of each congregation examined in this study were women of the seventeenth and early nineteenth centuries. They were embedded in times and places when women had few opportunities and their interactions with men were circumscribed by societal norms, ecclesiastical authorities, and their Rule. Examining the original ideals of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph, and the Sisters of Providence provides the necessary context to understand each group’s charism, their intertwined histories, and why women were drawn to these communities.

French Catholic lay women were freed by France’s sixteenth-century Counter-Reformation, which continued into the seventeenth-century, to undertake an active part in religious ministry. Susan E. Dinan and Debra Meyers have examined the Protestant and Catholic spiritual revivals that swept Early Modern Europe through a gendered lens, arguing that the upheaval created new spaces for women. It was a period when the Roman Catholic Church “re-created itself with a clearly articulated theology and a new, educated and disciplined corps of male and female reformers.” In his 1609 publication, *Introduction à la vie dévote*, Francis de Sales popularized the idea that all members of the Catholic Church, not only those with vocations to religious life, could live out lives of religious perfection. He wrote, “It is an error, nay a heresy to wish to banish the devout life from the army, from the workshop, from the courts of princes, from the households of

---

married folk. ... Wherever we are, we may and ought to aspire to the perfect life.”

Elizabeth Rapley has described how from such teachings sprang lay women known as *filles séculières* or *filles dévotess*: unmarried women who did not take religious vows, lived communally ‘in the world’, and were part of their local parish. All three congregations and their main founders discussed here had their roots in the *filles dévotess* movement, and later dedicated themselves to working with or forming new religious institutes as a route to expanding their religious works.

The Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph were founded by Jérôme Le Royer de La Dauversière and Marie de la Ferre in 1636 in La Flèche, France. It was Jérôme Le Royer and his fellow members of the Société Notre-Dame de Montréal who sponsored Jeanne Mance, a *dévotte* from Langres, France, to establish the Hôtel-Dieu Hospital in New France in 1642. Mance is also credited as the cofounder of Ville-Marie, now City of Montréal, with Paul de Chomedey de Maisonneuve, her swift action having saved the colony from destruction. She later returned to France and recruited French colonists and soldiers when the early settlers of Montréal were at their lowest ebb. In 1659, the

---

66 Jan Noël, *Women in New France* (Ottawa: Canadian Historical Association, Historical Booklet 59, 1998), 4–5. Both Paul de Chomedey de Maisonneuve and Jeanne Mance had been sponsored by the Société Notre-Dame de Montréal to found Ville-Marie, the early colony of Montréal.
Religious Hospitallers joined Mance, who despite her devotion never became a sister herself, to work in the Hôtel-Dieu Hospital. In their description of their charism, the erection of the Hôtel-Dieu was in response to “so many of the poor abandoned in extreme indigence, particularly in their sickness, during which they are frequently left alone without the sacraments, without consolation, deprived of every convenience and assistance.” Their constitutions further state:

This is what induced several holy persons, inspired by God, to unite and erect this congregation, that the persons composing it, being conjointly united by God, and guided by the same spirit, might labour more advantageously for the glory of God, the relief of the sick poor, and they might exercise in their behalf all the works of mercy, both spiritual and corporal, without any other interest than that of the pure love of God, and of perfect charity for their neighbour.

A contemporary of Jeanne Mance, Marguerite Bourgeoys, founded the Congrégation de Notre-Dame of Montréal in seventeenth-century New France with a group of dedicated French dévotes. Bourgeoys arrived from Troyes, France in 1653, and with her female companions established free schools for French and Indigenous girls throughout New France. Jeanne Mance and Marguerite Bourgeois, “embodied the rhetoric of colonization,” and were integral to France’s political agenda and the

---


70 Ibid.


Church’s conversion project. The sisters, initially an unceloistered community of lay women, received canonical status as the Congrégation de Notre-Dame in 1671. Under the ‘Article Premier’ of their original constitutions, the Congrégation de Notre-Dame explained their charism in this way: “I. Chaque chose tire sa plus grande perfection de la bonté de sa fin.” Despite ecclesiastical intervention, the Congrégation de Notre-Dame maintained their original vision as a group of apostolic women religious, and have remained active in their parishes and local communities since the seventeenth-century.

The Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul, as they are presently known, were founded in Kingston in 1861. Their ecclesiastical founder was Bishop Edward Horan and Sr. Mary Edward, the first superior appointed by Bishop Horan in 1866, is considered their foundress. However, their roots were in Montréal as the Daughters of Charity, Servants of the Poor. Émilie Tavernier Gamelin was the first superior of the Montréal sisters. She attended the Congrégation de Notre-Dame’s school as a boarding student in Montréal and married in 1823. In 1827, Émilie Gamelin was widowed at the young age of 27. Following the tragic death of her three children, her third child dying only months after her husband passed away, Madame Gamelin devoted herself to


76 ACNDM, 700.010-001, Règlements de la Congrégation de Notre-Dame (Bureau des Mélanges Religieux: Montréal, 1846), 48.


78 ASPSVP, 410.3.22, Particular Law Collection, Customs and Spiritual Heritage, Spiritual Heritage, 15.
charitable works. Gamelin created a secular community of women caring for the needs of single, elderly women in distress, similar to the seventeenth-century *filles séculières*.

Gamelin first housed these women in her own home, then in rented quarters, and finally in a larger donated property. Through donors and the women who joined her to care for the elderly, Émilie Gamelin’s charitable works expanded to include other sick and needy individuals. In September of 1841, her home for elderly women was incorporated by the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Canada. From its simple beginnings, her place of shelter became the Montréal Asylum for Aged and Infirm Women. Émilie Gamelin’s active support of the Patriotes does not appear to have interfered with her positive relationship with the British state. In 1832, Gamelin was one of 226 women who voted for a Patriote candidate. She was permitted to visit jailed Patriotes during the Rebellions of 1837-1838 and bring letters home to their loved ones. Her obvious commitment to care for those on both sides of the conflict, regardless of creed, denomination, or political beliefs, must have been evident to the British authorities. Madame Gamelin’s visits to the incarcerated political rebels may have been the instigation of an aspect of the sisters’ charism to care for the imprisoned.

Bishop Ignace Bourget persuaded Émilie Tavernier Gamelin to join the new congregation he wanted to establish. It would be modeled on France’s Filles de la Charité

---

de Saint-Vincent-de-Paul and organized in a manner similar to Elizabeth Seton’s Sisters of Charity in the United States.\textsuperscript{82} The Daughters of Charity, Servants of the Poor also followed the Rule of St. Vincent de Paul and officially received canonical status through Bishop Bourget’s presentation of their constitutions to Pope Gregory XVI in 1844.

The Filles de la Charité were one of the original seventeenth-century groups of filles séculières and retained much of the early spirit of St. Vincent de Paul, a contemporary of St. Francis de Sales and an early champion of sisters’ active community involvement outside the cloister.\textsuperscript{83}

Bishop Horan sought out the Sisters of Providence from Montréal to teach, care for, and serve Kingston’s neediest, but as will be discussed in Chapter 3, he also exerted his personal control over the sisters and Catholic lay people. The original charism of Kingston’s Daughters of Sisters of Charity is summarized at the very beginning of the Montréal Daughters of Charity’s Rule, given in 1881 to Sister Mary John, General Superior of Kingston, and translated for the sisters’ use: “1\textsuperscript{st} The principal end for which God called together the Daughters of Charity is to honor our Lord Jesus Christ as the source and model of all charity, serving Him corporally and spiritually in the person of the poor, whether they are the sick children, prisoners, or others who through shame, dare


not make their wants known.”  They made their mission, as they saw it, was to subvert the idea that limited respectability to wealth or status.

**Sisters as Women: Social Networks and Healthcare**

In her study of *Women and Philanthropy in Nineteenth-Century Ireland*, historian Maria Luddy has discussed how nuns had access to public institutions in ways secular women did not because of their “otherworldly commitment.” However, nineteenth-century social mores and ideas about “feminine delicacy” followed women into the convent. Transformed through their vows, sisters became spiritual mothers to the needy, and directed their philanthropic efforts towards women and children rather than men. Luddy points out that it would not have been socially acceptable in the nineteenth-century, or in keeping with the sisters’ constitutions, to assist men in the way male congregations were permitted. Sisters were able to serve men through medical services, even if propriety demanded certain restrictions.

**Women as Nurturers: Hôtel-Dieu Hospital**

In September of 1845, the Hôtel-Dieu nuns arrived in Kingston to establish a hospital and began caring for the sick immediately. Unlike the Congrégation de Notre-Dame and the Sisters of Providence, the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph were cloistered. Sociologist Patricia Wittberg has noted that even in the nineteenth-century, “there was a strong tendency within both the Church hierarchy and the orders themselves
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84 ASPVP, Daughters of Charity, Servants of the Poor of Montréal, “Rule of the Daughters of Charity,” translated into English, 1881, 1.
to see the purely contemplative life as superior—and the active service as the inferior—spiritual path." The Religious Hospitallers were a combination of contemplative and active, and as such, they were the bridge between the private and the public spheres that women inhabited.

The Hôtel-Dieu nuns subscribed for another wing to be added to their hospital in 1846, and by 1847 their hospital was comfortably housing patients. The nuns were in control and had obtained the necessary financial backing. This contrasted with the Ladies of the Female Benevolent Society, well-heeled society ladies of varying Protestant Christian denominations, who had established Kingston’s first charity hospital in 1820 with less immediate success. The Benevolent Society was an ecumenical group. In a period when denominations marked social circles and socio-economic class, it was uncommon to have members of the Wesleyan, Methodist, and Anglican churches raising funds and taking part in social events together. However, it was to the whole community’s benefit. Kingston’s Female Benevolent Society had been granted £3000 from the Provincial Government in 1832 and other assistance through the years, but various troubles, including a fire, a lack of money, personnel and space, meant that the Kingston General Hospital did not officially open its doors until November of 1845, in the former building of the Provincial Parliament. Rather than viewing the two establishments as competitors, the women worked together to serve the Kingston public.

88 Patricia Wittberg, *From Piety to Professionalism – and Back?*, 6.
92 Ibid., 4-7, 11-13, 19, 189-190.
This will be discussed in Chapter 2. Importantly, Kingston’s Ladies of the Female Benevolent Society and the nuns lived out the fine line between the public and private spheres, which the nuns negotiated on a regular basis, and were part of each other’s wider social network.

When women made their final profession as sisters or nuns, they severed close ties with parents, siblings, in-laws, nieces, nephews, friends, and even adult children. Sisters exchanged the tightknit relationships they may have had ‘in the world’ for a ready-made religious family in the convent, some of whom were also biological relatives or friends. Kingston’s Notre-Dame annalist listed former Kingston students and the religious congregations they entered, which shows how deeply interwoven the social web of religious life was for these women.93 Upon making final profession sisters gained a transnational network of fellow men and women religious equally committed to the Catholic Church and its many causes.94 Sisters also gained basic economic security, a secure and respectable place in society, and opportunities for work. For single or widowed women, life outside marriage and the family unit was often uncertain and full of financial hardship. Sisters and nuns earned their own income and determined the prosaic details of life for their patients and students, such as accommodation, diet, and curriculum. They also provided and received emotional fulfilment from those in their care. The sisters and nuns who moved to Kingston initially received partial financial

---

94 For an overview of transnationalism, see Steven Vertovec, Transnationalism (London; New York: Routledge, 2009).
support from the diocese for their housing, and occasional funding from the provincial government for their work, but were ultimately self-reliant.95

Kingston: A Sense of Place

Situated on traditional Anishinaabe and Haudenosaunee territory, located where the Great Cataraqui River, the St. Lawrence River, and the eastern end of Lake Ontario meet, the future City of Kingston was a fiercely contested site between Indigenous and European powers throughout the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.96 Fort Cataraqui, also known as Fort Frontenac, was originally established by Governor Frontenac of New France in 1673 as a defensive stockade and trading post to exploit the lucrative fur trade.97 By the turn of the eighteenth-century, the Mississauga-Anishinaabeg had gained

95 For instance, in 1862, prior to their incorporation, the provincial government gave £1000 to the Hôtel-Dieu Hospital to enable them to carry out their services. Funding was also provided to the Orphan Asylum. A total amount of £800 was provided to the Orphan Asylum. Although the provincial accounts do not specify which orphanage received the funds, it is likely the money was intended for the Protestant Orphan Asylum. The Kingston General Hospital received £6000, and Kingston’s Indigent Sick received £3000. For these financial accounts, see Sessional Papers, 25 Victoria, A 1862, no. 4, Journals of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Canada, Volume 20, First Session of the Seventeenth Parliament, Session 1862.


control of much of Southern Ontario through a peace treaty with the Haudenosaunee, and chose not to involve themselves in the lengthy European conflicts, known as the Territorial Wars, taking place on their land. The Haudenosaunee Confederacy (Iroquois) battled for the Fort during the Seven Years’ War before it was eventually captured by their British allies in 1758. France formally ceded its colony to the British through the ‘Peace of Paris’ treaty, signed on February 10, 1763.

In accordance with the Royal Proclamation of 1763, the British Crown acquired the Cataraqui region from the Mississauga in October of 1783 as part of the “Crawford Purchase.” Within months the colonial government had settled “King’s Town” with United Empire Loyalists. It was a deeply deceptive exchange. Captain W. R. Crawford, commanding officer at the Fort Frontenac garrison, brokered a deal on behalf of the


100 Calloway, The Scratch of a Pen, 3. For a contemporary perspective from the period, see the anonymous Reflections on the Terms of Peace (London: Printed for G. Kearsly, 1763).


colonial government to trade European goods, such as blankets and guns, for thousands of acres of arable land on the north and east side of Lake Ontario. Frederic Halimand, Commander of the British forces, then ordered the Mississauga be “kept away from Kingston.”

The passage of Canada’s Constitutional Act of 1791, English common law, and British migration transformed Upper Canada to the detriment of the Anishinaabe and Haudenosaunee’s way of life and livelihood. The Brant family’s experience appears to be a notable exception in a history marked by inequitable relations between Kingston’s Indigenous peoples and its settlers. Koñwatsiⁿtsiaiéñni (Mary “Molly” Brant), sister of the brilliant and contentious Kanyen'kehá:ka (Mohawk) leader, Thayendenegea (Joseph Brant), spent her final years in Kingston, where on top of her annual pension of £100 for her services to the Empire, the colonial government built her a home, and one of her daughters married into the town’s Anglo-elite. In contrast, the Mississauga were

103 Ripmeester, “‘It is scarcely to be believed….’” 159; Alan Taylor, The Divided Ground: Indians, Settlers, and the Northern Borderland of the American Revolution (New York: Vintage Books, 2007), 130–34; David T. McNab, Circles of Time: Aboriginal Land Rights and Resistance in Ontario, (Waterloo, Ontario: Wilfrid Laurier University Press, 1999), 51–54. According to Margaret Angus, there was a storehouse in Kingston to store presents for nearby Indigenous Peoples. Whether these were annual gifts as part of the Crawford Purchase, or other treaties, it is not clear. See Angus, Kingston General Hospital, 2.
104 Ripmeester, “‘It is scarcely to be believed….’” 159.
treated with disdain, pushed to the margins of Kingston society, and resettled on reserve land.107

**Kingston: A City of Settlers**

By the mid-nineteenth-century, Kingston was an entirely changed place from the one the Mississauga once knew. In 1840, an estimated twelve thousand migrants journeyed through Kingston on their way west or towards the United States.108 This was a remarkable number given that the 1841 Census of Kingston census recorded the population to be 6,292.109 By the beginning of the 1840s, there were nearly five hundred thousand settlers and 8,000 Indigenous peoples in Upper Canada.110 In Kingston, it was predominantly Irish migrants, as well as English, Scottish, and Welsh, who joined the small settler community of French-speaking Canadiens.111 The earliest Scottish Roman Catholic and Protestant settlers had good relations. Their shared culture, and shared enemy, the English administration, drew them together in spite of their differences in

---

107 Ripmeester, “‘It is scarcely to be believed…,’” 159; Taylor, *The Divided Ground*, 130–34; McNab, *Circles of Time*, 51–54.
110 Rogers and Smith, *Aboriginal Ontario*, 112.
Although more Irish Protestants than Roman Catholics immigrated to British North America in the eighteenth-century and nineteenth-centuries,\(^\text{113}\) this was not the case in Kingston. It is not accurate that Kingston, as described by one historian, “became an Irish town in the wake of the Famine migration.”\(^\text{114}\) The majority of Kingston’s Irish community arrived in the first half of the nineteenth-century, therefore before the Famine years, and almost all identified as Catholic. Thanks to Bishop Patrick Phelan and the Vicar-General, Father Patrick Dollard, a detailed 1844 census of Roman Catholics in the City of Kingston exists which lists residents by street, occupation, age, and ethnicity.

From this census, the City of Kingston had a total Roman Catholic population of 3,471, among them 3,087 Irish, 55 Scottish, and 329 Canadian-born.\(^\text{115}\) Comparatively, the Upper Canada Census in 1848 records the total population of Kingston as 8,416, of which 3,238 were Catholic, and 3,609 were born in Ireland.\(^\text{116}\)


\(^\text{113}\) Kevin Kelly, “The Irish in the Empire,” in \textit{Ireland and the British Empire}, ed. Kevin Kelly (Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press, 2004), 98. There has been much discussion by historians about the relative number of Roman Catholics compared to Protestants who immigrated from Ireland to British North America in the decades immediately preceding, during, and following the Famine years. For this discussion, see Jason King, “Ireland and Canada,” 5–13.


\(^\text{115}\) Roman Catholic Diocese of Kingston, “Census of the Catholic population of the Mission of Kingston, Canada, taken in the months of May and June of the year 1844 by His Lordship the Right Rev’d Bishop Phelan and the Rev’d Patrick Dollard,” transcription, Margaret M. Cohoe(Kingston, Ontario: Kingston Branch, Ontario Genealogical Society, 1979), 35. Note that among Kingston Canadians-born in this census there were notations for two Germans and an Italian.

\(^\text{116}\) By 1848, Upper Canada had been renamed Canada West. However, the two names were used interchangeably to describe the province. For Kingston’s 1848 population statistics, see Statistics Canada tables, “1848 Populations by Religion” and “1848 Populations by Birth Place” in “Upper Canada: 1824-1861,” Queen’s University Library, http://library.queensu.ca/data/census-1665-1871-uc. Original data from Government of Canada, \textit{Censuses of Canada, 1665 to 1871, Statistics of Canada, Volume IV} (1876). It is very likely Bishop and Father Dollard’s 1844 census was more accurate than the 1848 government census.
Kingston’s garrison had put the city on the map, but immigration and the surrounding waterways spurred its growth. The Rideau Canal system, which ends with the locks at Kingston Mills, was completed in 1832 and opened for marine traffic in 1836. The fortifications surrounding Kingston, including the redoubt at Fort Henry, were built between 1832 and the mid-1840s, and the City of Kingston, incorporated in 1838, served as Canada’s capital from 1841 to 1844. Kingston’s Roman Catholic Regiopolis College received its charter from the Legislature Assembly of Upper Canada in 1837 and opened in 1842. Queen’s University, originally “The Presbyterian College at Kingston,” was incorporated by the legislature in 1840, and renamed “Queen’s College at Kingston” by Royal Charter in 1841. The Canadian Gazetteer provided this helpful summary of the professions and trades in 1846 Kingston:

One steam grist mill, four breweries, three tanneries, ten physicians and surgeons, fourteen lawyers, three foundries, steam planning machine, thirty-six dry goods and hardware stores, thirty-seven groceries, three booksellers, five druggists, two shipbuilders, one surveyor, five printers, one engraver, one dentist, ninety-four taverns, three bookbinders, one marble factory, eight boarding houses, six tallow chandlers, two coach makers, five wagon makers, eight saddlers, one veterinary surgeon, four watchmakers, one boat builder, two sail makers, ten cabinet makers, seven livery stables, five painters, ten tinsmiths, nine bakers, seven blacksmiths, five confectioners, four coopers, five stone masons, thirty-six shoemakers, twenty-nine tailors, two chair makers, four hatters, two barbers, seven butchers, twousage makers, five ladies’ schools, two do. for boys. Bank agencies, 4.

---


120 Hilda Neatby, “Queen’s University: Town and Gown in 1877,” in To Preserve & Defend, 331–32.

121 William H. Smith, Smith’s Canadian Gazetteer: Comprising Statistical and General Information respecting all parts of the Upper Province, or Canada West... with a map of the Upper Province (Toronto: H. & W. ROWSELL, 1846), 93.
Kingston’s exports included wheat and barley, as well as lumber from Ottawa, shipped via the waterways to Kingston and the United States.\textsuperscript{122} By 1846, Kingston was a bustling town.

Kingston was the midway point between Toronto and Montréal, whether by boat or steamship along Lake Ontario and the St. Lawrence River, or overland by stage-coach or wagon.\textsuperscript{123} New York State was just across Lake Ontario, and Bytown, incorporated as the City of Ottawa in 1855, was only a short journey by steamer through the Rideau Canal.\textsuperscript{124} The Grand Trunk Railway’s first passenger train on the main line between Toronto and Montréal began in 1856 and stopped at Kingston’s station on Montréal Street, and then laid more track for branch lines to Kingston a few years later.\textsuperscript{125} Whether in between cities, provinces, or countries, Kingston was a useful stopping place for travelers.\textsuperscript{126}

Kingston was not particularly diverse. The residents captured in the 1848 census were a fairly monolithic group: Kingstonians were almost entirely Caucasian, Christian, and originated from either Britain or another area of Western Europe. There were exceptions to this homogeneity, however: a tiny Jewish community and a small black community were recorded in Kingston’s earliest censuses.

\textsuperscript{124} Richard M. Reid, ed., \textit{The Upper Ottawa Valley to 1855} (Ottawa: The Champlain Society and Carleton University Press, 1989), xlvi.
\textsuperscript{126} Alan G. Green, “Immigrants in the City: Kingston as Revealed in the Census Manuscripts of 1871,” in \textit{To Preserve & Defend}, 317.
In 1832, legislation guaranteed Jews equal rights in Lower and Upper Canada.\textsuperscript{127} According to the 1841 census, there were five Jews in Kingston, of which only the Nordheimer brothers, Abraham and Samuel, are discussed in the historical record.\textsuperscript{128} Originally from Bavaria, the Nordheimer brothers established a successful music store where they sold sheet music and pianos.\textsuperscript{129} The brothers appear to have arrived in Kingston in 1840, and, in 1842, Abraham was hired as the Bagot family’s music teacher.\textsuperscript{130} This was a very prestigious position given Sir Charles Bagot’s position as the Governor-General of the Canadas. Music was central to the Notre-Dame Sisters’ livelihood, and they must have been deeply appreciative of the Nordheimer brothers’ expertise with pianos and the opportunity to obtain sheet music for the elite young ladies whom they sought to attract to their school and those they already taught. By 1860, Kingston was a city known for its pianos.\textsuperscript{131}

For those seeking the terminus of the Underground Railroad, British North America was perceived as a safe haven. It is clear from first-hand accounts that it was not ‘the promised land’ many had expected, however. In spite of Lord Simcoe’s leadership as an abolitionist, “black refugees themselves had to actively solicit the metropole’s support by fashioning themselves as British emancipated subjects deserving of the empire’s

\textsuperscript{129} Sack, \textit{History of the Jews}, 104-105, 158-159; Meyer, \textit{The Jews of Kingston}, 9-17; Helmut Kallmann, “NORDHEIMER, ABRAHAM,” in \textit{Dictionary of Canadian Biography}, vol. 9, University of Toronto/Université Laval, 2003–, accessed August 8, 2018, http://www.biographi.ca/en/bio/nordheimer_abraham_9E.html. It is possible there were other Jews in Kingston during this period who chose not to self-identify for a variety of reasons: they no longer practiced their faith, did not feel culturally connected to Judaism, had since intermarried, or had experienced incidents of anti-Semitism and feared future persecution.
\textsuperscript{130} Meyer, \textit{The Jews of Kingston}, 11.
\textsuperscript{131} \textit{Ibid.}, 11.
protection and tutelage.” 132 Overt racism and hostility promptly led to segregated communities. 133 And despite its proximity to upstate New York, and its large population of Loyalists, Kingston had only a very small group of black settlers. Most Americans fleeing slavery instead crossed the “fluid frontier” of the Detroit borderlands. 134 In her plea for her fellow black Americans to immigrate to Canada in light of the Fugitive Slave Act of 1850, Mary A. Shadd did not name Kingston as one of the suitable places for black settlement. 135 This despite the famous “Jerry Rescue” of 1851, when Samuel Joseph May, an uncle of Louisa May Alcott, and other abolitionists leaders arranged for a group of men to break William McHenry (nicknamed “Jerry”) out of the Syracuse police station and successfully spirited him across the border to Kingston, where he lived until his death. 136

132 Ikuko Asaka, “Our Brethren in the West Indies”: Self-Emancipated People in Canada and the Antebellum Politics of Diaspora and Empire,” The Journal of African American History 97, no. 3 (Summer 2012), 220. While promoting Uncle Tom’s Cabin, a Canadian juvenile periodical undermined their own attempts at sympathy by the following commen: “It is hoped that the sympathy of our Canadian readers will be awakened in behalf of this unfortunate people, who, while they possess many repulsive traits, are our brethren….” See “Uncle Tom’s Cabin: or Life Among the Lowly,” July 1852, Vol. 1, No.1, in The Maple Leaf: A Juvenile Monthly Magazine, Vol. I and II (Montréal: E.H. Lay by J.C. Becket, 1853), 4.

133 Jasanoff, “The Other Side of Revolution,” 208. See also Hendrick and Hendrick, Black Refugees in Canada, 10-11, 18.


When Charles Dickens visited Kingston in 1842, after time spent in Toronto and before continuing by steamboat to Montréal, he described Kingston in distinctly unflattering terms: “The latter place, which is now the seat of government in Canada, is a very poor town, rendered still poorer in the appearance of its marketplace by the ravages of a recent fire. Indeed, it may be said of Kingston, that one half of it appears to be burnt down, and the other half not to be built up.”¹³⁷ In an aesthetic sense, Charles Dickens’ visit was a combination of poor timing and bad luck for Kingston. A massive fire, fueled by stored gunpowder, destroyed much of the downtown in April of 1840, and the city’s infrastructure was slowly being rebuilt using quarried limestone.¹³⁸ Like Dickens, Millicent Mary Chaplin, who had left England to join her husband on his military posting to Canada, was unimpressed by Kingston when she visited a few years earlier. Chaplin wrote in her travel diary on Monday, September 17, 1838: “Walked about Kingston – into Court, in hopes of hearing Col. Dundas’ trial – towards the fort – to the Dock Yard. I don’t admire Kingston at all – embarked in the Dolphin at 3 P.M. saw the Eclipse when amidst the 1000 Islands – delighted with the Scenery there – .”¹³⁹

Others during the same period viewed the town in a more positive light. From the extensive “discourse of emigration” there were many voices who supplied images for interested readers and prospective travelers.¹⁴⁰ In Robert MacDougall’s 1841 travel guide, originally published in Gaelic for an audience of Highland Scots planning to emigrate, he described Lake Ontario and the closeness of Oswego in upstate New York

¹³⁷ Charles Dickens, American Notes for General Circulation (New York: J. Winchester, 1842), 227.
¹³⁸ Osborne and Swainson, Kingston, 99.
¹⁴⁰ Errington, “British Migration and British America,” 150.
just across the Lake, the Rideau Canal and its shipping traffic, the availability of quality
arable land, especially wheat, the fishery, and the noticeably large quantity of limestone
which had been used to good effect in Kingston: “The result is that there are nice, large
buildings, and neat, clean streets, and on the whole, it is a very decent little town.”141 As
was common in much of Canada West, the rural countryside outside Kingston was
mainly tenancy-based.142 Unlike British, Irish, Scottish, and Welsh tenancies though,
many farmers could hope in their lifetime to purchase the land they rented. In 1840,
Kingston was a city that promised much to its British and European settlers. The Sisters
of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame would encounter a city of hopeful residents in the
early winter of 1841.

**Education and State Activity in Canada West:**
**Catholic Schooling in Kingston**

Leaving Catholic Lower Canada for Protestant Kingston was not necessarily an
easy decision for any of the sisters. As one John Francis McGuire wrote about Lower
Canada and the Irish Catholic of Montréal on an 1868 visit from Ireland, “In no part of
the British Provinces of North America… is there a more Catholic city, where his religion
is respected.”143 Gaulin flattered, cajoled, prayed, and pleaded with the sisters to join him
in Kingston, and was not above having the Bishop of Montréal, Ignace Bourget, petition
the sisters on his behalf. Bourget urged the Congrégation de Notre-Dame not to agree
until they had considered every detail and drawn up a contractual agreement that was fair

---

to both sides. After much discussion and a congregational vote, two sisters subsequently arrived in Kingston on November 21, 1841. Within four days, they had enrolled 12 day-students and lessons had begun. Even Protestants were impressed.144

Most records from the early community no longer exist due to fire, but the Congrégation de Notre-Dame may have benefited in a unique way from the British elite who wanted their daughters enrolled in a school with a curriculum dedicated to the cultural and academic pursuits of French women. The Congrégation de Notre-Dame could only manage rented quarters. The house left to them by Bishop Macdonell was far from ready that November. Instead, they had to make do with three meagre rooms in a building across from the Kingston market that they shared with noisy families and, when in session, the town council.145 The sisters’ rental quarters were reportedly so impoverished that, “on seeing their premises... they began to envy Mother Bourgeoys’s stable.”146 Not everyone was supportive of the Kingston Foundation though. Father Joseph-Vincent Quiblier, a Sulpician and Superior of the Petit séminaire de Montréal, pointedly told the sisters they were making a mistake leaving for Kingston. The Sulpicians had persuaded the Congrégation de Notre-Dame to teach Irish and English girls in Montréal, and Quiblier did not want to lose any of his precious teachers.147

145 Lemire-Marsolais, Histoire de la Congrégation de Notre-Dame de Montréal, 156.
Roman Catholic sisters were known for their teaching, and the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, like other French congregations, had been teaching girls in Montréal since the seventeenth-century.\(^{148}\) Teaching sisters tended to open schools in major urban centres where they could attract students and donors.\(^{149}\) According to the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, reflecting retrospectively fifty years after their departure for Kingston, Bishops Bourget and Gaulin “had in view the salvation and sanctification of many of the Catholic inhabitants who might otherwise have grown lukewarm and careless in matters of religion, by reason of their frequent intercourse with Protestants to whose schools they were obliged to send their children.”\(^{150}\) There were several seminaries for young ladies in mid-nineteenth century Kingston,\(^ {151}\) and the Notre-Dame sisters offered only one choice, but they had an academy boarding school for elite girls, and a free school for poor girls. This was the common model for French teaching congregations.\(^ {152}\)

Before drawing up contractual agreements that specified the responsibilities of both parties, however, protracted negotiations took place. Sisters had to determine if there were any sisters interested in leaving Montréal and gain their bishop’s support. Ignace Bourget had been installed as coadjutor to Bishop Jean-Jacques Lartigue of the Montréal Diocese in 1837, then succeeded Lartigue after his death in 1840, and remained Montréal’s bishop until his resignation in 1876.\(^ {153}\) Bishop Bourget worked to transform

---

\(^ {148}\) McGuinness, Called to Serve, 4.
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the diocese of Montréal through his austere pronouncements, backed by the Church’s pastoral and political power, and affirmed by the British authorities. Bourget severely limited the ways young people could date and restricted the harmless fun or “letting loose” the sisters had previously enjoyed, but he did encourage the sisters’ mission to Kingston.

After the Rebellions of 1837-38 and the 1839 publication of the Report of Lord Durham on the Affairs of British North America, Canadian leaders sought to secure their authority. Adopting new educational policies and establishing a universal public-school system was one method to ensure social order in Canada West. Up to this point, the Provincial Government’s intervention in people’s daily lives was much less significant than the role played by families, neighbours, and religious foundations. Children were usually homeschooled, if they received an education at all, and people’s social networks, rather than the government, provided a ‘safety-net.’

Sisters, by virtue of their place in the Church, had large social networks that they tapped into for assistance. Despite the


156 Historical perspectives differ as to whether education was used as a means for the government to enforce social order or political control. For example, see Chad Gaffield, “Children, Schooling, and Family Reproduction in Nineteenth-Century Ontario,” The Canadian Historical Review 72, no. 2 (1991): 157–191. For a comprehensive history of education in early Canada, including Ontario, see Paul Axelrod, The Promise of Schooling: Education in Canada, 1800-1914 (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1997).

157 For a discussion of the state’s involvement in Upper Canada, as compared to post-1841, see Johnson, In Duty Bound, 242-56. For a discussion of social networks, see Françoise Noël, Family Life and Sociability in Upper and Lower Canada, 1780-1870: A View from Diaries and Family Correspondence (Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2003), 246, 258.
introduction of a public-school system, the Congrégation de Notre-Dame continued to
use their social and religious network to attract students to their schools.

Coming, as they did from Montréal, the controversies swirling around the issue of
state education were not new to the Sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame. The
Education Commissioner of Lower Canada, Arthur Buller, had hoped Bishop Lartigue
and his fellow bishops would agree to a religiously mixed education system for the sake
of national unity.\footnote{158 Bruce Curtis, \textit{Ruling by Schooling Quebec: Conquest to Liberal Governmentality – A Historical Sociology} (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2012), 360–64.} After all, as Allan Greer’s study of the Lower Rebellion has
illustrated, Bishop Lartigue had issued a pastoral letter to his diocese stating that no
Catholic could join the revolt of the subversive Patriots, thereby throwing his loyal
support behind the British Government.\footnote{159 Allan Greer, \textit{The Patriots and the People: The Rebellion of 1837 in Rural Lower Canada} (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1993), 233. Loyalty to the British crown was shown by Father Joseph-Vincent Quiblier, a native of France and a Sulpician Superior, who provided the British Calvary with the route to St.
Eustache, and where successfully put down the 1837 Rebellion. See Elinor Senior, “The Provincial Calvary in Lower Canada, 1837-50,” \textit{The Canadian Historical Review} 57 no. 1 (March 1976): 8.} Indeed, since the Conquest, Canada’s Catholic
disappointed, as would his English counterparts in Upper Canada. In 1838, the bishops in
Quebec “explicitly denounced the project of a civil religion as the basis of national
unity,” and Lartigue wrote to a colleague that “with their \textit{general education}, they will
also give their students a general religion, which they will hammer into their heads, that is to say an absurdity.”

Appointed Chief Superintendent of Schools from 1844 until his retirement in 1876, Egerton Ryerson was a remarkably able administrator who successfully implemented Ontario’s free, non-sectarian, universal public elementary school system and standardized curriculum.\textsuperscript{162} Canada West’s first \textit{Common Schools Act} was passed in 1841, and the three following decades saw several \textit{School Acts} repealed, amended, and passed in the legislature.\textsuperscript{163} Bishop Gaulin of Kingston had actively petitioned the Legislative Assembly on behalf of his Catholic parishioners in Canada West to be considered in the forthcoming School Act.\textsuperscript{164} Where Ryerson believed integration of all religious denominations was the most positive aspect of universal schooling, this was of serious concern to Catholic leaders.

A Separate School system was created to serve Canada West’s Roman Catholic minority under the 1841 \textit{School Act}.\textsuperscript{165} The \textit{School Law Improvement Act of 1871} ensured that education was entirely free for all public school pupils, and mandated compulsory

\begin{footnotes}
\end{footnotes}
attendance for children between the ages of seven and twelve for a minimum of four months.\textsuperscript{166} When instituting compulsory attendance, legislators were aware children were needed at home for chores, childcare, farm work, or even earning supplemental wages.\textsuperscript{167} In his \textit{Report on a System of Public Elementary Instruction for Upper Canada}, Ryerson laid out his core belief regarding the positive social return to be gained from education, stating: “such a system of general Education amongst the people is the most effectual preventative of pauperism, and its natural companions of misery and crime.”\textsuperscript{168} Under the \textit{Common Schools Act} of 1841, every child had an equal right to the same level of elementary education.

Ryerson produced a prodigious number of writings during his tenure and oversaw a bureaucratic system that produced an overwhelming number of statistics, reports, and correspondence.\textsuperscript{169} An uproar over Kingston’s Catholic schools’ response to the Common School Trustees’ inspection figures into part of that correspondence. Beginning in the 1853 school year, the Sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame and the Christian Brothers’ were on the rolls as Separate Schools and in receipt of a portion of the public


funds, which Protestant trustees did not agree with in principle because they were run by religious orders who refused the usual inspections and did not use the standard textbooks. When Rev. J. Malcom Smith, Chairman of Kingston’s Board of Trustees, set out to inspect both the Christian Brothers and Congrégation de Notre-Dame schools in 1854, he was asked to return another day, and unceremoniously thrown out of the sisters’ school. He described his experience to Ryerson:

I then went to the Nuns’ school. A lay female teacher to whom I first applied leave to see the school, referred me to a Nun who was teaching some classes in an adjoining apartment; she, after hesitating a little, permitted me to remain, and brought up one of the classes for examination. I had not been long in, when an older Nun, I believe the superioress of the institution, entered and asked me what right I had to be in the school; I explained to her who I was, and in what capacity I came; she told me it was no matter, and that I had no right to visit the school until I had previously asked and obtained leave to do so, at least two days previously.

This scene is illustrative of the power religious sisters had over their own establishments and over secular men. It also highlights the difficulty sisters had in transitioning to the public system after having had control over their Kingston school for more than a decade. Teaching was still considered a mainly female profession, and the sisters were in safe territory. When Rev. Smith complained to the Board of School Trustees, an unnamed Roman Catholic priest, also a trustee member, placed the sisters and brothers on par with
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170 Table 189: “Table shewing [sic] the number of Protestant and Roman Catholic Separate Schools in Upper Canada,” Egerton Ryerson et al., *Copies of Correspondence between the Chief Superintendent of Schools for Upper Canada, and Other Persons, on the Subject of Separate Schools (being a continuation of the return laid before the House, and printed on the 17th September 1852)* (Toronto: Lovell & Gibson, 1855), 256. For a report that states Kingston had 12 Common Schools at year-end on January 31st, 1859, see Egerton Ryerson, ed., “Kingston Educational Items,” *Journal of Education, Upper Canada* 12, no. 3 (Toronto: March 1859), 46; Rev. J. Malcom Smith to Egerton Ryerson, 24 February 1854, “No. 27: The Kingston Board of School Trustees to the Chief Superintendent,” *Copies of Correspondence between the Chief Superintendent*, 79.

171 Rev. J. Malcom Smith to Egerton Ryerson, 8 March 1854, “No. 29: The Kingston Board of School Trustees to the Chief Superintendent,” in *Copies of Correspondence between the Chief Superintendent*, 82.

one another, “gravely” informing Smith “…that if I wished to get into either of the schools I had nothing to do but come to him and he would afford me the requisite facilities, adding, that he was not surprised I had been refused admittance.”

The sisters were paid by public funds until December of 1854, when they were stripped of their Common School status, and seemingly had it restored for the following school year of 1855-1856 due to Catholic Trustee votes.

Perhaps Ryerson’s most pointed exchanges were with the second Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto, Armand-François-Marie de Charbonnel. Born in France and an ultramontane, Bishop de Charbonnel’s years as Bishop of Toronto were marked by discord over the Separate Schools issue. Although Bishop Phelan and the Bishop of Bytown signed onto Bishop de Charbonnel’s petition submitted to the Legislative Assembly in 1854 calling for the same funding and legislation for Catholic education as was available in Canada East, it is clear that Ryerson placed the blame on de Charbonnel, as he provided various examples of Kingston’s success with Catholic Separate Schools.
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173 Ibid., 82.
174 Rev. J. Malcom Smith to Egerton Ryerson, 21 December 1854, No. 33, “The Kingston Board of School Trustees to the Chief Superintendent,” in Copies of Correspondence between the Chief Superintendent of Schools, 88; Begnal, “Sisters of the Congregation de Notre Dame,” 32.
176 McGowan, Michael Power, 226.
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Act was political maneuvering, with Ryerson and the Attorney-General, John A. Macdonald, trying to placate the clergy, secure Catholic votes, and maintain political harmony. Bishop Michael Power, de Charbonnel’s short-lived predecessor, had been of a similar mindset to Ryerson. He had positive relationships with Protestant leaders and strongly supported the Common Schools Act.\(^{179}\) Outraged over the lack of funding for Separate Schools, de Charbonnel proclaimed that Catholics risked damnation supporting the Common Schools, and in good conscience all Catholics must financially support the Separate School system.\(^{180}\) Judging by the numbers, only a small percentage of Catholics heeded, and even a non-Catholic like Ryerson knew declaring support for the Common School system a mortal sin was a step too far.\(^{181}\) In Kingston, the majority of Catholics continued to send their children to the common schools.

A political appointee, Ryerson was also a Methodist minister and, convinced in his beliefs, he designed a school system based on “the Christian religion, the maintenance of the British connection, and the balanced constitution.”\(^{182}\) He openly aimed to inculcate students with a Christian belief-system and British values.\(^{183}\) He achieved this by

---
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standardizing the curriculum through the use of specific textbooks. In 1846, he recommended the “National Irish Series” for schools in Canada West due to their non-sectarian, religious neutrality, the series having been previously approved by both Irish Catholic and Protestant factions, as well as cost and imperial connection.\footnote{Ryerson, \textit{Report on a System of Public Elementary Instruction for Upper Canada}, 173–74; Love, “Cultural Survival and Social Control,” 372–74.} American textbooks were specifically targeted as anti-British, and as the Irish National Series was introduced, a ban on all foreign textbooks came into effect on January 1, 1847.\footnote{Love, “Cultural Survival and Social Control,” 374–75, 379.}

The Irish curriculum championed by legislators behind Ryerson’s Education Act of 1846 demonstrated the transnationality of the old world and the new. In Ireland’s nineteenth-century national school system, the reading materials were gendered, the role of men and women described in terms of “complementary spheres,” with the female sphere considered naturally subordinate.\footnote{John Logan, “The Dimensions of Gender in Nineteenth-Century Schooling,” in \textit{Gender Perspectives in Nineteenth-Century Ireland}, ed. Margaret Kelleher and James H. Murphy (Dublin, Ireland; Portland, Orgeon: Irish Academic Press, 1997), 45.} The texts maintained what religious congregations had always taught, which “conveyed a world view that emphasised respectful deference to hierarchy, the justness of a divinely sanctioned social structure and the appropriateness of the modest awards.”\footnote{Ibid.} Part of a deeply patriarchal system, the Congrégation de Notre-Dame sisters undoubtedly supported and upheld these same views in their classrooms.
Regardless of ethnic background, creed, or socio-economic status, colonial parents throughout Canada West were equally anxious for their children to be educated.\textsuperscript{188} Education was a sure route to respectability in a settler society. Initially, there were a small number of Indigenous children who attended common schools,\textsuperscript{189} but Ryerson asserted they should be educated differently and separately from common school students in a system of Industrial Schools.\textsuperscript{190} The School Act which expressly authorized separate schools based on religion was amended in 1850 to include Article 19, which to the dismay of many parents, authorized the formation of racially segregated schools.\textsuperscript{191}

Some of the most notable changes to the School Acts were amendments inserted to satisfy vocal Catholic leaders, especially Toronto’s Bishop de Charbonell. Ryerson’s 1858 \textit{Special Report on the Separate School Provisions}\textsuperscript{192} outlined the many conflicts with Catholic Bishops, which mainly centred on the number of separate schools allowed per school district, taxation, funding, and inspections.\textsuperscript{193} These issues were almost entirely resolved by the subsequent \textit{School Acts}, passed between 1855 and 1871. By
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1882, the separate school system even had its own inspectors.\textsuperscript{194} Men and women religious were integrated into Ontario’s Separate School system as teachers, and were lauded by the English Catholic press, who in 1870 called for the same system to be implemented in England.\textsuperscript{195} Conflicts aside, the provincial government and the Catholic Church compromised to provide a successful education system.

By mid-century, Kingston was a rapidly developing city. Canadian society was shifting towards a new liberalism that would put it in direct conflict with the Catholic Church. When first elected in 1846, Pope Pius IX was politically moderate, even described as a liberal, but his experiences during the Revolutions of 1848 and with Italian nationalism caused him to adopt an increasingly conservative approach.\textsuperscript{196} Pontiff from 1846 to 1878, Pius IX was the architect of many nineteenth-century reforms, but is best remembered for convening the First Vatican Council and his pronouncements on socialism, science, and Catholic doctrine that enshrined the concepts of the Immaculate Conception and papal infallibility.\textsuperscript{197} Fearing social liberalism and political reforms, Pope Pius came down hard on ideological dissension in the nineteenth-century.\textsuperscript{198} His every
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pronouncement was felt across the Catholic world, each of his letters being read out or conveyed during Sunday Mass to parishioners. Bishops and priests took up his call to conservatism, and sisters fell in line. The Catholic Church’s transnationalism was a force to be reckoned with and, as demonstrated by Ryerson and Bishop Charbonnel’s very public correspondence, so too was the Church’s extremely loyalty and fidelity to Pope Pius IX.

Coupled with their religious, political, and temporal goals, the bishops envisioned transforming Kingston’s Catholic population into respectable citizens who were well-integrated into the city’s Protestant majority and wider British North American society. During this period of great social reform and institution building, “respectability” intersected with gender, ethnicity, class and socio-economic status, and religion.199 Kingston’s bishops were motivated by religious and political goals when they sought out three congregations to provide sacramental preparation, educational instruction, and medical care. With a reputation for excellence, nuns and sisters possessed the necessary influence and respectability to further their charitable works in Kingston.200 If a bishop’s position in pre-Confederation Canada included a mixture of religious and political advocacy, it was sisters and nuns who supplied the labour.

Significantly, sisters’ work could extend outside what was considered the traditional domain of women if the sisters themselves remained within their Rule and Customary and the bounds of nineteenth-century respectability. By carrying out their

charism, the sisters strove to serve the Catholic community and to bring about changes that demonstrated that the Catholics of Kingston were equal to their Protestant neighbours. To do this, nuns and sisters established Catholic institutions as spaces where residents, patients, orphans, and students were molded in the image of a “good religious”: prayerful, industrious, modest, honest, and obedient. In this way, sisters were able to fulfil the original goals of their ‘foundresses’, their vision for Kingston, and further the interests of their Bishop(s) and the Provincial Government.
Chapter 2: Vowed Women Religious and Their Work

Upon their respective arrivals in 1841, 1845, and 1861, each group of sisters had a role to play in the collective mission of building the Catholic community of Kingston. The Congrégation de Notre-Dame were teachers, the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph were nurses, and, as “servants of the poor,” the Sisters of Providence provided practical assistance to the neediest members of the local community. Because sisters also needed to secure a reliable income for themselves, their supplemental work sometimes extended beyond their traditional apostolates to what historian Jane Errington has termed “a women’s economic world.”

This chapter will explore the different kinds of labour performed by sisters and examine how their work served to fulfil their religious vocations. Determining how the sisters negotiated the deeply gendered spaces of society and church will frame this discussion. The sisters indirectly confronted the notion of “separate spheres” by virtue of their religious state, which allowed them to carve out a space traditionally reserved for men. Still, gendered occupational divisions were apparent in the work assigned to them by Kingston’s bishops. In Joan Wallach Scott’s recent discussion of the power of the “idealized representation” of the separate domains men and women inhabited in the nineteenth century, Scott reminds us that “idealized norms still matter, not only in the expectations set for individual subjects, but because they set the terms for law, politics, and social policy.” The nineteenth-century gender norms that relegated women to domesticity provide a crucial backdrop to any discussion of women’s religious life.

---

The sisters and nuns missioned to Kingston to provide Catholic social services were also highly entrepreneurial. As discussed in Chapter 1, the sisters had to contend with the social secular expectations of the period whether in private or in public, and especially when carrying out their work. Elizabeth Smyth has referred to the stages of religious life as “one of dual formation: as a religious and as a professional.” Religious life was first and foremost rigorous religious formation, through which sisters sought “To know, love and then imitate Jesus Christ, more perfectly than ordinary Christians and with this view to add the practice of the evangelical counsels to that of the precepts; to aim at reaching perfection by safer and shorter paths than the rest of Christians, is the essence and the object of religious life.” Selecting a religious community and seeking admission were the first steps on the long road to final vows. Gaining skills for a profession, such as teaching or nursing, was secondary. Indeed, sisters could not select their work once they entered religious life; instead, work was assigned to them as needed. Whether manual or administrative, all work was considered meaningful when seen through the lens of religious life.

**Entering the Convent: Vows, Prayers, and Offices**

Upon taking religious vows, Catholic nuns entered into a spiritual union with Jesus Christ and subsequently came under the protection of the Church hierarchy. The goal for all religious was to work together in harmony, providing mutual practical, spiritual, and emotional support while carrying out the Church’s mission. Life as a sister or nun, whether within the convent walls or outside of them, was highly regulated.

---
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Importantly, the convent provided a space outside immediate male control where Sisters could define and establish their own social order.

A woman passed through four distinct stages of formation: postulancy, the novitiate, temporary vows, and final profession. Postulants were not committed to the congregation but were active inquirers and lived alongside the novices. The ‘postulancy’ or candidacy stage lasted 6 months and was a period of discernment. If she was successful, she then entered the novitiate and received the habit of a novice. The traditional ceremony of taking the veil, a new religious name, and making vows stressed a sister’s new identity as a consecrated virgin. During this period of continuing discernment, postulants and novices performed manual labour and learned the different offices from the professed sisters. Prayerful obedience, silent recollection, and a deep commitment to one’s work were the hallmarks of a good religious. If a woman was capable of being a sister, then she was capable of any profession.

According to Nancy Christie and Michael Gauvreau, by the 1840s two-thirds of Canada’s Catholic priests were from a farming background, about one-sixth were the sons of artisans, and only a few had fathers in a skilled profession. In other words, they were much like the majority of their parishioners and the women who entered religious life. In her study of nineteenth and early twentieth-century American women religious, Margaret Susan Thompson argued that religious life “mirrored the secular world,” which was a positive because it was “humanizing and constructive,” as well as a negative
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because it invited “dissension and conflict into religious life.” Few were the convents, abbeys, and monasteries where sisters were not riven by worldly ideas of social status and power constructs. Between 1841 and 1874, the years of this study, only Kington’s Sisters of Providence did not separate sisters by educational background and family socio-economic status. They were the exception. The Congrégation de Notre-Dame sisters and Hôtel-Dieu nuns had their roots in seventeenth-century New France, and with no changes made to their Rule in the intervening years, they maintained an elitist structure until late in the nineteenth-century.

The convent was not only a sacred place for women committed to the consecrated life, but a space removed from “the male gaze.” In only a few prescribed circumstances were men permitted “ingress” (entrance) into the Sisters’ living quarters. In Periculoso, the 1298 apostolic constitution, Pope Boniface VIII strengthened the rules of monastic enclosure for women, making it necessary to seek permission of the Ordinary (the Bishop) for “egress” or its opposite, “ingress,” for entering the cloister. Doctors were admitted to visit the sick, priests to administer the final sacraments to the gravely ill and dying, and workmen to paint, build, or fix what the Sisters could not do themselves. Collectively known as the “last rites,” in the nineteenth-century a priest would only have been called when a sister was near death. The priest would have said the prayers and administered the final sacraments, with the other sisters gathered around. After the priest said the ritual prayers, the dying person made a final confession, followed by receipt of
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the Eucharist (Viaticum). “Extreme Unction” was then administered, the ‘final anointing’ of the individual with oil.210

A woman interested in the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph either entered as a vocal sister, who could be elected to positions of authority, or as a sister who performed domestic work. Lay or domestic sisters could not be elected to positions of authority, and were instead “admitted to fill the more laborious employments and to spend their whole lives in double subordination.”211 The Manual of the Religious Hospitalers of Saint Joseph explained the need for such a distinction in this way: “Although all men are equal in His eyes, God has seen fit to establish in this world different conditions quite necessary to maintain the spirit of dependence, without which it would be impossible to live in peace. This order must be preserved not only among people who live in the world but also among those who dwell in cloisters. For this reason there are [sic] in religious houses, choir sisters and domestic sisters.”212 These occupational divisions were representative of the Church’s European medieval history, but also reflected socio-economic differences, and power.213

For entrance into the Congrégation de Notre-Dame women had two options: Soeur or Soeur domestique (also referred to as bonsecours or conversae soeur). Sisters were teachers and could be elected, and the Conversae Soeur were responsible for domestic duties. All were to belong to respectable families and be above reproach. Similarly, Religious Hospitallers of the Hôtel-Dieu entered as either a Choir Sister

210 Lawrence S. Cunningham, An Introduction to Catholicism (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 114.
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(‘Hospitaler’) or as a Lay Sister, also referred to as a Domestic Sister. In these instances, choir sisters, like teaching sisters, entered with a dowry, were generally better educated as they were required to read, speak, and sing in Latin, nurse, run the hospital pharmacy, and make administrative decisions. Lay sisters, on the other hand, were generally less educated, could not read or speak Latin, entered with little or no dowry, and were responsible for the domestic tasks, such as meal preparation, cleaning, and tending to the heavy work on the wards. Despite the inequality of a sister’s labour on behalf of the congregation, all were consecrated religious and were to treat one another with respect.

As discussed in Chapter 1, all three congregations left their Montréal Motherhouses for Kingston. For the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, Kingston was only a mission and the sisters could be recalled to Montréal or sent elsewhere at any time. Every Hôtel-Dieu monastery was autonomous, but the sisters missioned to the new Kingston foundation retained close ties with the Hôtel-Dieu Montréal. One the day of their departure, the original four nuns sent to Kingston made an ‘Act of Protestation’ in September of 1845 declaring each sister’s fidelity to the congregation and the Montréal house (Fig 2.1 and Fig. 2.2). The Sisters of Providence left Montréal with the intention to establish a separate diocesan congregation under the authority of Kingston’s Bishop Horan in 1861. The first group of Sisters of Providence retained ties with the Montréal Motherhouse and were to be recalled after seven years. However, Bishop Horan’s actions

214 Within the Catholic Church, the laity or a lay person refers to people or an individual who is not ordained or part of a religious order or congregation. In this chapter ‘lay sister’ and ‘domestic sister’ will be used interchangeably as they were at the time. Lay sisters are not to be confused with lay servants or “perpetual boarders” who were lay women that did not take vows. They lived in the monastery but outside the cloister.
ensured their ties were severed two years earlier. This gave Horan a large measure of control over the sisters and how they organized their work. These crucial distinctions within each congregation determined the number of sisters available for an apostolate and whether sisters could accept certain types of work. Staffing was a continual source of contention between the sisters and Bishop Horan.

The results of the elections for the Hôtel-Dieu nuns missioned to Kingston, held on October 29, 1845, and written about in the Annals by Sister Latour illustrate the remarkable variety of work sisters performed and how they divided it amongst themselves as ‘offices’:215

Rev. Mother Bourbonniere – Superior, Mistress of Novices, Pharmacy Officer, Manciple of the Community and Hospital, will distribute the work.

Sister Latour – Assistant, Hospitaller of the male and female wards, companion to the Pharmacy, Monitress of the Superior, Officer of the Orphan’s ward, Secretary of the Chapter.

Sister Davignon – Bursar, Sacristan, Portress, bell ringer.

Sister Emélie – Cook, Shoemaker, Laundress, Gardener, at the poultry yard, altar bread baker, etc., etc.

Sister Emélie Barbarie, originally from St-Eustache, Québec, entered the Hôtel-Dieu (Montréal) as a Lay Sister in 1824. The annalist’s description of Sister Emélie reminds readers of the expected behaviour of lay sisters: “It is true that everyone got along with the kind and dear Sister Emélie, she was so polite to the Sisters and so condescending to all, thoughtful and so charitable, she was a constant example of all the virtues of a perfect Lay Sister, humble, discreet, and most fervent. In a word she possessed the true spirit of
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her holy state.”216 The annalist’s words have been translated directly from French, ‘condescendre à ce que,’ to ‘conceding’ in English. Unfortunately, the original meaning of the phrase has been lost in translation. The intention of the annalist, Sister Latour, had been to describe Sister Emélie’s deference to the other sisters. She meant Sister Emélie would condescend to the other sisters, that is, to freely and agreeably complete the humblest tasks for them. This again mirrored the nineteenth-century secular world, where humility was encouraged as a feminine quality, especially for women in domestic roles. Interesting too is how the annalist, Sister Latour, referred to Sister Emélie by her first name rather than by her last name as was customary for the choir sisters. In Figures 2.1 and 2.2, the distinction can be seen through their signatures.

Fig. 2.1 Sr. Claire Latour’s ‘Act of Protestation’ declaring her allegiance to the Hôtel-Dieu de Montréal, dated 1 September 1845. Note how she signs only her initials and last name.
Fig. 2.2 Sr. Emélie Barbarie’s ‘Act of Protestation’ declaring her allegiance to the Hôtel-Dieu de Montréal, dated 1 September 1845.
If a woman had been a domestic servant before she entered, she entered as a lay sister. She also had to be strong and healthy to persevere in such a difficult lifestyle. However, one’s social status was not rigidly static. Fluidity was possible when circumstances warranted it. There are two recorded instances of women who entered the Hôtel-Dieu Kingston as domestic sisters and, before making profession, left the community and re-entered as choir sisters. First was Lucy MacDougald and later Jane McTague, both from Kingston. Jane McTague entered the Hôtel-Dieu Kingston community on July 21, 1853 as a Domestic Sister. Staying only three weeks, Jane left the community on August 11, 1853. Received again, Jane re-entered the Hôtel-Dieu as a Choir Sister on June 5, 1855, but after two months left for good.²¹⁷

Lucy MacDougal, born in 1823 to a Scottish father, Owen MacDougal, and an Irish mother, Ann McLennan, was the first woman from Kingston and Upper Canada (Canada West) to enter the Hôtel-Dieu Kingston on November 18, 1845 as a Lay Sister.

Fig. 2.3 Lucy McDougual’s record of entrance to the Kingston Hôtel-Dieu, dated 18 November 1845.

²¹⁷ “Jane McTague (lay),” RHSJ list of entrances for Kingston, 2. Jane McTague left the community a second, and final time, on August 7, 1855.
Reverend Mother Bourbonniere, who served double duty as Mother Superior and Mistress of Novices, entrusted Lucy to Sister Emélie, “…as a help and also to teach her to work. Our good Sister Emélie spoke no English and the Postulant spoke no French, still they understood one another, each one named the articles in her own tongue, and made signs to one another the way of doing things and they got along very well.” The language barrier was the same one many Catholics faced in Kingston, and one the bishops were eager to overcome. As a mother or female relative would teach a daughter, neighbour, niece or servant her duties, Lucy became Sister Emélie’s apprentice to prepare her for the role of “housekeeping and household production” within the convent.218 The postulant stage was the period when a woman gained the necessary skills for religious life, and the Mistress of Novices judged whether the entrant had the aptitude and was a suitable fit for her particular congregation. Like an apprentice in her first year, the discerning postulant could quickly be dismissed or leave on her own terms. Evidently Mother Bourbonniere saw great potential in Lucy. When due to illness Lucy could longer keep up with the demands of being a domestic sister, she was allowed to re-enter the cloister on June 5, 1846 in the rank of Choir Sister.219

For nuns and sisters, prayer was always considered the most consequential work and the way in which they invested their days with meaning.220 The spiritual lives of men and women religious revolved around the Roman Catholic Church’s Liturgy of the Hours, also referred to as the Divine Office (Officium Divinum), and of feasting and

---

220 Cunningham, An Introduction to Catholicism, 156.
fasting throughout the liturgical seasons of Advent, Christmas, Lent, the Paschal Triduum, Easter, and Ordinary Time.\textsuperscript{221} The complete \textit{Liturgia Horarum} consisted of “canonical hours,” prayers fixed at three hour increments: Matins (also referred to as Vigils, Nocturn, or the “Night Office”), Lauds, Prime, Terce, Sext, None, Vespers, and Compline.\textsuperscript{222} Generally the Liturgy of the Hours were sung every three hours, although exact times depended on the congregation, but began with Matins at midnight. Matins and Lauds could also be prayed together at the same time.\textsuperscript{223} The Hours consisted of a cycle of multiple hymns, psalms, canticles, scripture readings, antiphons, prayers and blessings. The structure of a congregation and its “charism” determined a sister’s prayer life. The candidate’s choice of order or congregation depended on the prayer life, the community’s chosen work, and what aspects of the community’s spirit most attracted the candidate. The congregation a woman selected would determine her life’s path, work, and the amount of silent reflection throughout her day.

The Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph’s \textit{Ceremonial} provides directions for sisters gathered to sing Lauds, Vespers, and Compline, and the “Little Hours” of Prime, Terce, Sext, and None; they were to be “said in a simple tone of recitation.”\textsuperscript{224} Nursing in


\textsuperscript{224} “First Part, Ceremonies of the Divine Office, Chapter 2, Article 3: Ceremonies of the Office” in \textit{Ceremonial for the use of the Religious Sisters of St. Joseph after the Rule of Saint Augustin}, 18-26. The \textit{Ceremonial} is comprised of minute descriptions of when and where to stand, sit, and kneel during the Liturgy of the Hours.
the 1800s came with a heavy workload and busy schedule, and it is possible with so few sisters running the hospital that Bishop Phelan dispensed the cloistered nuns from reciting the Little Hours. Such a dispensation would have allowed the Choir Sisters to get enough sleep to balance their demanding work, maintain a level of continuity in caring for patients, as well as attending Mass and prayers, which would have still included the Morning Prayer of praise (Lauds), the Evening Prayer of thanksgiving (Vespers), and Night Prayer (Compline). With so few sisters, however, parts of the prayers were not sung as they would have been in a larger group of sisters. Compline was prayed before retiring to bed and doubled as nightly preparation for a holy death. When the Hôtel-Dieu Choir Sisters were in meditation, singing the Office, at Mass, or eating, the Lay Sisters would care for the patients on the hospital wards. This was often a crushing burden not minimized in the Customary: “Should her duties overtax her strength when there is no help at hand, she is then to consider that God is bestowing a double grace and is lavishing His favours on her; she will deem it a precious time of spiritual harvest, and she will take to herself the words of the Gospel: ‘Whatsoever thou shalt spend over and above, I at my


226 For a discussion of the Liturgy of the Hours, including an example of dispensation from prayers in cloistered religious communities, see Isabelle Arnulf, Agnès Brion, Michel Pottier, and Jean-Louis Golmard, “Ring the Bell for Matins: Circadian Adaptation to Split Sleep by Cloistered Monks and Nuns,” Chronobiology International 28, no. 10 (2011): 930–941.
return will repay thee.”

The Congrégation de Notre-Dame and Sisters of Providence were neither cloistered nor contemplative monastic communities and were therefore only required to pray the ‘Major Hours.’ Punctuated by spiritual, intellectual, and manual labour, silence was equally integral to sisters’ lives.

The periods of silence which occurred throughout a sister’s day between her work, prayers, and recreation, unless speaking was strictly necessary in case of an emergency or special permission had been granted by the Mother Superior, were intended to provide moments focused solely on God. The Congrégation de Notre-Dame’s Customary was clear about the necessity of silence: “Comme le silence est une disposition absolument nécessaire pour obtenir la récollection intérieure; et que c’est par sa pratique continue que les âmes bienheureuses, qui font l’honneur et le modèle des Communautés, ont été si étroitement unies à Dieu, les Sœurs suivant leur exemple, seront exactes au silence.”

At night during “Grand Silence,” when speaking was absolutely prohibited by all religious congregations, silence was kept after Compline and examen, and not broken until Lauds and Mass the following morning.

Due to gendered expectations, the rules for cloistered male religious were starkly different than those of women religious. In female cloistered communities such as the Hôtel-Dieu, there was an additional role for extern sisters who served as the “tourrière.” The tourrière was the portress of the convent. She quite literally “turned the gate.” Extern sisters were appointed to interact with the public. The tourrière opened the outer door to

---


228 Règlements de la Congrégation de Notre-Dame (Bureau des Mélanges Religieux: Montréal, 1846), 48, 700.010-001, CND Archives.

229 Beal, Coriden, and Green, eds., New Commentary on the Code of Canon Law, 833.
receive guests or items, and extern sisters were also permitted to leave the grounds of the monastery to serve the congregation. In 1847, with catastrophe at their doors, the Hôtel-Dieu nuns cared for typhus victims outside their hospital and monastic enclosure. It must have been a surprising sight. For many Catholics and non-Catholics alike, it would have been the first and only time they interacted with a cloistered nun in a public space. In this instance of leaving the monastery, referred to as “egress,” the nuns had been given the express written permission of Bishop Phelan. Only by remaining within the bounds of the Church’s male authority were the Hôtel-Dieu nuns allowed to serve Kingston’s neediest outside their home.

‘Black ‘47’: The Year of Famine, Sickness, Typhus, and Death

In Canadian history, 1847 is best remembered for the arrival of 109,680 Irish emigrants to Canada. For those fleeing the ongoing economic depression and Great Famine ravaging Ireland, 1847 would bring even greater misfortune in the form of

Ricksettia prowazekii: typhus. Typhus is spread by body lice which “can transmit the

---

230 For a discussion about the role of the extern sister, see Evangelisti, Nuns, 49-53.
231 “Cloister refers both to the law which regulates the separation of religious from those outside the religious house and also to the actual space (rooms, buildings, gardens, walkways, etc.) set aside for the exclusive use of the religious.” Beal, Coriden, and Green, eds., New Commentary on the Code of Canon Law, 833.
234 The Famine lasted from 1845 to 1852, years when potato crops were killed by a blight, and Irish men, women, and children died in record numbers. For a detailed study of the Irish Famine, see John Crowley, William J. Smyth, and Michael Murphy, eds.; Helen Bradley, GIS consultant; Tomás Kelly, editorial
disease to humans for up to 10 days” and a variety of gruesome symptoms are frequently
followed by severe complications and, for many, death:

Sickness typically begins around a week after the louse bite, with fatigue and a
bad headache or backache. Soon the patient begins to appear pale and absent, and
his or her reflexes fail. Around the fifth day, red circles pop out on the shoulders,
torso, and arms resembling tiny jewels embedded in the skin. …For a week or
more, a severely ill patient will lie muttering and inert, with sporadic flashes of
anger or frustration accompanied by spastic movements, incontinence, and loss of
bowel control. Temperatures can rise to 108 degrees Fahrenheit; the heart beats at
120 beats per minute.235

From the spring to fall of 1847, a typhus epidemic swept across Eastern and Central
Canada, carried across the Atlantic by Irish immigrants.

For many, their immune systems were already at great risk from malnourishment
or the early stages of starvation, and the long voyage and often poor conditions aboard
the ships only intensified the risk of contracting dysentery and typhus.236 Historically,
typhus epidemics have occurred during periods of war or in its aftermath, when people
are grouped together and most physically susceptible.237 The conditions in Ireland and the
resulting mass migration created similar conditions to those observed during wartime:
poverty, famine, displacement of huge numbers of people and insufficient public health

---

235 For this description of typhus, see Arthur Allen, *The Fantastic Laboratory of Dr. Weigl: How Two Brave Scientists Battled Typhus and Sabotaged the Nazis* (New York; London: W.W. Norton & Company, 2014), 18, 21–23.
measures. The cholera epidemic of 1832 had forced the establishment of Boards of Health and inspection and quarantine stations at major ports of entry and along the St. Lawrence and Great Lakes, but the still “rudimentary system” was quickly overwhelmed by the typhus-stricken migrants. Kingston was fortunate to have a Board of Health, but despite the exhaustive attempts of sisters and other basic healthcare workers to nurse and save migrants, thousands died before the summer was out, including previously healthy residents who died from contagion. A total of 1,400 Kingston dead, both newly arrived migrants and residents, were buried together in a hastily dug mass grave.

The emigrants arrived at Grosse Île, a quarantine station for the Port of Québec, where they were confined in deficient housing without proper food, water, or clothing. Disastrously, what had been incipient for many of the immigrants on the “coffin ships” or at Grosse Île, was quickly carried inland. The quarantine measures were largely ineffective. The majority of the over 50,000 immigrants who intended to settle in Upper Canada (Canada West) traveled by way of the Rideau Canal and the St. Lawrence River, with an estimated 2500 immigrants passing through Kingston each week. Animosity

240 Osborne, “The Cemeteries of the Midland District of Upper Canada,” 51. See also Mary Ellen Perkins, “The Typhus Epidemic 1847,” Discover Your Heritage: A Guide to Provincial Plaques in Ontario (Toronto: Dundurn, 1989), 55. The Ontario historical plaque about the typhus epidemic may be seen at St. Mary’s Cemetery in Kingston where the mass grave was moved in 1966.
242 Mark G. McGowan, Death or Canada: The Irish Famine Migration to Toronto, 1847 (Ottawa: Novalis, 2009), 38–40. The phrase “coffin ships” originated from Thomas D’Arcy McGee’s 1848 St. Patrick’s Day speech to the where he made reference to the ships carrying Irish migrants as “sailing coffins.” See Fitzgerald and Lambkin, Migration in Irish History, 172–73.
243 Statistic of ‘2500’ cited in Alan G. Green, “Immigrants in the City: Kingston as Revealed in the Census Manuscripts of 1871,” 317; Osborne and Swainson, 128. Osborne put this number closer to 40,000 in “The Cemeteries of the Midland District of Upper Canada,” 51.
towards the immigrants increased as the hardship faced by local Canadians grew. Municipal authorities struggled to respond adequately to the epidemic and integrate the new arrivals into their society. With a population of roughly 10,000 people, the epidemic had a significant impact on Kingston. As with the cholera epidemic of 1832, fear of contagion led to strident opposition and some residents temporarily left Kingston and other affected city centers for what they hoped would be places of greater safety, they hoped, in the countryside. The typhus epidemic was similar to the cholera outbreak in more ways than one. At mid-century, health authorities had not yet realized that cholera was transmitted through fecal matter in the water supply or via contaminated food, rather than through impure air, often referred to as “miasma,” and there was still an ongoing debate about how exactly typhus was spread. David Barnes argues that quarantine measures were ineffective in the nineteenth-century because they were “based on a loosely articulated but firmly held conviction that foul or contaminated air could be imported from overseas in vessels and goods, and under certain conditions could spark deadly disease outbreaks.” It would take the 1866 cholera epidemic before Kingston’s

247 In the first half of the nineteenth-century, cholera was thought to spread through foul or impure air, which people referred to as ‘miasma.’ See Bronwen E. J. Goodyer, “An Assistant Ship Surgeon’s Account of Cholera at Sea,” Journal of Public Health 30, no. 3 (September 2008): 335. In 1849, Dr. John Snow first raised his theory of cholera transmission through sewer water in the River Thames. See J. Snow, On the Mode of the Communication of Cholera (London: Churchill, 1849).
Board of Health and City Council would instate garbage collection and not until the 1880s for water quality tests to be instituted and water and sewer services expanded. \(^{250}\)

Judging by the 1847 *Journals of the Legislative Assembly*, Canadian health authorities and the public were aware typhus was spread through close contact as well as contaminated clothing and bedding but were still unclear if it was also spread by air. \(^{251}\) Others took advantage of the situation and preyed on people’s fears, as observed in a July advertisement for Dr. Townsend’s Sarsaparilla in Québec City’s *The Morning Chronicle*.

Billed as “The Most Extraordinary Medicine in the World” for various ailments with accompanying testimonials, the company recommended it as a preventative for ‘ship fever’: “That this Disease is contagious there now can be no doubt, and is rapidly spreading throughout the country. … Dr. Townsend's Sarsaparilla will prevent this disease. If the Blood is pure and healthy it is impossible to take this disease or any other.” \(^{252}\) Such claims only created false hope and interfered with the Board of Health’s educational aims, which the sisters worked to carry out.

Kingston leaders were soon overwhelmed by the sheer numbers of the sick and dying. The Sisters of the Hôtel-Dieu were part of the joint effort between municipal and public health authorities and a mix of charitable and religious organizations to alleviate the suffering of the typhus victim. \(^{253}\) As described in the Religious Hospitaliers of Saint


\(^{252}\) “Dr. Townsend’s Sarsaparilla,” *The Morning Chronicle*, July 6, 1847, 1.

Joseph’s Annals, the sisters received special permission from Bishop Phelan to leave the monastic enclosure to assist at the hospital established by the Female Benevolent Society and with those housed in the downtown “fever sheds” on King Street and Emily Street (Fig. 2.4): 254

While the dread disease was making the rounds in Kingston, Father Macdonell came with a protestant gentleman to ask our Mother if she would kindly come to the aid of the poor emigrants who were sick by the hundreds at the English Hospital. Our Mother accepted the offer with joy, immediately. His Lordship who had been previously consulted sent at once the Obedience to our Mother and all the Sisters. … In our small wards we had continually some patients with the disease. Often we had to make beds in the wards and the small corridors all on the floor. … In August having no Sisters to come with me to the English hospital, I took for some days a little orphan girl to accompany me, as I could not go alone. They came for us every morning with a cab and brought us back each evening. I continued thus until the disease abated and from that time until January 1848 there was always more or less several cases. 255

Gendered expectations specific to nuns and sisters, which “were experienced more rigidly by female religious than by male,” 256 meant Sister Claire Latour could not work alone in public. The typhus epidemic demonstrated just how difficult the requirement of having two sisters together while working or in public could be to fulfil, as well as the added burden it placed on already busy sisters. There was little the sisters could do for the typhus patients but minister to their basic needs.

The issue of mass Irish immigration and the resulting strain on colonial resources and finances was raised repeatedly in parliament, 257 and the dedication of the sisters did

---

not go unnoticed. Lord Elgin made special note of the charitable actions of religious in a letter to Lord Grey dated July 13, 1847: “Nothing can exceed the devotion of the nuns and Roman Catholic priests and the conduct of the other clergy and many of the laity of other denominations has been most exemplary. Many lives have been sacrificed in attendance to the sick.”

The end of the epidemic was a relief. A head tax was imposed by the colonial government to cover the costs of future migrants, but for the Hôtel-Dieu Sisters the workload remained constant.


A Sister’s Work is Never Done

By year-end, the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph were not only running their hospital and orphanage, they were also caring for an additional hundred very young and destitute Irish orphans, who had literally arrived on their doorstep on Christmas Eve. According to the annals, the Government subsidized their care and provided the sisters with $4.00 per child each month, but in the short-term they scrambled to clothe and feed these children. For a steady source of income to care for their orphans and the poor in their hospital, the nuns hired a male baker and established the Hôtel-Dieu bakery which proved very successful.\(^\text{260}\)

Their income and fundraising were not nearly enough to care for the additional Irish typhus orphans. Once the government withdrew their grant, the sisters were forced to “place out” the children into adopted homes. In colonial British North America, caring for abandoned and orphaned children, or fostering impoverished children until their parents could afford to reclaim them, was considered part of the duty of caring for the “general pauper population” rather than a specialized endeavor.\(^\text{261}\) The sisters saw their work differently and ensured that while under their care, even for a brief period as the typhus orphans were, the children received a religious education.\(^\text{262}\) They also hired a governess and two nurses to help care for them.\(^\text{263}\) Not only children bereft of parents

\(^{262}\) According to historian Veronica Strong-Boag, Catholics considered placing children with nuns in residential care to be just as good, if not better, than in a domestic space. In the nineteenth-century, children were often moved around between friends, family members, or were apprenticed or boarded out. See Finding Families, Finding Ourselves: English Canada Encounters Adoption from the Nineteenth Century to the 1990s (Don Mills, Ont.: Oxford University Press, 2006), 10.
were in the sisters’ care. Generally, the children had been orphaned or abandoned, but desperately poor children were sent there too. A child might stay in the sisters’ orphanage for years until a parent’s circumstances improved enough for the child to be reclaimed.

When it came to ‘placing the children out,’ the sisters’ main goal was to ensure that the children went to Catholic homes. This was a consistent concern for Protestant and Catholic orphanages who feared ‘the other’ would use the adoptive process as a means for proselytizing and conversion.\textsuperscript{264} Placing such large numbers of children out for adoption was a heart-wrenching process. By January of 1848, however, the nuns were caring for 130 typhus orphans, this in addition to the orphans already in their care. The annalist wrote of their dilemma in placing out the children:

And as we were strangers we placed none without a ticket or note from the Bishop or his V.G. [Vicar General]. When any one came to ask for a child we used to place them in rows and people took their choice. I assure you it was very painful to witness such a scene, when any of them heard their names mentioned, they knew they were going and began to cry and could not be consoled at seeing themselves separated from their companions and their mistresses, who also did their share of weeping.\textsuperscript{265}

When the babies and children arrived, some of whom had been abandoned, the nuns had only two barrels and two boards to serve the children’s meals on and, with so little furniture and few dishes, the children had to take turns standing up over the rough table to eat their meals. Cots were sent by generous donors, but the children had shown up in rags and their bundles of clothes, with dirty ticks “full of vermin” and everything scattered on the two floors of the unfinished hospital. It took the four nuns two full weeks to make enough clothes for all the children. Mother Bourbonniere made a salve to heal their


wounds, and kind ‘Protestant’ ladies appeared on a regular basis who gave cloth and money for the orphans and even came on Tuesday afternoons to help the sisters sew clothing for the children.266 It is clear the nuns’ first and foremost concern was for the welfare of those in their care, rather than the rules of the cloister.

Exploring the history of the City of Kingston, Ontario, it is evident that community minded philanthropic women of some means and Catholic sisters aided the needy, and provided “poor relief” in Kingston, Ontario.267 Kingston’s wealthy Protestant women banded together to form the Ladies of the Female Benevolent Society and the Widows’ and Orphans’ Friends Society. Through fundraising and government grants, the ladies opened the city’s first public hospital, spearheaded the establishment of Kingston’s ‘House of Refuge’ in 1850, and the Protestant Orphan’s Home.268 As Dorothy Farr notes in her discussion of Kingston, women worked together to create a society that supported the needy.269 Catholic sisters and philanthropic Protestant women had similar goals, underpinned by Christian morality and a firm sense of the importance of respectability and one’s place. They were separated by theological rather than ideological differences.

Using hard data to evaluate these women’s accomplishments is not always possible. Using nineteenth-century Canadian census records, Heidi MacDonald has

argued that excluding nuns from the census indicates that their labour was not valued. She asserts that, “In the case of the devaluing of nuns’ labour, we can look to bishops and other members of church hierarchy who often did not appreciate the value of nuns’ contributions to the Catholic social order.”

270 It is true that sisters were often unpaid or underpaid and excluded from key decision-making processes reserved for the all-male hierarchy. But this should not necessarily be equated with a lack of appreciation of valuable contributions in providing critical social services. Because the 1844 census of Kingston’s Catholics did include Sisters St. Agatha, St. Alexander, and St. Edward, teachers of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame who were stationed there that spring of 1844, 271 perhaps Kingston’s sisters were left out of official Canadian census data due to poor timing or miscommunication from the collector of the census data rather than as an intentional slight.

Exploring the work experiences of the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph, Congrégation de Notre-Dame and Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul in nineteenth-century Kingston reaffirms that women religious “inhabited a gendered public space that demanded a prominent presence and a substantial silence.”

272 Sisters’ professionalism in schools, hospitals, and prisons demonstrated their achievements, and rightly earned them a positive reputation and the respectability that they required to overcome sectarianism.

Chapter 3: Obstacles in Nineteenth-Century Ontario, Real and Imagined

The sisters who departed for Kingston between 1841 and 1861 anticipated no small measure of difficulties in their new home. Leaving Montréal’s supportive French Catholic environment and its well-organized system of charitable institutions was not a comfortable choice. British Protestants held political power in Canada West, and Kingston’s still fledgling community of English-speaking Catholics, mainly Irish, faced severe social and economic disadvantages and a pervasive undercurrent of anti-Catholicism. The obstacles the sisters faced were more than they had anticipated or could have predicted. Lack of funds, yes, but also insecure and inadequate housing, insufficient workspace, limited personnel, disease, physical hardship, and ecclesiastical authoritarianism. The sisters feared intolerance, but, as their journals and letters make clear, they were pleasantly surprised by the warm reception they received from Kingston’s Anglo-Protestant community. Indeed, each religious congregation had consistently positive interactions with “the Protestants” of Kingston. This chapter will explore the obstacles the sisters confronted, both real and imagined, in their attempt to provide the city with expanded and responsive social services, especially for the most economically vulnerable. Despite the constraints imposed on them by their religious vows, gender, and language, the contributions made by the Sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, the Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph, and the Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul, visibly improved the lives of many.

Anti-Catholicism and Anti-Protestantism: Convents, Sisters, Orangemen, Ultramontanes, and Political Implications

Both the Ursulines of Boston, Massachusetts and Montréal’s Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph were subject to virulent anti-Catholic literature in the 1830s. In 1834, the Ursulines’ convent in Boston’s Charlestown neighbourhood, including chapel and school, was attacked by an angry mob of rioters, desecrated, and burned to the ground.274 The riot was prompted, in part, by Rebecca Reed’s fabricated stories of abuse and imprisonment, which she accused the sisters of committing during her short time there as a postulant.275 Reed published her invented experiences as *Six Months in a Convent* (1835).276 Montréal’s Hôtel-Dieu nuns stood at the centre of Maria Monk’s sensational and similarly fictitious autobiography, *Maria Monk, Awful Disclosures of the Hotel Dieu Nunnery of Montreal* (1836).277 These were only two of the many “escaped nun narratives” in the gothic horror genre,278 and the resulting notoriety from *Awful Disclosures*, described by historian Denis Grube as pornography,279 accompanied the

Hôtel-Dieu nuns from Montréal to Kingston.\textsuperscript{280}

An immediate bestseller, \textit{Awful Disclosures} was one of the most infamous pieces of anti-Catholic literature published in nineteenth-century America.\textsuperscript{281} The events described were purported to have taken place in the late 1820s and early 1830s in the Hôtel-Dieu cloister where Monk claimed to have lived for seven years.\textsuperscript{282} Seizing on the very real fears of many Protestants, the plot of \textit{Awful Disclosures} was predictable. It pivoted around a young Protestant girl who fell under the sway of seemingly kindly nuns and was converted to Catholicism, enters the convent, confronts lurid sexual licentiousness between supposedly celibate priests and nuns, encountered a mother superior intoxicated by her own power, is held captive behind convent walls, and only narrowly escaped.\textsuperscript{283} Sandra Griffin argues that all anti-Catholic literature shared a common aim: to contrast the domestic ideal of feminine Protestant Victorian mothers who taught their children biblically-based principles, “with the church and priest-centered worship of Catholics.”\textsuperscript{284} Its sensationalism drew readers in, and most unfortunate for Canada’s Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph, would “form the touchstone of Protestant suspicions and accusations for the rest of the century.”\textsuperscript{285}
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The bishop and sisters in Kingston, and especially the Hôtel-Dieu nuns, were mindful of the effects of such literature. *Awful Disclosures* differed from other “escaped nun” texts due to Maria Monk’s detailed and libelous descriptions of real people.  

Monk specifically named Montréal’s Hôtel-Dieu nuns as a group, although names of specific sisters were inaccurate, and she also named Father Patrick Phelan, who would be appointed coadjutor to Bishop Gaulin in Kingston in the 1840s, as well as Montréal’s bishop and other diocesan priests. Before his appointment as Bishop, Father Patrick Phelan had ministered to the growing Irish Catholic community in Montréal.  

An Irish emigrant himself, Phelan’s deep commitment to Montréal’s Irish population since his ordination there in 1825 was well-known. He had been prominent in advocating for temperance, and Catholic education, and in caring for victims of the infamous cholera epidemics of 1832 and 1834.  

Monk claimed that while in the convent cellar one day, she found a trapdoor with steps leading downwards into the dark, and that:

> At first, I could not imagine the use for such a passage; but it afterward occurred to me, that this might open to the subterranean passage to the Seminary, for I never could account for the appearance of many of the priests, who often appeared and disappeared among us, particularly at night, when I knew the gates were closed. They could, as I now saw, come up to the door of the Superior’s room at any hour, then up the stairs into our sleeping-room, or where they chose. And often they were in our beds before us.

---

289 Maria Monk, *The Awful Disclosures of Maria Monk and the Mysteries of a Convent* (Philadelphia: T.R. Peterson, 1836), 93. There were multiple editions of *The Awful Disclosures of Maria Monk* published, and the excerpts in this thesis are taken from this edition. See also Choquette, “PHELAN, PATRICK,” *Dictionary of Canadian Biography*.
She continued her narrative, accusing Father Patrick Phelan of raping her and plotting to kill their unborn baby:

Would a child destined to destruction, like the infants I had seen baptized and smothered, be allowed to go through the world unmolested … Could I suppose that Father Phelan, Priest of the Parish Church of Montreal, would see his own child growing up in the world, and feel willing to run the risk of having the truth exposed? What could I expect, especially from him, but the utmost rancour, and the most determined enmity, against the innocent child and its abused and defenceless mother?²⁹⁰

Such suggestions were countered with disbelief, disgust, and derision by Montréal’s newspapers.²⁹¹ Although ultimately discredited in the mainstream American press,²⁹² rumors nevertheless persisted. The accusations shadowed the nuns and Phelan throughout the remainder of their lives, and even had to be addressed at Phelan’s funeral in Kingston in 1857:

The year 1835 (sic) witnessed all that malice could suggest, or that a slandering press could produce, to tarnish the character of that saintly priest, and bring into disrepute the conduct of the inmates of that Charitable Institution, the Hôtel-Dieu … It is most painful to me to have to call into question the revered name of Father Phelan, as if the least shadow of suspicion or doubt could be attached to his conduct in connection with the prostitute Maria Monk.²⁹³

Monk’s pointed attacks on the sisters reveals what commended women religious to those inside and outside the Catholic Church during the period: their work ethic, cleanliness,

²⁹⁰ Maria Monk, The Awful Disclosures, 151.
²⁹² As one of the American “Protestant gentleman” observed at the time, the people of Montréal were dismissive of Maria Monk: “Such a city of skeptics, in all that pertained to the disclosures of the wronged frail one, was never before seen. Nay, more, so perfectly absurd and ridiculous did the people with one accord consider the whole affair, that they seemed to look upon the denizens of the United States, as laboring under a widely extended monomania!” See William L. Stone, Maria Monk and the Nunnery of Hotel Dieu being an Account of a Visit to the Convents of Montreal, and Refutation of the “Awful Disclosures” (New York: Howe & Bates, 1836), 10.
²⁹³ Anon., Life of Right Reverend Patrick Phelan, 9, 11.
educational institutions, superior medical care, and acts of charity. Monk wrote disparagingly of the ‘Congregational Nunnery’ where she supposedly attended school:

There were about fifty girls in the school, and the nuns professed to teach something of reading, writing, arithmetic, and geography. The methods, however, were very imperfect, and little attention was devoted to them… The nuns had no very regular parts assigned them in the management of the schools. They were rather rough and unpolished in their manners, often exclaiming, “C’est un menti,” (that's a lie,) and “mon Dieu,” (my God,) on the most trivial occasions. Their writing was quite poor, and it was not uncommon for them to put a capital letter in the middle of a word.294

Sisters had found social acceptance through their labour, even in uneasy religious and political circumstances, which anti-Catholic literature then attempted to undermine. If they were shown not to be outstanding teachers or nurses with gentle, feminine manners, not to be holy women above reproach who kept exceptionally clean hospitals, classrooms, and convents, then they had no real place in society. The place and respect accorded to Catholic nuns and priests, and the services they provided, varied greatly in different parts of colonial Canada. In Lower Canada and Canada East, Roman Catholic religious were at the core of the available social services and education system, and to many, even its very identity.

Circumstances in Canada West, however, were drastically different. The sisters had good reason to be wary in Kingston. As John Wolffe has argued, the anti-Catholicism in British North America paralleled what was happening in Britain, Ireland, and the United States, and there were incidents of both implicit and explicit anti-Catholicism in the Canadas.295 British authorities were historically suspicious of Catholics maintaining a

split allegiance between the monarch and the Pope, and Catholic men were only granted the right to vote in England in 1829. J.R. Miller has argued that the anti-Catholicism present in the early to mid-nineteenth-century had been introduced by Americans and the British rather than having emerged from settled Canadians. Donald Akenson, historian of the Irish migration to Canada, has stated that the anti-Catholicism prevalent in Ontario and throughout pre-Confederation Canada was unlike the “systematic discrimination” American Irish Catholics regularly confronted.

Analyzing the English-speaking communities of Catholics in this period of Ontario’s history, most were recent Irish immigrants fleeing famine and a depressed economy. Heightened tensions between Catholics and Protestants were predictable, almost an inevitable by-product of widespread fears about the high numbers of Catholic immigrants flooding the country, and the consequences such a large influx of people would have for Canada’s economic and social fabric. J.R. Miller has pointed out that anti-Catholicism was theological, social, and political. Moreover, both Pope Pius IX, the last absolute ruler of the Papal States, and his faithful ultramontanes, conservative Catholics who perceived the Church’s truth, morals, and values to be under attack,


Grube, At the Margins of Victorian Britain, 85–86.


**Men Fighting Men and the Political Implications of Anti-Catholicism**

More immediately significant and disturbing to Kingston’s Catholics was the anti-Catholic Loyal Orange Institution and its cozy political connections.\footnote{Ian Walter Radforth, *Royal Spectacle: The 1860 Visit of the Prince of Wales to Canada and the United States* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2004), 165; Livermore, “The Orange Order,” 245–59.} A secretive
male society, the Orange Order had its beginning in Ulster Ireland in 1795, formed “as a popular Protestant bulwark against rising Catholic assertiveness in Armagh and other Ulster countries.” According to Canadian historians Cecil Houston and William J. Smyth, the Order identified “the British Crown and Protestant Bible as the two cornerstones of liberty and Protestant Supremacy, and from its inception it was bitterly anti-Catholic in tone.” ‘Orangeism’ spread rapidly through Upper Canada and Canada West, and Kingston, the ‘Derry of Canada,’ was one of its strongholds. The Siege of Derry, when King James II and his forces laid siege to the city during the Jacobite-Williamite War in Ireland in 1689, was another commemorative flashpoint for the Orange


Order and impetus of the Order’s adopted slogan, ‘No Surrender.’ Not only Ulster Irish joined the Orange Order in Canada West, but also Scottish, English, Welsh, Germans, as well as Loyalist Americans and Canadian Protestants of Irish heritage.

Despite this, by the Spring of 1843, after 18 months in Kingston, the Congrégation de Notre-Dame reported positively about the state of the city’s Protestant-Catholic relations:

Du 28 avril 1843 : «Nous apprenons des nouvelles de plus en plus favorables du diocèse de Kingston : la foi catholique y fait d'admirables progrès... le nombre d'abjurations dans le cours de la dernière année se monte à 192. Le jour de Pâques, sept nouveaux convertis recevaient dans la cathédrale la sainte communion. On met au tableau d'honneur cette petite ville où la population protestante paraît universellement disposée à embrasser le catholicisme... partout, les préjugés contre les catholiques tombent et s'évanouissent.»

The peace was not to last, however.

The Orange Order’s annual July 12 celebrations to commemorate the Battle of the Boyne, when Protestant King William III of Orange defeated Catholic King James II in

---


312 Cited in Soeur Saint-Henriette and Thérèse Lambert, Histoire de la Congrégation de Notre-Dame de Montréal (Montréal: Maison-Mère de la Congrégation de Notre-Dame de Montréal, 1941), 167.
began with banners, songs, and parades, frequently ended in violent clashes throughout the province, and particularly in Kingston. When Governor Metcalfe declared that no processions could be held on July 12 of 1843, Kingston’s Orangemen held a meeting instead, and all too predictably, encountered angry Irish Repealers.

In 1823, Daniel O’Connell had founded the Catholic Association of Ireland, a political movement for Catholic emancipation backed by the Irish Catholic establishment, and the precursor to O’Connell’s *Loyal National Repeal Association*, which had been established in 1840 to advocate for a repeal to the 1800 Acts of Union between Britain and Ireland. O’Connell’s campaign for Catholic emancipation, although opposed by many Ulster Protestants, led to the Roman Catholic Relief Act of 1829. The Relief Act had several implications, but chief among them was an end to the penal laws, which allowed for Catholic education and, most importantly to O’Connell, gave him the opportunity to become the Member of Parliament for Country Clare. The early 1840s was an especially active period for the Irish Repealers.

On the ‘Glorious Twelfth’ of 1843, Kingston’s Orangemen and Repealers clashed on the street. A fierce riot broke out. Despite military intervention, sixteen-year old

---

Robert Morrison, an innocent bystander, was shot and killed and several others injured.\textsuperscript{319} A group of Orangemen physically intimidated Bishop Phelan, damaged the new stonework of the Roman Catholic Cathedral, and then, after breaking into the watchman’s home, poured ash and water on his bed, destroying it.\textsuperscript{320} However, the Congrégation de Notre-Dame’s convent and school were not threatened or attacked. Alcohol was acknowledged as having played a role in the violence. Kingston had its own whiskey distillery and an astonishing ninety-eight taverns in 1840.\textsuperscript{321} The Kingston press expressed their outrage towards the Orangemen who they argued had incited the violence.\textsuperscript{322}

These physical battles between men of differing Christian denominations should be considered within the broader context of masculinity and a time period when people “rioted to protest social and economic changes which threatened their status in society and their ability to govern their own lives.”\textsuperscript{323} In the Canadas and the Maritimes, changes, modifications, and improvements were constantly being made to government institutions and administrative policies in the mid to late-nineteenth-century, including to the


\textsuperscript{320} Roy, \textit{Kingston}, 218–19.


\textsuperscript{322} \textit{Ibid}.

cumbersome judicial system.\textsuperscript{324} Aggression and physical confrontation in Canada West was seen as a manly response to an argument, even over theological differences, and commonly occurred in spaces where performances of masculinity were anticipated, such as the tavern or the street.\textsuperscript{325} A shift towards “hard work” and “restraint,” representing a new construction of masculinity, would only come in the late nineteenth-century.\textsuperscript{326}

Most Catholics and Protestants were embarrassed by the militant fervor displayed by their fellow believers. One writer to Kingston’s \textit{British Whig} described his thoughts on the matter in the following terms: “you are all madman alike.”\textsuperscript{327} Lest the Orangemen grow complacent, forgetting the Order’s long-term goals of resisting “Papal aggression,” and converting Roman Catholics,\textsuperscript{328} Rev. Hilton addressed his Canadian audience on July 12, 1859:

\begin{quote}
If we wish to win the Romanists to a purer form of religion, let us strive to win them by love, and by prayer, and by the word of God, … and moreover, the very instant that we begin to use hard measures, or to feel hard and bitter against them personally, that instant we become partakers of their sins … I must also raise my
\end{quote}


\textsuperscript{327} “Kildare’s Defence,” 9 November 1847, Letter to the Editor, \textit{Daily British Whig} (Kingston, ON), 10 Nov 1847, 2.

\textsuperscript{328} Pope Pius IX’s 1850 restoration of the papal hierarchy in England and Wales was considered a major act of papal aggression. It should also be noted that outside of Ireland, Canada’s Orange Order was the most active in perpetrating anti-Catholic violence. See Donald M. MacRaild, “Transnationalising “Anti-Popery”: Militant Protestant Preachers in the Nineteenth-Century Anglo-World,” \textit{Journal of Religious History} 39, no. 2 (June 2015): 227. For an in-depth discussion of “papal aggression,” see Walter Ralls, “The Papal Aggression of 1850: A Study in Victorian Anti-Catholicism,” \textit{Church History} 43, no. 2 (June 1974): 242–56.
voice against the very unchristian like harangues…. It is quite right that their sins, and erroneous doctrines should be pointed out to you, but is it right, is it Christian-like, to rake up against them year after year, the great wickednesses which they have committed, and pour upon them a torrent of foul language for nearly half an hour at a time?329

He reminded his listeners and readers that Catholics were their brethren, irrespective of differences over the Bible and theological doctrine. He also called on them to be mindful that Orangemen were “too often made the mere tools of some crafty and designing politician.”330 Even John A. Macdonald became a member in 1841 for political reasons.331 Both Roman Catholics and Orangemen mobilized, and were mobilized by politicians, with the intention of gaining political influence and power.332

The Bishops of Kingston, Bytown (Ottawa), Toronto, Hamilton and London, defended, supported, and championed the rights of the Roman Catholic minority in Anglo-Protestant Canada West, and Kingston’s Bishop Alexander Macdonell had been appointed to the Legislative Council of Upper Canada in 1831.333 When in a celebration of Empire, young Prince Albert Edward and his guardian, the Duke of Newcastle and

330 Ibid., 8.
Colonial Secretary, came to British North America for two months in 1860, the Orangemen of Kingston planned a raucous reception with orange arches. Although their plans were denounced in several cities, the Orange Order refused to back down. Bishop Horan cleverly outmaneuvered the Orangemen. Avoiding the Kingston controversy altogether, Horan went ahead to Quebec and gave an address to the Prince of Wales on behalf of the Catholic Bishops, where he pledged Catholic allegiance to the Queen and their future King. During this trip, the Prince visited the Ursuline Sisters’ school, where he and his entourage were allowed a privileged entrance into the convent and addressed by the sisters. Prince Albert Edward was impressed, at least according to reporter Nicholas Woods, by the pretty young pupil of the Ursulines who presented him with flowers. In the end, the Catholic Church made such a favourable impression on the Prince of Wales that it engendered jealously in the Protestant community.

When Prince Albert Edward arrived on the shores of Lake Ontario, Orangeism was at a fever pitch in Kingston. The Orange Order was illegal in England but not in Canada, and the Prince would not go ashore unless the Orangemen removed their insignia, arches, and banners. They refused do so, resulting in a twenty-four standoff, and the Prince skipping his visit completely, sailing instead down the St. Lawrence to

---

Belleville. John A. Macdonald, worried about the Orange vote, spent an hour trying to convince the guardian Duke to allow the Prince to come ashore, in his attempt to balance out the attention given Catholics and Protestants during the tour. Much to the shock of the press and other Canadian politicians, Macdonald stayed behind in Kingston to assuage the Orangemen’s feelings, leaving the Prince and his entourage to continue without him for two weeks. Throughout Macdonald’s political career he was known for his skillful ability to maintain both the Catholic and Protestant vote. Prince Albert Edward’s visit demonstrated that the Catholic Church had made appreciable inroads in the City of Kingston through its charitable establishments, just as Bishops Gaulin and Bourget had once hoped it would.

Forging Ties: Sisters and “the Protestants” of Kingston

With its Latin, incense, elaborate clothing worn by clerics, and symbolic rituals, the Catholic Mass has long attracted curiosity. This curiosity, and its stronger cousin suspicion, have long-centered on the confessional and the other rituals embraced by Catholics. For Protestants, who confessed directly to God, the confessional was a private

339 Cellem, Visit of His Royal Highness, 196–97; Due to Kingston’s fervent Orangemen who followed the Prince’s route, the Prince of Wales did not make it ashore in Belleville either.
341 Creighton, John A. Macdonald, 303; Ged Martin, John A. Macdonald: Canada’s First Prime Minister (Toronto: Dundurn Press, 2013), 77.
and mysterious space, whose proceedings sealed the penitent’s sins upon pain of excommunication, and which smacked of sacrilege. Catholic religious life similarly nourished confusion, curiosity, and condemnation over the vows of poverty, celibacy, and obedience. Cloistered monasteries and convents, inaccessible to outsiders, sparked anger, and wild imaginings, where “the convent was a world of subterranean passages, concealed doors, strange and secretive midnight burial processions, grotesque items of torture and anonymous prisoners in darkened dungeons, the world, in fact, of the gothic novel incarnate.” There were regular demands to be allowed in for inspection tours. When in September of 1834, the Ursulines of Charlestown were attacked for their status as unattached single women living together under the “unnatural” authority of male clerics, specifically Bishop Benedict Joseph Fenwick in this instance, the Superior’s tart response was reported as following:

The business of my community has, however, been transacted by myself; and though I have, many times, asked his [Fenwick’s] opinion on various subjects, no one can thence infer that he or any other gentleman, has exerted control over our

343 Grube, At the Margins of Victorian Britain, 85. See also Timothy Pearson, “In the Eyes of the Children this was a Miracle”: Sanctity in Nineteenth-Century Quebec,” CCHA, Historical Studies, 70 (2004): 97.
institutions. Moreover, I think that it would be a difficult matter for any man to control me.  

Yet, what many thought “unnatural” or took for secrecy, was in fact a desire for privacy and silence. Colleen Gray argues that the cloister’s “ideal purpose was not entirely the guarding of chastity (although this factor cannot be discounted), but the protection of the prayerful way of life, encouraging union with God, and sheltering the religious from agitations and the potentially disruptive spirit of the external world.” For nuns and sisters, such as the three Kingston congregations who spent their lives in the public eye, the cloister was set aside as a contemplative space.

Thus, on April 4 of 1864, when the Kingston public was extended an open invitation to attend a Ceremony of Profession, many seized on what they thought might be their only chance to observe sisters and their unusual rituals up close. Usually vows took place in the sisters’ chapel, but for reasons of size, Bishop Horan decided that the Cathedral would be preferable for the two novices of the House of Providence. The Ceremony of Profession was full of symbolically rich ritual and was prescribed by the congregation’s ceremonial. The most solemn moment during the Profession Ceremony, as in a wedding, comes when the vows are pronounced. As they observed the ceremony, the public attendees were themselves under close observation. The community’s Annalist wrote comments about the day, reflecting on the Protestants in the crowd:

> After having pronounced their vows in a tone the most decisive, and which filled all those who gave audience with surprise especially the Protestants who were thronged thither to satiate their vindictive spirit of curiosity, but who, nevertheless comported themselves with decency seeming all the while to be awe-stricken at the solemnity of the proceeding.  

---

Unbeknownst to many, sisters spent their lives reading and praying the scriptures, and the amount of scripture in the ceremony must have surprised a few non-Catholics in the pews.\textsuperscript{352} For Protestants, the Bible was a mainstay of family devotional practice. Catholics, on the other hand, were not forbidden to read the scriptures, if they read an ecclesiastical authorized translation of the Bible, such as the Douay-Rheims-Challoner version used by English-speaking Catholics in the United States and British North America. Neither, however, was this practice actively encouraged.\textsuperscript{353}

Despite divisions over the Bible and Separate Schools, and outbreaks of violent Orangeism and anti-Catholic rhetoric, on a personal level Kingston’s Protestant and Catholic communities amicably assisted one another in providing social services. Sisters received help in all quarters from the Protestant community. Even before the Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph had left Montréal, Dr. William Hallowell, a Protestant doctor, offered to treat the nuns and their Kingston patients free of charge.\textsuperscript{354} A succession of Protestant doctors came and went and provided their services freely, until Bishop Phelan persuaded a Catholic doctor to come from Cornwall. Together the sisters and Phelan gave him $120, but he left after a year. The Protestant ladies of Kingston, including Minister Brunel’s wife and daughter, sent clothes and came to assist with the orphaned Irish at the Hotel-Dieu following the typhus outbreak.\textsuperscript{355} Moments of crisis forged close connections between philanthropic Protestant ladies and the sisters, and the wider Protestant

\textsuperscript{354} Flynn, \textit{Built on a Rock}, 185.
\textsuperscript{355} Hotel-Dieu Annals, 84.13, 18.
community was consistently generous. Theological antipathy did not extend to daily relations.

**Begging Tours**

Kingston’s Sisters of Providence did not earn a fixed income or have a wealthy benefactor, nor did they initially receive government grants for their care of the orphaned, elderly, and visits to Kingston Penitentiary.\(^{356}\) Instead, they relied on Bishop Horan and the kindness of multiple donors who gave in small increments. Bishop Bourget had foreseen their extreme poverty before they had left Montréal. He wrote to Bishop Horan in the summer of 1861 about his, and the sisters’, expectations:

They think that, to begin with they should not have any sick or infirm to care for in their new Aisle, that they should go into the homes to seek out the suffering to whom they should bring relief. This, in effect, only brings discomfort and fatigue to the body without any monetary sacrifice. The visitation of the sick who cannot go to the hospital, the distribution of alms which can be placed at their disposal – clothing, bread, soup and other provisions, the visitations of the prisons and of the penitentiaries if it is judged appropriate, and other good works which require more footsteps than money could well be the beginning of the establishment which your Excellency wishes to found.\(^{357}\)

When funds were low, two sisters set out together on a “begging tour,” traveling door to door collecting donations. Begging tours were not uncommon and other congregations, even teaching sisters, collected donations in this way too.\(^{358}\) The priest invited the sisters

\(^{356}\) Ms. Josephine Perras of Montréal gave the Hôtel-Dieu nuns a large donation that enabled them to begin their Kingston foundation. See Flynn, *Built on a Rock*, 184. Although the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph did receive payment from hospital patients (those who could afford to pay), they did not have a fixed income and, like the Sisters of Providence, also relied on small donations.

\(^{357}\) Bishop Ignace Bourget to Bishop E.J. Horan, 30 August 1861, Montreal, 104.2C, “Foundation Letters Collection,” ASPSVP.

into his parish bounds and notified his congregants before their arrival, requesting their
generosity. The annalist of the Sisters of Providence wrote:

Great hardship attends these beggings especially in the Fall and Winter Season, when Sisters are obliged to ride over rough roads and corduroy bridges sometimes in a lumber wagon, exposed to the keen north wind, or what is little better, journeying through snow drifts in the bitter cold, getting out of the sleigh at every farm house, sometimes having the monotony relieved by being tipped out in a snow bank.  

Canada West’s poor roads and bridges were well known among nineteenth-century travelers, who recorded “that the condition of the roads was abominable. The surfaces were bumpy and rutted, muddy or dusty. … Logs and boards were used to produce “corduroy” or “plank” roads. However, the logs would shift, the boards would split, and both would disappear into the mud.” Perhaps more terrifying for the sisters was that the mainly wooden bridges they crossed were “susceptible to disintegration, splintering, and floods.”

Sister Mary Anselm and Sister Mary Andrew were invited to collect by Father Patrick Harty from his Kemptville parish in February of 1864. The Sisters of Providence’s begging tours were generally successful, even if cold and tiring work in the dead of winter. On this occasion, however, Father Harty’s arrangements did not prove entirely helpful:

In one instance their driver was an intemperate man, and he imbibed so freely as to lose his way in the woods. A pleasing prospect on a winter’s night! After wandering about, the horses managed to find their way to the cleared country

359 Sisters of Providence, Annals, 1863, 5.
361 Ibid., 233. In order to complete the ‘macadamized’ road from Kingston to Napanee, a process of paving the road with crushed stoned, the legislature voted to loan the enormous sum of £10, 000 in May of 1839. See “3d Resolution: £10, 000 to complete Kingston and Napanee Road,” Journal of the House of Assembly of Upper Canada: From the Twenty-Seventh Day of February, to the Eleventh of May, (Both Days Inclusive,) In the Second Year of the Reign of Queen Victoria; Being the Fourth Session of the Thirteenth Provincial Parliament (Toronto: James Cleland, 1839), 305.
again. As soon as they came to a farm house the jolly driver went in to get a drink for the night was cold. When pledging a toast to the health and success of the Sisters, who by the way were shivering outside in the sleigh, the people of the house became aware of their being outside. They immediately procured a sober driver, and at eleven o’clock at night they found themselves at Father Harty’s half fami shed and worn out by hunger, cold, and vexation.362

Even before the arrival of the Sisters of Providence, the Catholics of Kingston had the Hôtel-Dieu orphanage and hospital to support, and the Congrégation de Notre-Dame’s charity students to consider. There was a limit to how much more people could give. As it was, Catholics and non-Catholics were aware of the sisters’ vow of poverty and the charitable services they provided free of charge. 363 In return, they donated generously to the sisters’ bazaars, as well as of their time, skills, money, and foodstuffs. The Hôtel-Dieu nuns felt that they were a burden to the Kinston community when a bazaar was held in 1861 and they raised eighteen hundred dollars. The annalist reflected:

…we felt we were abusing the generosity of the citizens who two months previous had been obliged to have a bazaar to satisfy the demands of the Bishop in order to buy a house for the Sisters of Charity for which his Excellency is trying to introduce into the diocese. However, the Catholics are the poorest people of Kingston (sic) population and yet they are remarkable for their generosity; and the Protestants are also very good to us. Perhaps God in His boundless mercy will give them the priceless gift of faith. 364

With such limitations in mind, begging tours sometimes drew the sisters far afield, even into Canada East and the United States. In September of 1863, Bishop Horan wrote Bishop Bourget relating that the Sisters of Providence had been invited by friends of

362 Sisters of Providence, Annals, 1863, 6.
363 For example, see the Sisters of Charity of the Incarnate Word’s frank announcement stressing the need to balance the number of charity and paying hospital patients in nineteenth-century San Francisco, cited in Sioban Nelson, Say Little, Do Much: Nursing, Nuns, and Hospitals in the Nineteenth Century (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2001), 103-104.
theirs, “to collect in St. Cuthbert’s parish and one or two of the neighbouring parishes.” Bishop Bourget’s advice on collecting tours and the suitability of the sisters collecting outside their diocese. Bishop Bourget’s responded warmly. The sisters had only left his diocese two years previously, and they still had a strong support and many good friends in his diocese. Bourget provided blanket permission to “make collecting tours in the city as well as in the countryside of the diocese of Montréal; and I will always be ready to give letters of introduction with regard to the pastors of the parishes.” A pastor could hardly refuse if the request was made by the bishop and his explicit consent prompted Bishop Horan’s strong support as well. The major drawback to these extensive begging tours on which the sisters and those in their care relied was that it drew at least two sisters away from their primary labour for weeks at a time.

Kington’s Sisters of Providence required all the tact and good judgement they could muster when collecting donations. They did not always receive a generous welcome and priests were not always keen to have them, or people to give to them. In January of 1865, the Annalist wrote of her sisters’ struggles:

… they proceeded thence to Lindsay where they were received by Rev. J. Farrelly, P.P. who permitted them, as on former occasions to collect in the parish. In spite of all obstructions encountered, they braved on through frost and sleet, receiving jeers and spurns, instead of what they sought - they were even refused lodging by some of their charitable Enthusiasts. Still this kind of treatment alarmed not our devoted Sisters, until they found themselves ushered into the presence of a number of priests who had convened at the Pastor’s residence. Their conviviality was limited and, in their jocundity, spared not the feelings of the humble sisters of Charity, … who though possessing Charity in its plenitude yet think nothing of casting disadvantageous reflections according to the popular mode of expressing it, upon the humble daughters of St. Vincent, upon their

---

365 Bishop E.J. Horan to Bishop Ignace Bourget, 15 September 1863, Kingston, 104.2C, “Foundation Letters Collection,” ASPSVP.
366 Bishop Ignace Bourget to Bishop E.J. Horan, 20 September 1863, Montreal, 104.2C, “Foundation Letters Collection,” ASPSVP.
ungenteel manner of living by begging through the country. Alas! that there are so few St. Vincents among modern clergy! … A short week's relaxation at home with their dear Sisters the poor sisters had to pay a visit to Gananoque, having been invited, indifferently thither by Rev. Fr. Walsh P.P. … The reception was literally cold just what the Sisters anticipated, ere felt it in reality.  

In other instances, some were too willing to give the little they had to the sisters. On Ash Wednesday of 1863, the sisters came upon an impoverished family in Duoro, a town 165 kilometres distant from Kingston. When the sisters entered the home, they saw an Irish family, the father distributing ashes to his six children. The reader does not learn his name, but he had fasted all day and walked a total of 23 miles to Mass and then home again, where the evening meal awaited him:

A few potatoes, some brown bread and herb tea were the dainties that tempted their appetite. On seeing the Sisters he burst into tears blessing God for the favour of such a visit and calling his children to speak to the Sisters, asked them what they were going to give to the Orphans. In vain the Sisters remonstrated that it was not from such as he, they expected aid, that their custom was to pass no house and they were merely making a friendly call. He persisted in giving alms that he might have a share in the Orphans’ prayers. He had not much to give – a bag containing a little flour stood in the corner of the cheerless room and this he divided with the Sisters.

Children in the same circumstances were sometimes given into the sisters’ care and, as discussed in Chapter 2, not all ‘orphans’ were bereft of parents. On another collecting tour in early 1864, the sisters encountered “a poor woman who had nothing to give, took off her apron begging the Sisters not to refuse her the consolation and the honour through

---
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accepting her small offering.”\textsuperscript{371} These encounters indicate the esteem with which sisters were held by devout Catholics in nineteenth-century Canada West, as well as the razor thin line between poverty and destitution. Remarkably on that early tour of ‘three missions’ in 1864, which referred to far flung churches where priests would not live in-residence, but go out to preach, the sisters collected: “…in cash, 128 dollars, twelve quarts of flour, twenty-three bushels of wheat, 55 sacks of oats, six quarts of lard, ten comforters, five flannel sheets, several pounds of wool for making stockings, fifteen pillows stuffed with white down and several other small articles which would take too long to enumerate.”\textsuperscript{372}

\textbf{Housing, Working Conditions, and Coping with Disease}

The sisters were unfortunate in much of their housing and working conditions. When the Congrégation de Notre-Dame first arrived, their house was not ready. As Bishop Bourget remembered, the sisters “having arrived, they found themselves without a house…they had to find lodging in the upper story of a house which was a wretched saloon. After working hard all day teaching the poorest children, they were obliged to put up with the noises of the neighboring houses at night.”\textsuperscript{373}

Sisters were also challenged by tuberculosis. The scourge of nineteenth-century cities, tuberculosis was often attributed to poverty, damp weather, and dirty living conditions. In reality; it was crowded living conditions, close quarters in school, medical facilities, workplaces, and travel conveyances; and the lack of knowledge of its contagion


\textsuperscript{372} \textit{Ibid.}

\textsuperscript{373} ASPSVP.Bishop Ignace Bourget to Revered Mother Philomène, Superior of the Sisters of Providence of Montréal, 1 September 1861, Montreal, 104.2C, “Foundation Letters Collection.”
that spread tuberculosis to the poor and wealthy alike in Kingston. Referred to as
‘consumption,’ there was no cure for tuberculosis at this point.\textsuperscript{374} The Canadian Medical
Association only began to champion “germ theory” for consumption in 1877.\textsuperscript{375} Healthy
young sisters died in droves from tuberculosis in the nineteenth-century. Fifteen Loretto
Sisters under the age of 30 died in one year in a Kentucky convent.\textsuperscript{376} Kingston’s women
religious died from tuberculosis in greater numbers than smallpox, typhus, diphtheria,
and cholera combined; even during the typhus epidemic of 1847; only one Religious
Hospitaller died, and just one sister of “choleric fever” in the following years. In 1866,
after the deaths of six healthy young sisters from tuberculosis in quick succession, Dr.
Michael Sullivan, who treated the Hôtel-Dieu nuns, declared that their deaths were
“caused principally by the dampness of the walls in the convents which is quickly going
to ruin.”\textsuperscript{377} According to the Hôtel-Dieu annals, Kingstonians rushed to their rescue:
“This rumour alarmed the citizens of Kingston and the principal ones came one day to
our parlour to consult with Mother Superior and have her ask our Bishop’s permission to
make an appeal to the public for aid in the construction of a new monastery.”\textsuperscript{378} The
Bishop consented, but in a play for control, he refused to relinquish compete control in
regards to property.

\textbf{Ecclesiastical Authoritarianism}

Women religious, made doubly subordinate by their gendered positions in the

\textsuperscript{374} Porter, \textit{The Greatest Benefit to Mankind}, 401-402.
\textsuperscript{375} George Jasper Wherrett, \textit{The Miracle of the Empty Beds: A History of Tuberculosis in Canada} (Toronto:
University of Toronto Press, 1977), 11.
\textsuperscript{376} Kuhns, \textit{The Habit}, 119.
\textsuperscript{377} Hôtel-Dieu Annals, Kingston, March 1866, 15.
\textsuperscript{378} \textit{Ibid.}
nineteenth-century Catholic Church and Canada, were often at the mercy of their bishop’s pronouncements. In some instances, sisters had recourse to canon law or common law; otherwise their obedience to the bishop was absolute. Although the sisters’ relationships with Kingston’s successive bishops between 1841 and 1874 were mainly positive, at times they found themselves fighting against ecclesiastical authoritarianism.

The Sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame were in a unique position compared to the Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph and the Sisters of Providence. First, the Notre-Dame sisters were only missioned from their Montréal Motherhouse to Kingston and could therefore be recalled at the will of Mother Superior and her council. Under the authority of Kingston’s bishop in his diocese, the sisters had recourse to Bishop Bourget in Montréal and significant leverage if they were dissatisfied, such as terminating their Kingston mission, not available to the other two congregations. The Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph and the Sisters of Providence, once severed from their original communities, became attached to the Kingston Diocese, and therefore made their obedience to the Kinston’s bishop. All three groups had recourse to their Rule, but the Hôtel-Dieu nuns and the Sisters of Providence had to appeal to the Pope if circumstances warranted it, while the Congrégation de Notre-Dame had the authority of their General Superior to simply withdraw and close the Kingston mission. Secondly, Bishop Macdonnell had bequeathed his home to the Congrégation de Notre-Dame in his will. In 1853, Bishop Phelan wrote Bishop Bourget of Montréal to tell him that Bishop Gaulin “still persists in turning out the Congregation Nuns, and says he must get their house next May. But I am sure [that] I will not allow such a thing.”

379 Bishop Patrick Phelan to Bishop Ignace Bourget, 21 March 1853, Kingston, 104.2C, “Foundation Letters Collection,” ASPSVP.
however, Bishop Gaulin’s health had deteriorated significantly. Given that he was dealing with mental illness, and previously had invited and warmly welcomed the sisters to Kingston 1841, his comments should be disregarded. Gaulin’s infirmity was the reason Bishop Phelan had been made his Coadjutor in 1843. However, when Bishop Phelan stated that he “will not allow such a thing,” it is important to note that neither he nor Gaulin were legally in a position to evict the sisters from their own property.

Bishop Bourget made very clear to Mother Philomène the duties and responsibilities of the Sisters of Providence upon their arrival in Kingston, forewarning her in the summer of 1861 that:

Each Sister must accept the Community’s decisions with all due respect, as if they were an expression of the will of God, at least that the Bishop, who represents Jesus Christ, proclaims openly only that one must accept such and such a decision as coming from God. In accordance with this principle, one must not doubt that God will watch over you in Kingston. It is, then, the Council’s task to put into practice the orders of the community, a revelation of faith which is as consoling as this intimate conviction that God Speaks to them through this community organism. On the other hand, each Sister must see in the choice which the council makes of her, for whatever office, the manifestation of the same holy will of God. Also, she must submit herself to it with a sovereign happiness.

In the same letter, Bourget, having found Mother Philomène’s outlined letter to Bishop Horan to be “too pompous”, revised it and provided his copy to her for the council and community’s approval. Condescendingly, he told her, “Let us try, my daughter, to cover with words which are full of simplicity, the treasures of good dispositions that it please[s] God to give us, in His great mercy, and through His pure charity.” Rather than seeing Mother Philomène’s assertiveness for what it was, an attempt to secure the best

---

380 Bishop Ignace Bourget to Sister Philomène, Superior of the Sisters of Providence of Montréal, 1 September 1861, Montreal, 104.2C, “Foundation Letters Collection,” ASPSVP.
381 Bishop Ignace Bourget to Sister Philomène, Superior of the Sisters of Providence of Montréal, 1 September 1861, Montreal, 104.2C, “Foundation Letters Collection,” ASPSVP.
conditions for her sisters in Kingston, Bourget used her gender to deliberately misconstrue her words.

Bishop Horan’s tenure is perhaps one of the best examples of a traditional authoritarian cleric. Upon his elevation to bishop, Horan immediately began his attempts to organize the Hôtel-Dieu nuns and the Sisters of Providence in Kingston as he desired and how he thought would best serve his diocese. He even went so far as to consult Pope Pius IX about changing the Religious Hospitallers of St. Joseph’s Rule without their knowledge or consent. Horan wanted the nuns removed from the cloister to, as he thought, more effectively serve the community. This was contrary to the nuns’ vows and expectations, and had they wanted to enter an apostolic congregation, they would have done so in the first place. Their transnational connections were a source of comfort during this trying time. Their Hôtel-Dieu sisters in France and Montréal both offered them homes, should it come to that. Horan evidently saw the error of his ways and let the matter drop, but not before he had caused much consternation and frustration.382

Most dramatically however, Bishop Horan refused to turn over the property deed to the Hôtel-Dieu nuns when at their doctor’s advice it was recommended that they re-build. Catholic men were the most active in Kingston, although citizens from varying denominations and social strata were generous when they heard of the sisters’ plight. The funds raised, and a committee of Catholic men, including Dr. Sullivan, was struck to determine the best course of action. The members of the committee decided the best way forward was to take swift action, they “believed that once the building was finished, it could be taken at any time for payment on the Episcopal debts, and since we are not

382 RHSJ-SJRA. 84.1-19. Typed Transcript (in English) - Continuation of the Annals of the Hotel Dieu Hospital, Kingston, 8 May 1857, The Annals and Chronicles of St. Joseph Province, 17 May 1858, 3-6.
incorporated, all our property is in the Ecclesiastical Corporation and the gentleman forsee the danger of losing their money. So they concluded among them to visit the Bishop and ask him to give the deeds mentioned above and make us a separate corporation.”

Despite the grave health concerns, which had been acknowledged by Bishop Horan, the nuns, and their doctor, Horan refused to relinquish the property deeds or allow for their incorporation. The money was held by the committee and no progress was made until 1868.

Although the annals make no mention of the momentous occasion, Bishop Horan eventually relented and the Hotel-Dieu nuns were publicly incorporated by the Ontario Legislative Assembly on 4 March of 1868. At a time when so many women could not own property, the nuns were declared to be:

… a body Politic, and corporate in Deed, and in name by ‘The Sisters of l’Hôtel-Dieu’ for the Diocese of Kingston in the Province of Ontario, and by that name, shall have perpetual succession and a common seal, and shall have power from time to time, to alter, renew, or change such common seal at their pleasure, and shall… be able and capable to purchase, acquire, hold, possess, and enjoy, and to have, take, and receive, to them and their successors, to, and for the use and purposes of the said Corporation, any lands, tenements, and hereditaments, and real and immovable property, and estate, situate, lying, and being within the City of Kingston …and the same to sell, alienate, mortgage, and dispose of, and to purchase others in their stead.

The Bill goes on to that state that, “…the Mother Superioress and her Council for the time being shall have power and authority to make and establish such rules, orders, and regulations, not being contrary to this Act, nor to the Laws in force in the Province.”

---
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Spiritually, the Hôtel-Dieu were still under Bishop Horan’s authority, but in all other respects, they were now entirely autonomous. The nuns named in the Bill, and responsible for its introduction into the Legislative Assembly, were: Reverend Mother Julia O’Brien, Sisters Jane Leahy, Monica Brady, Mary Dubuc, Mary Elizabeth Norris, Lucy McDougall, and Alice McCaffrey (Fig. 3.1). Finally, in 1869, the monastery’s dampness still a detriment to the nuns’ health, Dr. Sullivan suggested the nuns hold a lottery and use the funds to build an additional wing. Again, people were remarkably generous. The nuns hired an architect from Ottawa, a builder and carpenter in Kingston, and the new wing of the building was ready to move into on 13 December of 1869. Bishop Horan was away in Rome, and the blessing and the move went ahead without incident.\footnote{RHSJ-SJRA, 84.1-19. Typed Transcript (in English) - Continuation of the Annals of the Hotel Dieu Hospital, Kingston, The Annals and Chronicles of St. Joseph Province, 1869, 18-19.}

During the same period, in 1865, the Loretto Sisters left their convent school in Belleville for Toronto.\footnote{Flynn, \textit{Built on a Rock}, 36.} Bishop Horan, casting about for a solution, settled on sending the Sisters of Providence as teachers. He applied to the Motherhouse for more sisters, but his request was refused since they had few professed personnel and the elderly, orphaned, and incarcerated already to consider in Montréal. Bishop Horan was unaffected by their decision, “determined, not to be hindered by the lack of co-operation on the part of the Mother House, Montréal, he resolved to send those whom he could spare from Kingston, no matter the consequences.”\footnote{ASPSVP, 407-09-A, General Secretary Fonds, Congregational Annals Series, Annals, 1861-1892, “House of Providence, Kingston,” [typed transcript], 11.} Montréal’s Mother Superior and her Council were right, there were no professed sisters to spare. Horan sent professed novice, Sr. Mary Edward to...
the Belleville School as Officer and Head Mistress of the Select school and the boarders, and Sister Coulton, a non-professed sister, was appointed Mistress of the Separate school, and Sr. Keating was appointed for domestic work. Miss Meagher, a lay person, taught music. As the numbers of students increased, further personnel changes were made.

Initially, the parents were not pleased by the changes. The Loretto Sisters had an excellent reputation as teachers, and these young women were without experience. However, by year’s end the Sisters of Providence had been accepted and made a success of things. Bishop Horan, without consulting the sisters or informing any of the parents, announced out of the blue at the end-of-year concert he was withdrawing the sisters back to Kingston because of the complaints he had heard. This, after the sisters had fitted out the convent and school and received a steady income from the province through the separate school board. While in Kingston, things were dire by winter of 1865, when in November the sisters, “were again reduced to the last extreme of Poverty, our stock of provisions and money were exhausted our Creditors clamouring for their payment of their bills, and the Sisters were forced to go out and seek food for the Inmates.” Their poverty stretched into December, as they had to pay off creditors, which “found us again in a sad dilemma by reason of our extreme poverty, neither flour, potatoes nor meat of any kind had we in the house, we and our Poor subsisted for upwards of eight days, on salt fish and corn meal.” Ultimately their social network proved invaluable, and food and other gifts were showered on them over the Christmas season.
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Their existence was often feast or famine, and Bishop Horan’s poor planning made only worse by his need for control, exacerbated their difficulties. Things came to a head later in 1866 when the community’s Mother Superior and her Council withdrew their original sisters back to Montréal, as was their right, including two of the Irish, English-speaking professed sisters. In the same year, Horan appointed Sister Mary Edward as the first General Superior of Kingston’s Sisters of Providence. In 1869, instead of elections, Bishop Horan appointed sisters to their ‘offices.’ Circumstances continued in this vein until Horan’s death in 1875. Although the sisters had recourse to their Rule and Pope Pius IX in these years, the effort of fighting for their rights had to be weighed against what was most important: service to God and His poor.

396 Ibid., 47.
397 “House of Providence, Kingston,” [typed transcript], 18–9.
Sister Jane Leahy entered the Novitiate of the Hôtel-Dieu on 14 September of 1852 and was one of the sisters named in Bill 58. This photograph was taken between 1854 and 1887 and is the earliest photograph of a Hôtel-Dieu Kingston Sister held in the Archives. Sister Leahy was elected Superior twice, in 1872 and again in 1884. It is likely the photograph was taken on one of these occasions.
Conclusion

Almost from the moment they arrived in mid-nineteenth-century Kingston, the Sisters of the Congrégation de Notre-Dame, the Religious Hospitallers of Saint Joseph, and the Sisters of Providence made their mark on the city’s residents, doing so in ways that anticipated the social services of a much later Canada. Long before the Ontario legislature passed the 1893 Act for the Prevention of Cruelty to, and Better Protection of Children, the Hôtel-Dieu nuns and Sisters of Providence fostered or permanently assumed custody of needy, abandoned, and orphaned children. Like today’s social workers and public health nurses, the Sisters of Providence visited incarcerated women at Kingston Penitentiary, endeavored to meet the needs of the homeless population, and, several decades before the Victorian Order of Nurses was founded,398 nursed the sick in their homes for weeks at a time. The Religious Hospitallers also responded to large-scale public health crises, coordinating medical care with the Ladies of the Female Benevolent Society, a Protestant women’s initiative that established Kingston’s General Hospital, and Kingston’s Board of Public Health. The Congrégation de Notre-Dame championed women’s education and ran both a free school and a private school for wealthy girls, the latter subsidizing the former. With the passage of free universal schooling in Ontario, the sisters’ schools were integrated into the Separate School system. All three congregations secured partial government funding for each of their establishments and helped lay the groundwork for a broad range of provincial social services.

The success of Kingston’s women religious can be attributed to many factors, including their ability to engage successfully with the Kingston community and their

---

wider social networks. These networks of generous donors enabled their fundraising efforts and the erection of their physical institutions. Remarkably, during a period of sectarian violence and political upheaval, Kingston’s sisters remained respected and resolute. Non-Catholics and Protestants from a range of denominations worked with the Catholic communities of vowed religious to serve Kingston’s neediest. The experience of caring for patients during the typhus epidemic of 1847, and for the orphaned children of the recently arrived Irish migrants, served to bond Protestant and Catholic women. Even when anti-Catholic literature, such as Maria Monk’s *Awful Disclosures*, actively perpetuated prejudice towards the Hôtel-Dieu nuns, they worked unceasingly to better the Kingston community and were rewarded by the support of their neighbours.

Interactions between Catholic women religious and their ecclesiastic superiors were not always cordial, although they knew that they needed the sisters’ labour for their diocesan vision to succeed.\footnote{Nancy Christie and Michael Gauvreau point out that, “Most priests also wanted convents built in their parish for the nuns, who would provide an obedient source of female labour.” See Christie and Gauvreau, *Christian Churches*, 29.} This exploration of the manner in which women religious negotiated power structures and gendered imbalances serves to demonstrate that the power of Kingston’s bishops was not limitless and their authority not absolute. Sisters and nuns had various means of resistance. Religious had recourse to their Rule, non-diocesan sisters could close missions, and could in extremis be dispensed from their vows. Kingston’s women religious established multiple institutions, faced unspeakable risks to their health, and overcame overt anti-Catholicism in carrying out and expanding the city’s social services. In doing so, they permanently altered Kingston’s spiritual and physical landscape.
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